

## 2013 Council Study Issue

## DPW 13-06 Review of On-Street Parking at Private Residences in Order to Emphasize Bicyclists Needs

**Lead Department** Public Works

**History**                    **1 year ago** None            **2 years ago** None

### 1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?

This study would seek to identify methods to ease the impact of on-street parking removal for installation of bike lanes. Steps such as relaxed on-site parking standards to allow more parking off-street (i.e. allowing paving of side yards, landscaped areas), rationalized on-site parking standards, development of satellite parking facilities, provision of special event parking permits for limited duration on-street parking, bike sharing, car sharing or other creative means to lesson the perception of ownership and need of public on-street parking by adjacent residents would be explored. The purpose would be to improve the City's ability to provide safe street space for bicycling. The outcome of the study could be recommendations for regulations and programs related to public and private parking of motor vehicles.

### 2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?

LT-5.4f Manage on-street parking to assure safe, efficient traffic flow.

GOAL CC-2 Attractive Street Environment — Create an attractive street environment which will complement private and public properties and be comfortable for residents and visitors.

### 3. Origin of issue

**Board or Commission** Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission

### 4. Staff effort required to conduct study Major

#### Briefly explain the level of staff effort required

The breadth of this study would require considerable research to identify, develop, and scope parking programs and facilities to meet the study objective.

### 5. Multiple Year Project? No      Planned Completion Year 2013

### 6. Expected participation involved in the study issue process?

**Does Council need to approve a work plan?** No

**Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission?** Yes

**If so, which?** Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory  
Commission, Planning Commission

**Is a Council Study Session anticipated?** No

### 7. Briefly explain if a budget modification will be required to study this issue

**Amount of budget modification required** 30000

**Explanation**

An outside consultant would be needed to develop and evaluate programs that could reduce the need for on-street parking.

**8. Briefly explain potential costs of implementing study results, note estimated capital and operating costs, as well as estimated revenue/savings, include dollar amounts**

**Are there costs of implementation?** Yes

**Explanation**

This study could result in adoption of policy or procedures that would allow the City to consider the costs and benefits of implementing measures to mitigate the elimination of on-street parking as part of future projects. Modification of streets would have an associated, unknown cost. The study could also recommend the adoption of new programs and/or facilities to reduce parking demand. These programs would have an associated, unknown cost.

**9. Staff Recommendation**

**Staff Recommendation** Drop

**If 'Support', 'Drop' or 'Defer', explain**

This issue is likely to be highly controversial and as currently scoped, have potential impacts to many city residents. The general topic and the broad nature of the proposals to be considered makes this a difficult study to effectively engage the public at large, and therefore it would be difficult to identify and craft policies and procedures that truly reflect a reasonable and effective balance between the interests of the cycling community and the general City population. Elements of this proposal, such as car share programs, bike share programs, or changed parking regulations or programs could benefit future bike corridors, but the far-reaching nature of this proposal would make the logistics of conducting an effective study very difficult.

Staff feels the impacts created by the removal of on-street parking are very neighborhood specific. This proposed study would do little to reduce the need for good neighborhood outreach and site specific analysis when the removal of the on-street parking is proposed.

**Reviewed by**



**Department Director**

10-1-12

**Date**

**Approved by**



**City Manager**

10-2-12

**Date**