The City Council met in study session in the West Conference Room at City Hall, 456 W. Olive Avenue in Sunnyvale, California, on January 15, 2013 at 5:30 p.m., with Vice-Mayor Jim Griffith presiding.

City Councilmembers Present:
Mayor Anthony Spitaleri
Vice Mayor Jim Griffith
Chris Moylan
David Whittum
Patrick Meyering
Tara Martin-Milius
Jim Davis

City Councilmembers Absent:
None

Planning Commissioners Present:
Gustav Larsson, Chair (and CAG member)
Bo Chang
Glenn Hendricks
Arcadi Kolchak
Russell Melton (and CAG member)
Ken Olevson

Planning Commissioners Absent:
Maria Dohadwala

City Staff Present:
Gary Luebbers, City Manager
Hanson Hom, Director of Community Development
Kent Steffens, Director of Public Works
Joan Borger, City Attorney
Trudi Ryan, Planning Officer
Connie Verceles, Economic Development Manager
Andrew Miner, Principal Planner
**Visitors/Guests Present:**
Mike Kim, CAG Chair  
Mark Cushman, CAG Member  
Saket Gadia, CAG member  
Younil Jeong, CAG Member  
Adam Morey, CAG Member  
Lois Smallwood, CAG Member  
Ron Aoyama, CAG Alternate Member  
Barbara Maloney, BMS Design Group  
Paige Martin, BMS Design Group  
Franziska Church, Fehr+Peers

**Call to Order:** 5:30 P.M.

**Study Session Summary:**
Director Hanson Hom and CAG Chair Mike Kim gave a presentation on the subject, including a brief background, CAG actions, description of alternatives, issues to consider, and next steps.

Questions and comments were provided by Council and Planning Commission.

- Important that Sunnyvale and Santa Clara coordinate.
- Numbers shown in presentation shows a higher number of jobs to housing, which increases the jobs/housing ratio.
- Likes cross connections included in circulation framework.
- Can we include easement dedication on future projects to provide access ways?
- Future school or public facility needs may increase as a result of this plan and other planning efforts in the area. The plan should identify a public facility (school) site in area.
- Questions adding residential use on north side. Is it a good plan to lose industrial uses to more residential?
- Concern about mixing residential and industrial uses.
- Ensure multi-modal travel options exist in area- train, bus, bicycles, pedestrian, etc.
- Likes higher densities near station because it takes advantage of proximity to existing train station.
- Likes planning for 24-hour activity near station by mixing residential and business uses.
- Suggests the plan go beyond green building requirements-- include ways to catch water on site, and strive for projects that will allow zero waste and be carbon neutral.
- Concerned about loss of industrial uses on north side of tracks.
- Housing in industrial area can create compatibility issues.
- Don’t create a plan that would compete with downtown.
• Too many apartments being built.
• Likes circulation improvements planned.
• Is the area in a Superfund area? We shouldn’t we know that before we plan for housing?
• What does CAG want it to be? Origin, destination or both?
• Likes flexible mixed use alternative.
• What creative things can be done so redevelopment doesn’t occur in a hopscotch manner and there is consistency in infrastructure (sidewalks, etc?)
• Which has more ridership, rental or ownership housing?
• Based on past experience, it seems unlikely that Texas Instruments will sell their property to residential developers.

Questions and comments were provided by the CAG.
• Doesn’t believe that Lawrence Station would compete with downtown, but people would use the area that currently go elsewhere, not downtown.
• We need to rethink the current pattern of 1-2 story buildings with sea of parking.
• What incentives can be used to provide positive change?
• Likes the 24 hour energy that would come from residential/business mix

Members of the public offered the following comments:
• A representative of Intuitive Surgical said their main objection is the road bisecting their property. They are agreeable to providing pedestrian paths on site for public use to get people to station.
• LSAP should be planned to be a model of sustainability.
• Suggests adding more housing to plan to get jobs/housing ratio closer to 1.5.
• The jobs/housing balance is an important goal. Also that the plan should provide a bike network that is fast, safe and effective, and to include pedestrian connectivity in the plan. Mentioned that the ¼ mile radius around the station represents 0.64% of the City, and including jobs and housing in plan is a win/win.
• Different residents mention that it is hard to get excited by a plan that is still vague and uncertain of what the goal is. Suggestion that shuttles should be considered as a way to move people in and out of the station. Circulation in area needs improvement.

**Adjournment:** 7:00 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,
Andrew Miner
Principal Planner