



Jack Witthaus <jwitthaus@sunnyvale.ca.gov>

# CalBike Report: new alignment of state and local coalitions to lead to more funding for bike infrastructure

↑ message

California Bicycle Coalition ·

Fri, Dec 21, 2012 at 3:37 PM

Reply-To:

To: jwitthaus@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)

dedicated to Elen Fletcher



*"I've spent more time thinking and talking about bicycles in the last three months than I have in the last decade."  
- Brian Annis, Deputy Director of the Bureau of Housing & Transportation, the agency in charge of drafting the Governor's transportation budget proposal.*

## Unprecedented statewide alignment behind new strategic plan

The CalBike Board and representatives from the eleven largest bicycle coalitions around the state gathered in Sacramento for two days in November to forge a new vision for bicycle advocacy in California. They hammered out a new mission, vision and goal, and approved a set of strategies to implement that goal. **It's the first time that the community of local bicycle coalitions has aligned itself behind a single statewide agenda, and it gives us unprecedented power in Sacramento.**

## [Donate now](#)

In the next few weeks the Governor will release his transportation budget. [Your donation right now](#) supports our work to make sure bicycling gets its fair share!

## DECEMBER 2012

- Don't cut bike funding
- San Diego cycle tracks
- Upcoming summits

The intense weekend retreat in November followed months of outreach: a survey of more than 225 advocates and interviews with about two dozen leaders. Six drafts later, the final [2013-2017 CalBike Strategic Plan](#) is released today.

Our goal is to **double the amount of bicycling in the Golden State by 2017 and triple it by 2020.** We have laid out four key strategies for accomplishing

that goal:

1. Create networks of high quality bicycle facilities and complete streets.
2. Mainstream bicycling in California.
3. Strengthen legal protection of people who ride bicycles.
4. Grow the bicycle advocacy movement throughout California



While developing consensus among the local coalitions *Leaders network at a K St. brewpub in Sacramento.* is always important for the California Bicycle Coalition, it was especially important for our 5-year strategic plan because each of the eleven largest groups also agreed to a strategic statewide alignment. **Uniting the advocacy goals and efforts of the largest bicycle coalitions with CalBike will make for a much more powerful voice on statewide issues**, helping us achieve progress for all California's communities.

In the coming months, CalBike will open up at least three new board seats to affiliate representatives and create a policy council for local advocates from around the state to discuss statewide issues and opportunities. Alignment agreements will be made available to smaller bicycle coalitions, bicycle clubs, bicycle retailers and others in the near future. Currently aligned organizations are [listed here](#).

### **CalBike to state officials: "now is not the time to cut bike funding."**

Brian Annis had never seen such an assembly of leaders for bicycling and walking in his 24th floor conference room before. CalBike was there, along with a half dozen of our allies, to give our perspective on transportation funding before the governor releases his budget proposal in January. Annis and his colleagues (from the Bureau of Transportation and Housing (BTH), the Department of Finance and the California Transportation Commission) heard our pitch for the value of bicycling investments to meet many of the state's goals: mobility, injury reduction, public health, greenhouse gas emissions, and economy.



***Innovative projects like San Francisco's green cycletracks could be at-risk without vigilance from CalBike. Photo: SFBC***

Since Congress killed most of the dedicated funding for bicycling and walking in the new federal transportation bill, MAP-21, California could legally stop most funding bicycling. They won't, however, thanks to you and the rest of the organized California bicycling movement. However, the state is making big changes that could make our situation worse, or much, much better. Now is the time to [keep the pressure on](#) the Governor and the Legislature. [\[read more...\]](#)

### **Reforming the California Bicycle Advisory Committee**

Established to advise Caltrans on "bicycle issues," CBAC has operated with no formal charter since it was founded in 1992. The organizations and individuals represented have hardly changed in those two decades. Some organizations rarely attend, and the committee's recommendations do not command the respect that a more representative and formal committee would garner. As a member of CBAC, we have led a consensus effort by the committee to create a formal charter and a new membership

structure to better represent local advocacy while giving Caltrans the authority to select members. Caltrans is reviewing our proposal now. In the next couple of months, we should be asking for candidates to serve on the new and improved California Bicycle Advisory Committee!

## AROUND THE STATE

### SAN DIEGO: Advocating for Cycletracks

The advocacy organization [Bike SD](#), founded by CalBike board member Samantha Ollinger, is making waves in the City of San Diego. In addition to coups such as getting [mayoral candidates to address bicycling policy issues for the first time](#), Bike SD is driving the discussion to bring innovative bicycle infrastructure to key bicycling routes in San Diego.

Their latest campaign is on [Montezuma Road](#), which has seen 57 bicycle crashes between 1999 and 2012 despite having bike lanes its entire length. This overly broad street has minimal street parking and curb cuts, making it a strong candidate for [cycletracks](#) - bike lanes separated from vehicle traffic by a barrier. Bike SD's efforts have [garnered local media attention](#) and seem to be changing the discussion around innovative bicycle infrastructure in San Diego. Such innovations, if approved and implemented in San Diego, could become a model for advanced bicycle infrastructure statewide.

## UPCOMING SUMMITS

### Attend the National Bike Summit - for Free

The League of American Bicyclists is hosting a [National Bike Summit](#) in Washington DC from March 4-6 in the coming year. More than 800 bicycling advocates and government staff are coming together to tell Congress about the benefits of bicycling. Now the L.A.B., in cooperation with the National Bicycle Dealers Association, is offering [25 scholarships](#) for bike shop owners and key staff to attend the National Bike Summit! Find out more about the scholarship program at the [LAB's website](#).

### Get Ready for Recycle-a-Bicycle Youth Summit

The dates have been announced for Recycle-a-Bicycle's national youth summit. The summit will be held February 15-17 at the New School in New York City. This national summit has drawn many advocates from California in years past. [Find out more details at their website](#).

## AROUND THE NATION

### Green Lane Project reports doubling of protected bike lanes in 2012

The [Green Lane Project](#), an organization working closely with six cities (San Francisco, Portland, Austin, Chicago, Memphis and Washington, DC) to build world-class cycling networks, recently reported that 2012 saw a [doubling of protected, colored bike lanes](#) across the country. The Green Lane Project recorded 102 protected bike lanes in 32 cities around the country, and reports that over 100 new protected bike lanes will be built in the coming year.

Protected lanes help encourage those "[interested but concerned](#)" bicyclists, estimated to be around 60% of the population, who are interested in bicycling but consider it too dangerous and inconvenient to try on our current bicycle networks. Of the 32 cities with protected bike lanes, however, only 5 of them are in California: San Francisco, Alameda, San Jose, Palm Springs, and Los Angeles. CalBike is committed to making it easier to build protected bike lanes across the state, getting more people out of their cars and onto bikes.

## PEOPLE

### This issue is dedicated to Ellen Fletcher

Ellen Fletcher, one of California's pioneering bike advocates, died of cancer last month at the age of 83. Her leadership is why Palo Alto is as bicycle-friendly as it is today.

She settled in Palo Alto in the early 1970s and quick got involved in traffic safety and bicycle promotion. Upset with the city's poor progress in making bike improvements, she ran for City Council where for 12 years she served as California's most visible and most effective elected official bicycling advocate. She owned a car -- a 1964 Plymouth Reliant -- but was famous for almost never using it, filling its tank about "once a year," she said.

*For her assertiveness, dedication, wit, and humor, she is a hero to all Californians who want safer, healthier, more livable communities through bicycling. This issue of the CalBike Report is dedicated to her honor.*



### CalBike Welcomes New Officers

Photo: Richard Masoner

During the November CalBike retreat, the board of directors elected new officers for the California Bicycle Coalition. Alexis Lantz has been elected president of the board, Charlie Gandy as vice president, Samantha Ollinger as treasurer, and Christopher Kidd as secretary.



*The new CalBike officers, left to right: Samantha Ollinger, Charlie Gandy, Alexis Lantz, Christopher Kidd*

**Alexis Lantz** - Alexis Lantz, MA, is a policy analyst with the PLACE Program at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. Previously, she was the Planning & Policy Director at the Los Angeles County Bicycle Coalition (LACBC). During her tenure at LACBC she conducted the first ever citywide bicycle and pedestrian count for the City of Los Angeles and had a hand in shaping policies in the city and county of Los Angeles to increase infrastructure for bicycling as well as the amount and diversity of people bicycling for everyday transportation. She also worked to expand countywide advocacy capacity for bikeways and complete streets through LACBC's Regional Partnership program and developing the recently launched Neighborhood Bicycle Ambassador program.

**Charlie Gandy** - is president of Livable Communities Inc., a nationally recognized consulting firm. He

has served as mobility coordinator for the City of Long Beach, as director of advocacy programs for the Bicycle Federation of America, and as the founding executive director of the Texas Bicycle Coalition, as well as one of the youngest people ever elected to the Texas House of Representatives.

**Samantha Ollinger** - Last fall, Sam quit her full time job as a financial manager to devote all her energy toward making San Diego the world's most bicycle friendly city. She publicizes the current state of bicycling at [BikeSD.org](http://BikeSD.org). She previously served on the San Diego County Bicycle Coalition board.

**Christopher Kidd** - is an active transportation planner with [Alta Planning + Design](#) in their Berkeley, CA office. While a graduate student in Los Angeles, Christopher founded the Los Angeles Department of Transportation's award winning [LADOT Bike Blog](#) and social media strategy.

The new officers would like to thank the outgoing leadership core of CalBike: president Chris Morfas, vice president Andrew Casteel, and secretary Jennifer Stanley. CalBike would like to give special thanks to Chris Morfas for his dedication in steering the organization through the process of adopting a new strategic plan and adopting alignment agreements with the local bicycle coalitions. CalBike would also like to thank Jennifer Stanley, who is leaving the CalBike board, for her eight years of invaluable service.

[ABOUT US](#) | [ADVOCACY CAMPAIGNS](#) | [SUPPORT ADVOCACY](#) | [JOIN OUR MAILING LIST](#)



**Drive change.  
Donate a vehicle today.**

**Click here to donate now**

Program Provided by the IAA Donation Division.  
© 2013 Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc. All rights reserved.

WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR MAJOR SUPPORT FROM THESE SPONSORS



[Forward this email](#)



This email was sent to [jwitthaus@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us](mailto:jwitthaus@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us) by [dave@calbike.org](mailto:dave@calbike.org) | [Update Profile/Email Address](#) | [Instant removal with SafeUnsubscribe™](#) | [Privacy Policy](#).

California Bicycle Coalition | 1017 L Street, #288 | Sacramento | CA | 95814



Jack Witthaus &lt;jwitthaus@sunnyvale.ca.gov&gt;

---

## Safer Sunnyvale

1 message

---

Ralph Durham ·

Tue, Jan 1, 2013 at 10:23 PM

To:

Jack Witthaus <JWitthaus@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us>

All,

Here is an article about making cities safer for all users. It does have a link to the full study.

<http://www.planetizen.com/node/50020>

The gist is the safety in numbers could be a chicken and egg phenomenon. The best changes rely on schemes which give cyclists places to ride while slowing traffic down. As I've stated before we don't need freeway width car lanes and 40-45 mph speeds in Sunnyvale.

Happy New Year.

Ralph

# PLANETIZEN

EXCLUSIVE

## Beyond Safety in Numbers: Why Bike Friendly Cities are Safer

Monday, June 27, 2011 - 9:00am PDT by NORMAN W. GARRICK WESLEY E. MARSHALL

Transportation

Like 311

Studies often show that bicyclists find "safety in numbers." Norman Garrick and Wes Marshall explain that the street design strategies that attract bike riders are the same ones that improve road safety for all road users.



Davis, California. Photos by the author.

Davis, California, is widely celebrated as the bicycling capital of the United States with

over 16% of the population commuting to work on bikes. What is less well known is the fact that the traffic fatality rate in Davis is also unusually low, at about 1/10th of the California statewide rate. Although this fact is not widely disseminated, there is growing data showing that cities with very high use of bikes for routine transportation almost always have much lower than average traffic fatality rates.

The finding that most bike friendly cities are safer than average has been reinforced by the recent experience of cities such as Cambridge, MA, Portland, OR, and New York. These cities have garnered much press for their success in dramatically increasing bike use over the last several years. This increase in bike ridership has corresponded with an equally dramatic decrease in traffic fatality rates in all three cities.

Interestingly, the decrease in fatality occurred not just for people on bikes, but for all classes of road users – including people in cars and people on foot. In other words, the increase in bike use has benefited all road users by helping transform the streets into safer places.

So what is the cause of this beneficial relationship between bike use and traffic safety in so many American cities? In the early 2000s, Peter Jacobsen, one of the first researchers to report on the subject of high biking cities being safer, suggested that the cause was 'safety in numbers'. He stated that high bike use cities were generally safer than others because the very presence of bikers conditioned drivers to behave with more care. Since then, the 'safety in numbers' hypothesis has been routinely offered as the default explanation for any improvement in safety associated with increased bike use.



Bike lanes and track in Alameda, California.

While there is undoubtedly much truth to the idea of 'safety in numbers,' this explanation by itself is incomplete and leaves many questions unanswered. For one, it does not provide any guidance about how to increase bike numbers to a point that will bring about increased safety. Also, it founders on the classic chicken and egg problem. Do high numbers of bikers bring about safety or does a perception of safety bring out more bikers?

The key issue for cities trying to improve their biking environment is for them to understand the strategies that will be most effective for achieving their goal of a safer, more sustainable transportation system. Their cause would be aided by having a better understanding of the underlying factors contributing to the safety of existing bike friendly cities. For example, it would be useful to know the key differences in transportation infrastructure that set bike friendly communities apart from other cities. Also, is there evidence to suggest that these differences contribute to safety?

Recently, in the journal *Environmental Practice*, we published *Evidence on Why Bike-Friendly Cities are Safer for All Road Users*, which examined eleven years of traffic safety records for 24 medium-sized California cities with various levels of bike use. One goal of our study was to assess how differences in street and street network design

might contribute to higher numbers of bike users and, concurrently, to a better traffic safety record.

Initially, we found that the 24 cities in our study could be divided into 4 distinct groups: 4 high biking cities, 4 medium biking use cities, and two groups of cities with low bike use (4 low biking cities with a low traffic fatality rate and 12 low biking cities with a high traffic fatality rate).

To best highlight the salient differences between the four groups of cities, we limit this discussion to two of the four groups: the high biking cities and the low biking/high fatality cities. We found that the high biking cities averaged 2.5 fatalities per year per 100,000 residents compared to almost 9 deaths per 100,000 for the low biking cities. Moreover, all classes of road users were at greater risk in the low biking cities. For people in vehicles, the fatality level was on average 4 times higher in the low biking cities. This is perhaps not surprising, since there were more people driving in the low biking cities.

What is surprising, and disconcerting, is that there were twice as many bike fatalities in these low biking cities compared to the cities with many, many more bike riders. In other words, for anyone brave enough to use a bike in these low biking cities, the risk of injury or death was astronomical. Conversely the traffic fatality risk from biking riding in bike friendly cities is much lower than is generally recognized.

It is important to note that this disparity in fatality rates between cities was not necessarily due to fewer accidents – in fact, the high biking cities had more fender benders than did the low biking cities. Instead, the difference in fatality rates between the cities related to difference in the severity of the crashes that did occur. In other words, most crashes in the high biking cities resulted in little or no injury, while a much higher percentage of accidents resulted in a catastrophic outcome – either severe injury or death – in the low biking cities with high fatality rates.

This is a key finding, because it points to one important difference between the groups of cities. The results strongly suggest that crashes in the safer cities are occurring at lower speeds and, as such, the result of any given crash is less catastrophic. We have not yet conducted speed measures in all 24 cities, but in the 6 cities that we have sampled, the measured speeds on major streets in the safer cities (the high and medium bike use cities) are significantly lower than in the low biking



cities.

Although the 'safety in numbers' effect might account for some amount of disparity in vehicle speed, it is likely that a bigger factor is related to the design of the street and the street network in the various cities. Our data provides strong evidence for this conclusion. For example, we discovered that the street network density in the high biking cities is almost twice that in the low biking cities with high fatalities.

The cross-section of the major streets was also different. In general, the streets in the high biking cities were narrower by about 3 ft on average. This is not a huge difference, but these cities with narrower streets also do much more within their street cross-sections, since they have far more miles of on-street parking and bike lanes.

Taken together, these street and street network characteristics of our high bike use cities add up to an environment that is likely much more attractive for the causal bike rider.

We also know that the cities like Cambridge, Portland, and New York – that have had recent success in increasing bike use – have often taken steps to reduce motor vehicle speeds and volumes on streets with bike facilities or bike facility crossings. They have done this by reducing space for cars and adding space for bikes. They have also employed traffic-calming strategies and focused on providing safe opportunities for people on bikes to cross the busier roads. In other words, they have made changes that make their streets more like the streets in the high biking cities in our study. So it is perhaps not surprising that these cities have also seen a reduction in the traffic fatality rate to a level comparable to that in our high biking cities.

There is also evidence to suggest that just putting down paint to create bike lanes next to fast moving traffic may not get the job done. In fact, a few of our low biking cities did have extensive bike lanes on major arterials. However, these cities have not been successful and never saw the biking numbers, or the safety benefits.

Ultimately 'safety in numbers' does not just happen. Instead, our research suggests that the same strategies that attract bike riders are the same ones that improve road safety for all road users. Cities should indeed strive for 'safety in numbers' but before they can get to that point, they need to create bicycle friendly streets that will make it comfortable enough for the average Jane and Joe to take up biking. It is this act of creating comfortable and complete biking networks that ultimately results in both making cities biking friendly and, at the same time, making biking friendly cities safer for all users.

[Log in](#) or [register](#) to post comments

## COMMENTS

---

### **Bicycle friendly communities - safe, or is it wishful thinking?**

# Submitted by IANBRETT COOPER on 21 July 2011 - 08:51am

Forgive me for being a little skeptical, but where are the statistics that prove that bicycle friendly communities are safer for cyclists than non-bicycle friendly communities? All the evidence I've seen (from studies done in Europe and Scandinavia) indicates that segregated bicycle facilities DECREASE safety even though they boost ridership. I fear this notion of enhanced safety is merely wishful thinking.

[Log in](#) or [register](#) to post comments

### **You raise two different issues: friendliness and facility type**

# Submitted by CVANEMPEL on 27 July 2011 - 18:53pm

Bicycle friendliness is an overall idea that can be indirectly measured by counting bicyclists and by asking them how safe they feel riding in their communities.

The second issue you raise is about facility type, but you say "segregated" bicycle facility. This doesn't have a clear meaning in law or planning. There are several types. There is the Class I facility, which is completely grade separated. There is the Class II facility, which is an on-road facility demarcated by striping. There is the Class III facility, which is a street that has a sign on it, similar to every other street in most respects. Then there is the bicycle track, which is on-road and separated from traffic by a raised curb, and often protected by parked cars. And there is the "sharrow," which is a facility in between a Class II and a Class III, but with stencils on the street pavement.

Not knowing to which facility type you refer, I can only suggest you read Wachtel regarding Class I facilities and talk to Portland and Davis about their bicycle tracks and extensive experience with other facility types. Also, around 1995, the University of North Carolina performed a study of motorist behavior around bicycle riders with and without a demarcated on-road facility.

I'm sure if you read Garrick's and Marshall's paper you will find safety data to satisfy your interest.

[Log in](#) or [register](#) to post comments

## YOU MIGHT LIKE

---

Increased Ridership Often Means Increased Safety for Cyclists [October 11, 2010 - 1:00pm](#)

Eliminate Child Safety Program to Cut Budget? [June 6, 2009 - 9:00am](#)

To Combat Pedestrian Deaths, Shift Blame from the Victim [February 15, 2013 - 9:00am](#)

With Major Bills Expiring, Can the House Find a Path Forward for Infrastructure Improvements?  
[February 15, 2013 - 8:00am](#)

Cars and Vibrant Cities: Opposites That Don't Attract [February 14, 2013 - 5:00am](#)

State of the Union Recap: Energy, Economy, Infrastructure and Environment [February 13, 2013 - 11:00am](#)

Successful Strategies for Building Up the Suburbs [February 12, 2013 - 12:00pm](#)

A Speculative Map Makes the Case for America's Dream Rail System [February 10, 2013 - 11:00am](#)

LaHood Replacement Likely to be NTSB Chief Hersman [February 9, 2013 - 7:00am](#)

New Documentary Promotes Passenger Trains in U.S. [February 7, 2013 - 2:00pm](#)

ADVERTISE WITH US

**RESEARCH MAKES  
PLANNING POSSIBLE**



[LEARN MORE](#)

# PLANETIZEN

# AICP\* EXAM PREP



## ONLINE COURSE

Instructor:

Jennifer


Evans-Cowley, PhD, AICP

**2013 CNU  
CHARTER  
AWARDS**

**GLOBAL AWARD  
FOR EXCELLENCE  
IN URBAN DESIGN**



PLANETIZEN Courses

# SKETCHUP FOR PLANNERS INTRODUCTION



# PLANETIZEN IN THE STORE

Apparel, Books, DVDs, etc.



# WEAR YOU LIVE CITY T-SHIRTS

Boston  
Chicago  
Los Angeles  
New York  
Washington DC  
San Francisco



LATEST POPULAR

- To Combat Pedestrian Deaths, Shift Blame from the Victim
- With Major Bills Expiring, Can the House Find a Path Forward for Infrastructure Improvements?
- London Plans Low Emissions Zone; Too Little, Too Late?
- Planning for People
- This Old House: Ranking America's Oldest Cities
- SFMOMA Retrospective is a Love Letter to Lebbeus Woods
- Burned by Sandy, Hoboken Seeks to Become Model for Hurricane Resilience
- The 3D-Printed Car: Passion Project Becomes Reality
- MIT Shows its Love for 'Advanced Urbanism'
- Is Obama Set to Break Environmentalists' Hearts?

MORE >

## ANNOUNCEMENTS

---

**Come to Portland and get your NCI Certificates!**

3 days ago

*National Charrette Institute*

**Downtown Master Plan RFP**

3 days ago

*City of Auburn, AL*

**Get a Big Bang from Tight Budgets with Short-Course Webinars Live from APA's National Planning Conference, April 13-14**

4 days ago

*American Planning Association*

MORE >

POST

## JOBS

---

**Planning Director**

*Town of Portola Valley*

**Deputy Director of Housing & Neighborhood Development**

*Salt Lake City Community Development Division*

**Regional/Transportation Planner**

*Greater Bridgeport Regional Council*

**Detroit Revitalization Fellow**

*Detroit Revitalization Fellows Program*

**Instructor**

*Planetizen / Urban Insight, Inc.*

MORE >

POST



### NEWSWIRE SIGNUP

Get news in your inbox.

Name

Email

About the Newswire

SUBSCRIBE

## EXPLORE PLANETIZEN

---

- [Top Books](#)
- [Top Thinkers](#)
- [Top Websites](#)
- [Schools Directory](#)
- [News by Region](#)
- [Store](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [Radar](#)

Planetizen [www.planetizen.com](http://www.planetizen.com) | Copyright © 2000 - 2012 Urban Insight, Inc. All rights reserved

Email: [editor@planetizen.com](mailto:editor@planetizen.com) | Phone: 877-260-7526 | [Advertise](#) | [Contact Us](#)



Jack Witthaus &lt;jwitthaus@sunnyvale.ca.gov&gt;

---

**bike infrastructure**

1 message

---

**Ralph Durham**

Sat, Dec 29, 2012 at 12:31 AM

To:

Jack Witthaus &lt;JWitthaus@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us&gt;

All,

Just saw this article about some changes in London. Of course we have to switch sides...

<http://www.standard.co.uk/news/london/cycle-lanes-for-undertaking-buses-8428588.html>

Ralph

Search

Go

Like 26k

Follow

Games Jobs Dating E-Edition H&P Shop ES Shop Register Login




HOME NEWS SPORT BUSINESS COMMENT ARTS GOING OUT LIFE & STYLE SHOWBIZ OFFERS HOMES & PROPERTY LADDER FOR LONDON

London Crime Politics Mayor Transport Education Health Technology UK World Ladder for London Reading Dispossessed Diary Live events

Hot Topics David Cameron Rafael Benitez Ed Miliband Andre Villas-Boas Boris Johnson Chelsea F.c.

# Cycle lanes for undertaking buses

## But charity fears danger to blind pedestrians



Inside track: the new lanes on cycle superhighways will be cut into pavements so bikes are not forced into the traffic

Recommend 97

Tweet 111

Share

10

Ross Lydall Chief News Correspondent

21 December 2012

Bus stops are to be redesigned to prevent cyclists being forced into traffic as they ride past buses picking up passengers.

The designs are to be tested on one of Boris Johnson's "cycle superhighways" during its extension to the Olympic Park, to improve safety at one of London's busiest gyratory systems.

Cycle lanes will be cut into pavements to allow cyclists to pass to the left of stationary buses rather than having to move into the car lane.

The scheme, which is expected to be installed from late spring after public consultation, extends the superhighway 1.5 miles east from Bow roundabout, where cyclists Brian Dorling and Svllana Tereschenko were killed by lorries last year.

However, David Kent, London engagement officer of Guide Dogs for the Blind, said the design would put visually impaired people at risk.

He said: "Cyclists are impossible to hear — they are the silent menace. Where it puts our particular client group at risk is exactly with designs like this." The arrival of the superhighway in Stratford marks a U-turn for Newham council after the

Share

### Related Articles

Dithering over airports will cost us our seats, Tories tell David Cameron

Congestion Charge 'has cost drivers £2.6bn in decade but failed to cut traffic jams'

Hindes: I won gold at the Olympics but last week was best of my life

### Suggested Topics

Visual Impairments

Boris Johnson

Transport For London

Cycling

### Top stories in News

Oscar Pistorius weeps in court amid claims girlfriend was 'shot through bathroom door'



Dan Jones: Bad times will soon be over now the beard is back

Sir Jonathan Ive receives 'incredible' gold badge on special Blue Peter show

Nadine Dorries faces expenses probe

Helen Boaden makes the Woman's Hour Power List

Amsterdam escapes, including flights £199...

Most Read

Commented

Shared



Oscar Pistorius weeps in court amid claims girlfriend was 'shot through bathroom door'



Coronation Street writes out Michael Le Vell after he is charged with raping a child



Tots amaze! The model babies at the front of fashion



Law firm stopped bothering with me after I became pregnant says trainee



Before they were famous - the dramatic makeovers of stars

### SPONSORED FEATURES

#### NEWSLETTER SIGNUP

Sign-up for our weekly Reader Offers email

A fantastic selection of offers, giveaways and promotions.

[Sign-up now](#)