

Proposed 2010 Council Study Issue

DPW09-03 Suitable Bicycle Schemes for Office, Shopping Centers and Entertainment Venues

Lead Department Public Works

Element or Sub-element Land Use and Transportation Element

New or Previous Previous

Status Pending **History** 1 year ago Deferred 2 years ago None

1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?

This study would review current design standards and guidelines (such as provisions of the VTA Bicycle Technical Guidelines) relative to the City development review practices. The Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission is concerned with some design shortfalls when providing bicycle parking. These include physical obstructions that restrict access to the bicycle lockers/racks, lack of adequate lighting, and use of storage space for other than bicycle parking. It is also believed that employers that allow employees to bring their bicycles into the work place may not be required to provide bicycle parking. The study would result in recommending design standards with regard to bicycle parking.

2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?

C3.5 Support a variety of transportation modes.
C3.5.4 Maximize the provision of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

3. Origin of issue

Council Member(s)
General Plan
City Staff
Public
Board or Commission Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

4. Multiple Year Project? No **Planned Completion Year** 2010

5. Expected participation involved in the study issue process?

Does Council need to approve a work plan? No

Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission? Yes

If so, which?
Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, Planning Commission

Is a Council Study Session anticipated? No

What is the public participation process?
Public hearings that take place as part of the BPAC and Planning Commission meetings.

6. Cost of Study

Operating Budget Program covering costs
115 Transportation Operation

Project Budget covering costs
Budget modification \$ amount needed for study
Explain below what the additional funding will be used for

7. Potential fiscal impact to implement recommendations in the Study approved by Council

Capital expenditure range None
Operating expenditure range None
New revenues/savings range None

Explain impact briefly
 Any new recommended standards would be implemented by private developers as different sites within the City redevelop. Should such standards vary from the already published regional standards, the City will need to develop and publish the new requirements.

8. Staff Recommendation

Staff Recommendation Against Study

If 'For Study' or 'Against Study', explain
 There are well recognized regional standards that are in use. Elements such as grading, lighting, installation clearances, etc. are reviewed on a regular basis as part of the development plans review.

9. Estimated consultant hours for completion of the study issue

Managers	Role	Manager	Hours	
Lead	Withthaus, Jack	Mgr CY1:	40	Mgr CY2: 0
		Staff CY1:	100	Staff CY2: 0
Support	Ryan, Trudi	Mgr CY1:	20	Mgr CY2: 0
		Staff CY1:	50	Staff CY2: 0
Total Hours CY1:			210	
Total Hours CY2:			0	

Note: If staff's recommendation is 'For Study' or 'Against Study', the Director should note the relative importance of this Study to other major projects that the Department is currently working on or that are soon to begin, and the impact on existing services/priorities.

Reviewed by Maurice A. Rose Date 10/11/09
 Department Director

Approved by [Signature] Date 10/10/09
 City Manager

Addendum

A. Board / Commission Recommendation

Issue Created Too Late for B/C Ranking

Board or Commission	Rank	Rank 1 year ago	Rank 2 years ago
Arts Commission			
Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee	Drop		Tie 3 & 4
Board of Building Code Appeals			
Board of Library Trustees			
Child Care Advisory Board			
Heritage Preservation Commission			
Housing and Human Services Commission			
Parks and Recreation Commission			
Personnel Board			
Planning Commission			

Board or Commission ranking comments

Subsequent to the initial ranking by the BPAC, two study issue papers inadvertently were not ranked causing the BPAC to re-rank their list of study issues. The result for DPW 09-03 as ranked by the BPAC is to "drop" this issue.

B. Council

Council Rank (no rank yet)
Start Date (blank)
Work Plan Review Date (blank)
Study Session Date (blank)
RTC Date (blank)
Actual Complete Date (blank)
Staff Contact