

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

141	Most parks mentioned have playgrounds. Off-leash dogs and children don't mix well no matter how well-trained you think your pet is.	Apr 6, 2013 9:43 PM
142	Dangerous to dogs and kids. Some people think their dog is great, but if provoked by kids playi g ball nearby, could run off.	Apr 6, 2013 1:16 PM
143	Too hard to control	Apr 6, 2013 12:46 PM
144	Cost - taxes are already too high Some dog owners don't clean-up after their dogs.	Apr 6, 2013 2:15 AM
145	It's not as safe as fenced-in areas, for dogs or park-goers.	Apr 5, 2013 11:27 PM
146	There are too many untrained dogs who are a significant danger to children.	Apr 5, 2013 10:36 PM
147	Yes, but I think areas should be away from where children play or sports are played.	Apr 5, 2013 9:37 PM
148	Negative impact on those without dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 9:12 PM
149	DANGEROUS	Apr 5, 2013 9:03 PM
150	No. Even well behaved dogs can get excited by a stray cat or squirrel and run into traffic.	Apr 5, 2013 7:39 PM
151	Also depends on the cleanliness - no dog poop where little kids play!	Apr 5, 2013 7:37 PM
152	Dogs will charge at strangers or small children. Also, dogs running into street are easily run over by cars.	Apr 5, 2013 6:07 PM
153	I have been attacked by an off-leash dog in Las Palmas Park. I live 3 houses away from the park. It was in the evening, and neither I nor the dogs (there were 2 smallish dogs) could see all that well. At other times when I am in the park on busy weekends, I have seen dog fights, disruptions to picnicking families and ball games, and general annoyances all involving off-leash dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 5:57 PM
154	Dog owners need to be responsible for their dog. An owner who allows their dog to run off-leash in an unfenced park is not able to be responsible for their dog.	Apr 5, 2013 4:44 PM
155	I think that dogs that are allowed at these off leash areas should pass a behavioral evaluation or be certified in some way. Maybe they should all be CGC certified. (Canine Good Citizen) http://www.akc.org/dogowner/training/canine_good_citizen/links.cfm	Apr 5, 2013 4:17 PM
156	City will be sued if off-lease dog bites someone.	Apr 5, 2013 2:39 PM
157	Panama park is an excellent example of a park that is not used most of the day..sometimes during soccer season and Tball season kids use it for practice..we could give these activities preference to unleashed dogs, but so much of the year no one is using the grassy part of the park. I would like to see posted times 3:00-4:00 small dogs, large dogs 4:00-5:00 with regulations...many cities have unleashed areas at specific times	Apr 5, 2013 1:26 PM
158	Dogs frighten me.	Apr 5, 2013 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

159	This survey seems biased. Why don't you ask for justification if people answer "yes" for any of the options? Has the city already made-up its mind what they want to do, and just need a rubber-stamp from the residents?	Apr 5, 2013 10:59 AM
160	You need to plan for the lowest common denominator of people. I'm not entirely sure that an unfenced area would be safe for the animals.	Apr 5, 2013 10:17 AM
161	All dogs are not well controlled	Apr 5, 2013 7:33 AM
162	unless the owners are held accountable for their dogs this is not a good idea	Apr 5, 2013 2:37 AM
163	Strongly support! I would suggest Panama Park as such a location. Panama Park serves a very big neighborhood. It is a sport park, but 99% of the time it is not used except by dog owners. I would propose the following solution: - Allow the dog off leash in the park. If necessary, limit to the restricted times (preferably a couple of hours in the morning, and a couple of hours before sunset) - Complete the fencing around the park for safety reasons (some perimeter areas do not have a fence) - Add a rule that only the well-behaved (non-aggressive) dogs could be in the park off-leash, and owners are responsible for their dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 2:05 AM
164	It was unfair to force me to answer #3 since I am against having a dog park! It is difficult enough to just walk by dogs when the arrogant owners assume you want their dog to sniff you since they think their dog is so cute!! I must admit, I think dogs are cute. I just don't like them sniffing me or jumping on me. If the dogs are allowed to run around without fences, the dog will surely sense when a child, or anyone, is afraid and become curious, possibly chasing the scared person. As has happened to me in the past!	Apr 4, 2013 11:39 PM
165	People can't control their dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 11:06 PM
166	Not all dogs are safe and not all dog owners are smart enough to know it.	Apr 4, 2013 8:48 PM
167	Currently, people are already letting their dogs off leash in Las Palmas Park and not using the existing fenced area. Some owners do not have control over their aggressive barking dogs. These dogs are a public safety issue and hate to see the city being sued after a (biting) incident! Keep them contained in the fence area and enforce current rules!	Apr 4, 2013 3:32 PM
168	No. We don't feel comfortable walking near unknown dogs. Dogs can bite and in groups dogs can get excited. Some even develop pack mentalities. Please keep off-leashed dogs in a fenced area.	Apr 4, 2013 2:44 PM
169	Unleashed dogs create a variety of problem I.e. aggressive behavior, safety issues for dogs on leash and safety for dog handlers	Apr 4, 2013 2:27 PM
170	Absolutely not! This is a hazard for many reasons. I was bitten by a dog in Lakewood park a couple of years ago and I'm completely outraged that off leash areas without fencing are being considered. Children play in these parks and the parks should be a safe place for all people to play without fear of being bitten or injured by animals. Not all animal owners are responsible.	Apr 4, 2013 2:12 PM
171	I would prefer fencing so that dogs won't run off outside the control of owners, but any designated area is better than none.	Apr 4, 2013 1:28 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

172	Child's safety is a concern	Apr 4, 2013 1:21 PM
173	My dog is scared of certain things. If she gets scared and dashes off while not on leash and out on the road, it would not be nice.	Apr 4, 2013 1:21 PM
174	Too many owners do not have their dogs under voice control and/or have dogs that are not safe off-leash. All dog owners should have to take a class in off-leash safety and understanding dog body language before they are allowed to have their dogs off-leash	Apr 4, 2013 11:05 AM
175	Danger to public if dogs are not trained properly and waste disposal would be less regulated. In sure most people are good about it but it only takes a couple irresponsible owners to ruin it for everyone with and without dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 10:48 AM
176	What is a dog runs into the street or into traffic?	Apr 4, 2013 10:45 AM
177	Parks should be multi-use. Dogs off leash in unfenced areas pose a hazard to other activities.	Apr 4, 2013 10:31 AM
178	Dogs need to be controlled not only the public's safety but also for the dogs safety. While we think that we know our own pets, there is always the sudden movement or loud bang that will frighten the animal and send them into fits.	Apr 4, 2013 9:37 AM
179	HUGE dog lover however too often, owners are irresponsible do not watch their dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 9:05 AM
180	Dog owner's can take responsibility for the dogs	Apr 3, 2013 11:31 PM
181	Less space for other activities. The parks are already crowded with the current available space.	Apr 3, 2013 9:00 PM
182	running, children	Apr 3, 2013 8:54 PM
183	Not all dogs are well controlled and many people are quite fearful of dogs. Good fences make good neighbors.	Apr 3, 2013 7:47 PM
184	Dogs can sometimes be unpredictable and I don't want to risk someone getting mauled because their dog wiggled out.	Apr 3, 2013 7:01 PM
185	Even though I have 2 dogs myself, there are some who believe their dogs are safe off leash, when in fact they are not. I regularly encounter a man with a small white dog at Las Palmas at 9:00 a.m. The dog dashes across the park off leash and has attacked my dogs as well as others.	Apr 3, 2013 5:53 PM
186	ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Too many dogs are unpredictable, and when in groups dogs have a pack mentality. - Some dog owners are careless. - Most dog owners do not know how their dogs behave when owner is not present. - Count on the city being sued if an off-leas dog ever bites us in a park not fenced off.	Apr 3, 2013 5:48 PM
187	I take my kids to the park. I don't want them to be jumped on and/or bitten by dogs, and we don't want to step in dog feces.	Apr 3, 2013 3:12 PM
188	risk	Apr 3, 2013 2:35 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

189	I would not support a non-fenced off-leash area. While I would like a off-leash area without fencing for my own dog, my experience is that there are too many dog owners who: 1) Cannot control their dog's movements, keep them from running into traffic / parking lots and away from other animals and people who do not want them running up to them, their children, animals and through the areas they are using in the parks. 2) Who have dogs which are not well behaved enough to be off leash, do not obey commands, attack other animals etc. 3) Such an area would encourage people to ignore City of Sunnyvale leash ordinances and may lead to some people trying to expand such an area outside it's designated locations, boundaries and times.	Apr 3, 2013 1:30 PM
190	All dog owners that I know are respectful and never let dogs off leash when it interferes with people who are having fun at the parks.	Apr 3, 2013 1:16 PM
191	Too dangerous for mixed use. In general, I don't like dogs at all in public but we should have equal opportunity to enjoy the outdoors so fenced areas are ok with me.	Apr 3, 2013 12:27 PM
192	hard to control	Apr 3, 2013 12:06 PM
193	During weekdays only.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
194	parks are for people first!!	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
195	It would be a nuisance to non dog-lovers.	Apr 3, 2013 11:42 AM
196	People think they have well behaved dogs but then they take off down the street after your dog. At least if it is fenced in then you can at least walk by safe.	Apr 3, 2013 11:38 AM
197	Some dogs are untrained and do not respect others space.	Apr 3, 2013 10:19 AM
198	Concerns about aggressive dogs off-leash when children might be present.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 AM
199	Personally I would not feel comfortable in a park where any and all dogs may run free in an unfenced area.	Apr 3, 2013 9:03 AM
200	Too many potential issues and I prefer dog free areas.	Apr 3, 2013 8:41 AM
201	Restricted times would be fine. But please take a survey of WHAT times. Most dogs, especially larger dogs, require the type of exercise that a designated off-leash area can provide. They need it in the mornings and later that same day. So early mornings (6.30am to 8:00am) for the people who work, and mid-mornings (10:00am to 11.30am) for the retirees. The PM slots should be after work during standard times and after dinner during daylight savings time. During baseball (Little League) season there is a concern about potential conflicts. Yet tons of LL parents bring their dogs to the park while watching LL games. Most keep them on leashes, but some take them off leash. This is true at EVERY park that hosts LL games. Therefore, make the off leash hours after dinner (let's say from 7:30 to 9:00pm) as most the LL games are over by 7:30pm).	Apr 2, 2013 8:33 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

1	too difficult for owners to bring enough fencing to enclose play area for big dogs. not realistic.	May 10, 2013 4:48 PM
2	eye sore.	May 10, 2013 4:16 PM
3	That is a super hassle for dog owners, and fences at owner discretion could interfere with others enjoyment.	May 10, 2013 3:56 PM
4	Would cause to many issues with other park users fighting for 'prime' spots	May 10, 2013 1:36 PM
5	Unnecessary	May 10, 2013 1:34 PM
6	But... I think "temporary fencing" needs to be well-defined at have some minimum standards. I can see someone putting a couple stakes in the ground and run string between them and try to call that a fence.	May 9, 2013 3:51 PM
7	It may cause to many problems with deterring the allowed size and location owners can put these fences.	May 9, 2013 3:18 PM
8	I would prefer a regular fenced dog park.	May 9, 2013 10:14 AM
9	I don't support dog owners being allowed to supply their own temporary fencing for dogs to run off-leash. Since there is no standardization as to the type of acceptable fencing, I question the effectiveness of some of the fencing that's out there. Also, depending on the type/quality of the fencing, it may leave some damage (e.g. grass damaged) when the fencing is removed.	May 8, 2013 9:43 PM
10	if too many people set up at once, that would eat up the park space for others	May 8, 2013 5:16 PM
11	Yes, but only if they picked up after themselves.	May 8, 2013 3:27 PM
12	This should be responsibility of City. Can not rely on it being done right by all citizens	May 8, 2013 3:07 PM
13	i foresee that causing chaos and conflict	May 8, 2013 2:55 PM
14	These aren't the easiest to transport. I have enclosures that are 4 feet tall each, and they are heavy and cumbersome to set up. Even with them put together, it's only about 64 square feet - smaller than a bedroom. Not much space for a dog to run!	May 6, 2013 12:38 PM
15	Financial cost	May 5, 2013 3:27 AM
16	See above. This would certainly be better than designated off-leash areas without fencing, however.	May 4, 2013 4:59 PM
17	This is not a very well thought concept. What if 100 dog owners decide to engage at the same time. There would be no place for anyone else and that is unfair. The parks could become no longer a place for everyone but a place for dog owners only. Put that idea on a shelf and forget about it !!	May 3, 2013 10:09 AM
18	unclear as to definition of "temporary fencing" that would allow "their dogs to run off-leash"; too risky for liability if dogs get out and cause injury or mayhem, or if	May 3, 2013 10:03 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

public could be injured by fencing, or park grounds/trees/bldgs/etc damaged by setting up fencing. Is city thinking about requiring a permit for this with specifications as to what kind/type of temp fencing and for a specific number of dogs? What is assurance that dogs are under control - and what would be definition of "under control"?

19	Parks should be for kids;	May 3, 2013 9:01 AM
20	The park should be available for all to use.	May 2, 2013 11:33 PM
21	Park is a public area, fencing one area by each person is not really nice.	May 2, 2013 5:24 PM
22	You cannot assure safety for anyone with owner supplied fencing.	May 2, 2013 12:22 PM
23	I think it would be difficult for most of to carry around fencing, especially if we walk to the park.	May 2, 2013 11:32 AM
24	Yes, but I have these for my own pets, and they are quite cumbersome to haul around, and you'd need a lot of fencing in order to allow them to actually run.	May 2, 2013 8:58 AM
25	Effectiveness/quality/state of repair of these fences could be an issue	May 1, 2013 6:56 PM
26	Again, the City of Sunnyvale can not guarantee that the fencing is set up properly so the dog can an may escape if there is something that provokes it. I think a good solutions is to have permanently fenced dog runs at specific parks and then the area with its dogs and owners can be controlled.	May 1, 2013 5:27 PM
27	Non-designated areas belong to the general public and need to be shared	May 1, 2013 4:51 PM
28	Dangerous. What controls?	May 1, 2013 4:49 PM
29	I think it is something that needs to be sturdy & maintained by the city.	May 1, 2013 4:25 PM
30	Not convinced they will keep areas clean	May 1, 2013 4:21 PM
31	it won't leave much space for dogs who don't bring their own fences	May 1, 2013 3:20 PM
32	It would take up space for others.	May 1, 2013 1:18 PM
33	If they want to but I would not choose this option.	May 1, 2013 12:26 PM
34	It'sonly for an hour or so..like soccer games, volleyball nets, etc. Better to make a small area, somewhere with low picket fence or something...for dogs under 1 ft high.	May 1, 2013 10:25 AM
35	Fence won't be large enough to let dogs run. That's the purpose for off leash parks is to let dogs run and run. They don't run as much in a enclosed area	May 1, 2013 9:57 AM
36	This should be supplied by the city so that only the city is liable.	Apr 30, 2013 5:13 PM
37	That could turn into a zoo with several owners setting up their own fences.	Apr 30, 2013 4:59 PM
38	Temporary fencing may not be sturdy enough for some dogs.	Apr 30, 2013 3:02 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

39	No., because of the financial costs involved to implement and maintain.	Apr 30, 2013 3:47 AM
40	Must be non-collaspable sturdy type.	Apr 29, 2013 10:04 PM
41	See answer #1 above.	Apr 29, 2013 9:24 PM
42	Not relevant to this question, but a general comment: I'm a San Francisco resident and am frustrated at the lack of off leash dog walking areas on the Peninsula in general. I travel far and wide around the Bay Area looking for good off leash places to walk, and would come and meet friends in Sunnyvale if there were good off leash dog walking areas. I appreciate you looking considering the idea!!	Apr 29, 2013 3:03 PM
43	Impractical. the amount of fencing required would be cost prohibitive...	Apr 29, 2013 2:03 PM
44	cant depend on citizens to do this correctly.	Apr 29, 2013 8:01 AM
45	It would take a ridiculous amount of temporary fencing to allow a dog to run off-leash and most dogs could easily jump over such a fence. The amount of fence that a person could put in even a large SUV would be so small that no dog bigger than a chihuahua would get exercise inside it. Additionally, where would the fence be set up? On the sports fields, making it impossible for other people to play a game? Near the playground, frightening some small children and causing others to rush to the fence, sticking their hands in and inevitably resulting in a nipped finger that will be sued over? In the middle of the other grass, where the dogs will dig up the turf and leave their droppings that some owner is bound to leave behind? This "solution" is absolutely impossible and completely ridiculous.	Apr 29, 2013 6:02 AM
46	The grassy area needs to be maintained for people to play. Dogs tear up the grass and poop on it.	Apr 28, 2013 9:31 AM
47	Only with proper specifications.	Apr 28, 2013 8:54 AM
48	I feel as though it would be inconsistent, and there would be room for dispute.	Apr 27, 2013 10:32 AM
49	THIS SOUNDS RIDICULOUS TO ME. DOG OWNERS AND THEIR DOGS WANT TO GO OUT WITH THEIR DOG AND THEIR LEASH AND MAYBE A BALL. THEN THEY WANT TO RUN IN TO OTHER DOGS AND THEIR OWNERS AND SNIFF AND RUN AND THEN GO HOME!	Apr 27, 2013 8:52 AM
50	too much of ahassle	Apr 27, 2013 12:47 AM
51	IT would be hard to control.	Apr 26, 2013 10:08 PM
52	It is not practical for most purposes.	Apr 26, 2013 9:44 AM
53	Only if it wouldn't prevent off leash owner without their own supplies	Apr 25, 2013 4:48 PM
54	I don't think owners would tear down temporary fencing after each use and could cause issues with sharing space in the park.	Apr 25, 2013 4:19 PM
55	Because of my past experience I remember how frightening it was for my	Apr 25, 2013 1:43 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

	children and their friends, as well as for myself as an adult to deal with an owner who was out of control. I believe this matter is not something to be determined by owners, where we would have to rely on their judgement.	
56	dogs need to play each other.	Apr 25, 2013 1:17 PM
57	fence may be faulty. too many unpredictable variables.	Apr 25, 2013 1:18 AM
58	Aside from toddler type fencing for puppies or small dogs, this sounds like a regulatory/liability/enforcement nightmare.	Apr 24, 2013 2:40 PM
59	This could also cause questions of territory among dog owners, and those who play soccer, baseball, ect...	Apr 24, 2013 11:30 AM
60	I don't trust other dog owners.	Apr 24, 2013 9:04 AM
61	In order for it to work, I think it would need to be treated like picnic space, where one needs to apply for a permit for a large party. Adding cumbersome administrative steps doesn't sound terribly practical. If, however, owner fencing is permitted in designated off leash areas only, it might work.	Apr 24, 2013 8:20 AM
62	WTF? Who brings their own fence. This is just weird.	Apr 23, 2013 7:58 PM
63	this just isn't possible and don't see how you can get owners to do this when sometimes they cannot even pick up their pet's waste!	Apr 23, 2013 3:38 PM
64	could restrict usage for others	Apr 23, 2013 3:30 PM
65	Not safe.	Apr 23, 2013 2:58 PM
66	I don't understand what this means -- temporary as in removable but it stays up for others to enjoy? In other words, the users pay for creating the space? Yes if there are rules about where, how big, and who can use it.	Apr 23, 2013 2:19 PM
67	Damage to park landscaping, soiling by dogs, interference with non-dog activities. (Note this comment is from a 2 dog family that uses fenced dog parks daily)	Apr 23, 2013 2:12 PM
68	Would look trashy.	Apr 23, 2013 1:55 PM
69	If your building a dog park it should be unnecessary for owners to have to setup their own fences	Apr 23, 2013 1:37 PM
70	not sure if secure and above problems could result; not as safe as permanent fencing; even with permanent, secure fencing, dogs have been known to escape. Running into traffic, getting lost or possible injury may result, especially if dog is scared or hurt. Dog parks should be secure for every animal and every person's safety.	Apr 23, 2013 1:34 PM
71	No, I think this should be permanent fencing. If you have owner-supplied fencing that leaves the owner susceptible to damage, etcetera.	Apr 23, 2013 1:04 PM
72	Temporfencing can be problematic, especially if something breaks and the	Apr 23, 2013 1:04 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

owner just leaves it. Setting up city approved areas is a much better idea.

73	This would work only if there is general agreement among all at dog park.	Apr 23, 2013 12:43 PM
74	What kind of fencing? If the owner has an untrained, large pit bull or other large breed, and flimsy fencing, I think that's a bad idea. If the owner has full control of their dog, pit or otherwise, fencing is unnecessary. Sadly, many dog owners claim to have control over their dogs but do not.	Apr 23, 2013 12:40 PM
75	Too much hassle to have them install. Also, conceivably they will mess up the turf.	Apr 22, 2013 5:00 PM
76	Similar reasoning to notes above	Apr 22, 2013 12:11 PM
77	If there's a way to ensure the durability of the fence than I would support it. Otherwise, there is a likelihood of high liability placed on the person who set up the fence and the city.	Apr 22, 2013 8:20 AM
78	I don't think this is needed if we already have a dog-only sections. Also there is the possibility for the dog to escape the fenced area.	Apr 21, 2013 9:23 PM
79	Sounds complicated and potentially ineffective or might lead to fence pieces being left as trash.	Apr 21, 2013 8:28 PM
80	This may work for very small dogs but larger dogs would require a large designated area that would take away from the park area for all to use.	Apr 21, 2013 6:14 PM
81	The logistics seem to complicated and hard to enforce.	Apr 21, 2013 5:01 PM
82	I wouldn't expect owners to set up a proper fence, permanent or no fence at all.	Apr 21, 2013 3:28 PM
83	If someone were to create their own temporary fencing, what is the point of having that dog being at the park if it is secluded from other dogs? They should all just be on one field together without being fenced.	Apr 21, 2013 1:09 PM
84	Dogs should be allowed to use the park without temporary fencing in designated off-leash hours.	Apr 20, 2013 10:53 PM
85	As long as it doesn't interrupt others	Apr 20, 2013 8:21 PM
86	Maybe on a trial basis. This sounds great on paper. But I wonder if the owners can be trusted to maintain this effort over time?	Apr 20, 2013 7:36 PM
87	It would lead to confusion and controversy.	Apr 20, 2013 5:32 PM
88	This could quickly get out-of-hand. How much area could be fenced? For how long?	Apr 20, 2013 3:35 PM
89	Ive never seen any practical temporary fencing; my dog isnt even in good shape but can cleAr a four foot fence when motivated. Fencing needs to be heavy, stable, and high.	Apr 20, 2013 1:50 PM
90	I don't think it is needed too complicated	Apr 20, 2013 10:33 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

91	I think that would be confusing or rather cause problems and complaints for the city. If you had a volunteer committee for each park to be responsible for one temporary fencing/per site, that may work. I imagine some would 'push' the limits if allowed to use fencing. When organized teams are playing baseball, or formal soccer teams are playing dogs should not be off leash in any area. But when it is time for the dogs, no informal or unorganized ball playing. Times should be definite so there are not disputes or complaints over who has the right to be there.	Apr 20, 2013 9:37 AM
92	There is no way to assure people are qualified to set up fencing correctly or will refrain from damaging the area they use.	Apr 20, 2013 1:41 AM
93	I can't imagine this working except for maybe using small pens for tiny dogs.	Apr 19, 2013 10:51 PM
94	Sounds complicated and like it could become a problem and hard to enforce.	Apr 19, 2013 2:21 PM
95	Its not always an ideal case, and they are going to over run this. Dogs have been way too much in ponderosa park, sunnyvale - and many of the times unchained - which proves to be terrifying experience for old people and kids who visit the park for leisure. One such time, when i was pregnant i was taking a walk - and got chased by 3 big dogs in multiple directions - the owner had let lose and I had to run from the park to the school soccer ground, where the chasing continued and finally my husband rescued me..I would need a number to call and complain and there should be strict enforcement that dogs should not be there except in their specified leash areas.	Apr 18, 2013 2:31 PM
96	Wouldn't have the same security as a fenced-in location. Could be problematic in terms of remaining dog waste.	Apr 18, 2013 9:35 AM
97	it would get out of hand. dog owners will need to share the park with other non dog owners.	Apr 17, 2013 11:35 PM
98	This is ridiculous and would virtually defeat the purpose of taking your dog off-leash.	Apr 17, 2013 4:10 PM
99	It might work for some small dogs, but majority of dogs need more room to roam than temporary fencing will provide.	Apr 17, 2013 3:37 PM
100	Absolutely, dog parks work for some dogs, others need more space, just like kids, some dogs play well together and others do not, some like to share balls, others will not which can cause unwanted behavior. Having a 'rent-space' for dog runs would be perfect!	Apr 17, 2013 9:21 AM
101	What do you mean by "temporary" fencing? Will there be any standard (for example, material, height, etc.) for such fencing?	Apr 16, 2013 8:32 PM
102	Seems inconvenient and at owners disgression	Apr 16, 2013 6:31 PM
103	that could be difficult, but as long as it didn't interfere with scheduled activities, nor harm the park grounds I think it'd be an acceptable option	Apr 16, 2013 6:08 PM
104	-Potential for an individual to lack consideration of other park goers or dog owners	Apr 16, 2013 4:32 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

105	It depends on what 'temporary' means. Is it setup and taken down for each use? I have an 85 pound dog, setting something up that would allow her to run off leash would be cumbersome.	Apr 15, 2013 8:04 PM
106	worried how this would impact other park users	Apr 15, 2013 7:48 PM
107	I think it would be better to have more control of the situation, that could lead to other concerns.	Apr 15, 2013 5:31 PM
108	this would work for our CCI dogs, having an enclosed, safe area for them to run.	Apr 15, 2013 4:42 PM
109	They could not regulate how safe that is. Shortcuts would be taken. Not safe enough for parks full of children/families.	Apr 15, 2013 3:06 PM
110	Gets too complex in enforcement, cooperation and compliance - less is best when crowds are involved.	Apr 15, 2013 3:05 PM
111	People always abuse things. I can see fights being started and people dumping "trash" to avoid dealing with their old fencing.	Apr 15, 2013 11:59 AM
112	I think that after a while they would just stop using the fencing because they would get tired of carrying it around and having to set it up and take it down. Plus they would have to use quite a bit of fencing to have a big enough area to let their dogs run. Why can't the dog run around in their fenced in back yard at home?	Apr 15, 2013 11:35 AM
113	I wouldn't understand why this would be necessary? If the dog is not trained to respond to commands, then it should be taken to a fenced park.	Apr 15, 2013 11:11 AM
114	It's not for public then it's no good.	Apr 15, 2013 11:07 AM
115	This sounds unneeded and could lead to disruptions of other recreational events, such as soccer or volleyball practice or games.	Apr 15, 2013 10:54 AM
116	Sounds like it would allow dog owners to treat public land like personal property, allowing for disputes.	Apr 15, 2013 10:48 AM
117	No consistency. No standard for safety.	Apr 15, 2013 10:42 AM
118	Who will be responsible to ensure that families with children would be safe.	Apr 15, 2013 10:15 AM
119	Temporary fencing tends not to be very secure. I think it provides a false sense of security.	Apr 15, 2013 10:11 AM
120	Too much conflict, not all people will clean up their mess when the day is done	Apr 15, 2013 9:47 AM
121	For the reasons I mentioned above. There is no one to regulate the use of owner-supplied temporary fencing.	Apr 15, 2013 9:39 AM
122	Would the same apply for non-dog owners? Could I block off a large space in a park for my private usage? No, that is not allowed, so why do dog owners get separate treatment?	Apr 15, 2013 9:35 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

123	No because I thin some people will not know how to use it and be respectful with others dog owners.	Apr 15, 2013 8:33 AM
124	as long as it did not make large holes and all owner fences were set up in the same area.	Apr 13, 2013 7:50 PM
125	temporary fencing? Not feasible. My dog needs more exercise room than what is available in a fence that I could carry and set up!	Apr 13, 2013 12:56 AM
126	Concerned about dog waste being left behind and not being picked up in general public area	Apr 12, 2013 9:52 PM
127	Really not practical. You would need a temporary fence of 25 x 25 feet. And it wouldn't be secure. But if you can overcome the practicality of it, then sure.	Apr 12, 2013 2:43 PM
128	I'd be concerned for the same reasons as noted above. I have trouble envisioning an owner-supplied temporary fence that would work. If I could be shown such a viable option, then I'd change my mind, but I'd only be in favor of secure fencing and not the loosy-goosy arrangements some dog owners prefer.	Apr 12, 2013 1:47 PM
129	This could really get out of hand. Off-leash dogs should be well trained and reliably come when called.	Apr 12, 2013 1:31 PM
130	I think that permanent dog parks are a better solution--a solid fence and double gating make it more likely that the dog, and passers-by, will be safe. With that said, temporary fencing is better than letting dogs run off leash with no fencing.	Apr 11, 2013 9:12 PM
131	I would prefer the City create and control off leash dog park areas. Then there is consistency in implementation, clear rules. Can the City guarantee the safety of the public or their on-leash dogs, with off-leash dog areas with variable temporary fencing? Just the term 'temporary fencing' doesn't sound like something that will contain a dog with determination.	Apr 11, 2013 8:50 PM
132	Too ugly.	Apr 11, 2013 4:01 PM
133	Several dog owners at the las plamas park let there dog run loose outside the dog park on the grass and then do not clean up the poop after them.	Apr 11, 2013 12:07 AM
134	Dog owners are simply trying to find a way to let their dogs play legally ... even if it's out of their own pockets. Other park residents are allowed to set up temporary soccer fields, volley ball nets, etc.	Apr 10, 2013 9:04 PM
135	1. Not practical for hundreds of feet by hundreds of feet necessary for ball and Frisbee playing, and high speed dog chases. 2. Not necessary for small dogs, as those dogs stick around. anyway. I would support limited hours free of police harassment, for non exclusive use of off leash dogs, and others who are not afraid of dogs. These hours could be cool morning hours, and late evenings after soccer players have gone home. Also, many afternoon hours before school kids come to play.	Apr 10, 2013 11:32 AM
136	The amount of fencing that would be needed is not practical and I don't think the fenced area should be up to the owner's discretion.	Apr 10, 2013 10:11 AM