

Page 2, Q1. Would you support adding more dog parks in Sunnyvale parks with permanently fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

25	We don't need more dog parks... We just need off leash privileges to exercise and socialize our dogs at our neighborhood parks without being constantly hunted down by Animal Control.	Apr 19, 2013 9:04 AM
26	I kinda dont have enough money	Apr 18, 2013 2:38 PM
27	Dogs have been way too much in ponderosa park, sunnyvale - and many of the times unchained - which proves to be terrifying experience for old people and kids who visit the park for leisure. One such time, when i was pregnant i was taking a walk - and got chased by 3 big dogs in multiple directions - the owner had let loose and i had to run from the park to the school soccer ground, where the chasing continued and finally my husband rescued me..I would need a number to call and complain and there should be strict enforcement that dogs should not be there except in their specified leash areas.	Apr 18, 2013 2:30 PM
28	But only for very large parks, not the smaller ones. In addition stricter enforcement of off leash dogs in such parks.	Apr 18, 2013 10:38 AM
29	I am a dog owner. I will not support adding more permanently faced areas for dog because city can not properly maintain the only dog park that we already have. Instead of building new dog parks let's discuss off-leash hours in the existing parks.	Apr 17, 2013 3:35 PM
30	We are losing open space. The dog park in Las Palmas went from green to dirt in a few months.	Apr 17, 2013 9:40 AM
31	The existing park is quite often overcrowded and poorly self-policed (lots of ill-behaved dogs). Having more and smaller parks would allow for some options and, hopefully, better distribute the ill-behaved dogs.	Apr 16, 2013 10:12 PM
32	I would for others, but we have CCI dogs, and are not allowed to take them to dog parks. There are more and more people who have dogs, and not much space for them to run, so it is great for them.	Apr 15, 2013 4:40 PM
33	It restricts the majority of us from using those areas, especially those with small kids.	Apr 15, 2013 10:15 AM
34	The dog owners are not responsible enough to keep their dogs on leashes while heading over to the off-leash areas.	Apr 15, 2013 10:13 AM
35	Generally speaking I have seen dog owners use the public parks freely anyway. It makes it difficult for those of us with small children to play in the same area. Completely fenced in dog parks are ok.	Apr 15, 2013 9:34 AM
36	The current Sunnyvale dog park is one of the worst dog parks I have seen. It's hot, dirty, and uninviting. If that's the kind of dog park you envision, don't bother.	Apr 12, 2013 1:29 PM
37	As a long time resident of Sunnyvale and a dog owner I would have to rate the current Las Palmas dog park a failure. Almost always in shade, no grass, either too dusty or muddy. The water station for the dogs is, in a word, unsanitary. I've been to a number of dog parks in the surrounding area and our dog park is a	Apr 11, 2013 9:17 PM

Page 2, Q1. Would you support adding more dog parks in Sunnyvale parks with permanently fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

	failure and is not a good model going forward.	
38	As long as they are fenced off areas.	Apr 11, 2013 8:42 PM
39	Not enough parkland for people.	Apr 11, 2013 4:00 PM
40	Sunnyvale has made it IMPOSSIBLE for dog owners to have a public place for our animals to play legally. There is one dog park ... for BIG dogs only. It's dirty and not safe for small dogs. I've lived in Sunnyvale for 40 years and have been proud of my city. Not anymore! The recent crack-down on tickets is unbelievable. \$193/each! Does that REALLY fit the crime for an off-leash dog? You have accomplished your mission and killed the gathering of a great group of residents that used to enjoy each other's company while letting our dogs play. No harm was inflicted on anyone. Hopefully you have received enough revenue by now to help solve the problem instead of behaving like Nazi's.	Apr 10, 2013 8:59 PM
41	Creates crowded dog conditions which results in dirt, dust, mud. These areas are never large enough for far flung balls and Frisbees. The areas being considered are not Panama Park, which is my neighborhood Park and most convenient to walk to. There are many unused hours at Panama Park. These early morning hours and late evening hours are perfect for off leash dogs. Please allow off leash use of parks, while they are otherwise unused. I know liability seems to be a sticking point with the City, so please let dog owners work out an insurance solution, if that is not covered by our property taxes. Why are unfenced off leash areas so easy for Niles in the east bay including lake swimming for dogs etc?	Apr 10, 2013 11:31 AM
42	People don't control their dogs as it is. There is actually poop found every day of the week in Las Palmas.	Apr 8, 2013 10:28 PM
43	I feel the parks are being taken over by dogs. Soccer practices and casual sports games are constantly interrupted by dogs interfering (even though they should not be off-leash) or people stepping in dog poop. All dog owners think their dogs are well-behaved but kids like to run in the park and dogs like to chase a running child.	Apr 8, 2013 10:12 PM
44	Save tax money for more important issues	Apr 8, 2013 9:10 PM
45	There does not appear to be a capacity problem at the Las Palmas dog park. Until a clear capacity problem is demonstrated, why should more dog parks be added?	Apr 8, 2013 7:06 PM
46	The existing dog parks are dirty and smelly. Visited one in San Diego that was grassy and beautiful, off-leash park with no fences.	Apr 8, 2013 1:39 PM
47	1. Loss of already limited open space. 2. Current dog park is not well cared for - formerly green grass is now only foul-smelling dirt & dust.	Apr 8, 2013 9:16 AM
48	The grass disappears and the result is dirt for the rest of the life of the dog park.	Apr 7, 2013 6:18 PM
49	Many people take their dogs off-leash illegally at John Christian Greenbell between Morse Ave and Borregas Ave - hopefully a dog park would encourage	Apr 7, 2013 6:01 PM

Page 2, Q1. Would you support adding more dog parks in Sunnyvale parks with permanently fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

them to take the off leash dogs there instead

50	I would like to see only one more and that it be in the north part of Sunnyvale.	Apr 6, 2013 9:41 AM
51	1 - Cost of installation And maintaining, grass, watering, clean-up. 2 - It seems dog owners are not willing to travel to the dog park Sunnyvale currently has. 3 - Even if more dog parks are added, I feel dog owners would still not be willing to travel to one. 4 - How about adding a dog park to every park, why just the ones listed ? (Cost) 5 - Dog owners (like smokers) don't seem to be very responsible for their dogs (Clean-up). 6 - Would these added dog parks be just like the one (Dirt) dog park we currently have ? 7 - Current dog leash laws don't seem to be enforced anyway.	Apr 6, 2013 2:12 AM
52	Dog owners are frequently not responsible -- the problem is not the dogs but the owners - IF there is Park staff to supervise it would be o.k.	Apr 5, 2013 9:03 PM
53	Depends on location. Not at the smaller playground areas. Would support dog park at Baylands.	Apr 5, 2013 7:36 PM
54	A large number of dog owners are not considerate for small children and left litter behind. This makes children less clean and safe area to play.	Apr 5, 2013 6:02 PM
55	There are sufficient dog parks already. Sunnyvale doesn't have enough park space as it is. Adding dog parks takes away from green space that all people can use.	Apr 5, 2013 4:42 PM
56	Humans should have priority for limited open space.	Apr 5, 2013 11:58 AM
57	Don't own a dog but it is so much safer for them to be running around in an enclosed area than on the roads	Apr 5, 2013 2:36 AM
58	Strongly support! The only Sunnyvale dog park (in Las Palmas park) is very small, dirty, and overcrowded. There is no way dogs can exercise in such an environment. First of all, there is no space for running. The Dogs mostly sniff each other and get overexcited in such a crowd. Another problem: there is no place in Sunnyvale where families can go with dogs off-leash and kids. You can't take small kids to Las Palmas dog park - too dirty...	Apr 5, 2013 2:05 AM
59	I am afraid it would take away park area for people who do not have dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 11:30 PM
60	I prefer the few open areas we have to remain available for kids to use, not dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 11:05 PM
61	Also making the one we have into large and small dog sides and add some sand or gravel or something to make it not blow all over when windy. The area is beautiful, just needs some TLC	Apr 4, 2013 6:29 PM
62	Concerns of negative issues arising from dog parks, such as aggressive dog behaviors, arguments between dog owners, unpredictable dog behavior leading to attacks on meeker dogs, etc. Unless one's dog is socialized prior to using a dog park, troubles will arise both human and canine.	Apr 4, 2013 2:07 PM
63	Concerned about children's safety and noise level.	Apr 4, 2013 1:21 PM

Page 2, Q1. Would you support adding more dog parks in Sunnyvale parks with permanently fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

64	However, I am more in support of every park being accessible to dogs on-leash.	Apr 4, 2013 11:37 AM
65	It doesn't look to me like they get much use, relative to the population, yet the land is lost for other purposes. The one we have smells bad and is unattractive. I think there are many higher priority things to spend money on.	Apr 3, 2013 9:20 PM
66	More traffic on the roads, and more noise for the residents living close to the park. The space also becomes restricted for other activities such as running, jogging etc., as well as sports that we play on the fields like volleyball, badminton etc.	Apr 3, 2013 8:57 PM
67	increased traffic and noise, less space in the park for human activities, sports for adults and children.	Apr 3, 2013 8:53 PM
68	I would, but not those unnatural sandy places that no child or dog would want to play in. How about something near Raynor? We have dogs too and prefer to be able to walk rather than drive.	Apr 3, 2013 7:49 PM
69	I rather have off leash time in a Sunnyvale parks.	Apr 3, 2013 7:22 PM
70	I'd rather see funds diverted to help children over dogs. In this environment where every nickie counts, there are far better uses for the money.	Apr 3, 2013 6:58 PM
71	I thought parks were for kids to play.	Apr 3, 2013 3:10 PM
72	I have a dog, but never use the dog parks we have now. They are filthy, smelly, and have aggressive dogs with idiot owners.	Apr 3, 2013 1:14 PM
73	Not in any of the parks you propose. I would only support an additional dog park in our Baylands park which is out of the major population area	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
74	Fenced in dog parks tend to be small. While they may start off with grass, over time, they end up being dirt fields. The people that tend to use these parks are not well educated, either as in school education or dog specific. Since they don't know much, their dogs don't know much and their dogs are typically aggressive and dominate the park. I do not take my dogs to dog parks.	Apr 3, 2013 11:41 AM
75	I feel the amount we have now is more than adequate.	Apr 3, 2013 11:40 AM
76	We need more money/spaces for childcare for kids and seniors than for dogs.	Apr 3, 2013 10:18 AM
77	There's currently not enough space for people, people should have prnnty.	Apr 3, 2013 10:11 AM
78	Because dog parks SUCK. First, they tend to be made from dirt rather than grass, so your dog gets filthy. Second, they tend to have a community trough of water so all the dogs drink from it and your dog gets kennel cough. Third: The idiot people come and unleash their dogs and then they start jawboning, meanwhile their dog is running around with no supervision whatsoever, starting fights with other dogs. This does NOT happen when a bunch of people get together on their own in an off-leash area of a regular park because those people self-police each other. Fourth: The unsupervised dog steal the supervised dogs toys, leading to more fights. Fifth: The idiot people don't clean up their dog dumps. Again, This does not happen when a bunch of people get	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 PM

Page 2, Q1. Would you support adding more dog parks in Sunnyvale parks with permanently fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

together on their own in an off-leash area of a regular park because those people self-police each other. I am a dog owner and dog parks SUCK.

79 Only if they are better maintained than the current facility at Las Palmas, which is unsafe and filthy. Apr 2, 2013 8:02 PM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

1	I would suggest Columbia community park	May 11, 2013 6:20 PM
2	Not sure what the other parks look like. Since Las Palmas already has one and it has enough room, why not	May 10, 2013 1:41 PM
3	I can't	May 10, 2013 1:35 PM
4	there really isn't any extra room at sierra park, what abt Panama park? most dog owners already use it as an off leash dog park	May 9, 2013 4:20 PM
5	Washington Park?	May 9, 2013 4:09 PM
6	See the answer above. I'd rather have money go to renovating the picnic areas and improving the field conditions.	May 6, 2013 12:35 PM
7	Downtown, it seems empty.	May 5, 2013 8:59 PM
8	Financial Cost	May 5, 2013 3:27 AM
9	Considering a dog park is not in the best interest of the public. A park that surely would be left unmonitored and unmaintained. There are more intelligent ways to spend our money. Just look at the condition of our roadways or the condition of existing park areas. That would be a wise use of funds.	May 3, 2013 9:44 AM
10	There are already too many dogs and too much poop at las palmas. I don't think we need to increase the facility	May 3, 2013 9:01 AM
11	I suggest ponderosa park	May 2, 2013 6:48 PM
12	I would change my answers to "yes" if the rules are strictly enforced and anyone with an off-leash dog in these parks should be subject to a hefty fine. But of course this cannot possibly be enforced because public safety has better things to do than patrol a dog park.	May 2, 2013 8:56 AM
13	How about just keeping the dog on it's leash? and maybe just having several dog runs instead? The "dog runs" could be fenced off and managed more efficiently than dog parks where the dogs could fight with each other if they are at a park. Less liability! Dog runs would be manage by a sign up sheet like a tennis court. When it is your dog's turn you would go inside the dog run and then take off the leash. The space would be smaller and easier to manage.	May 1, 2013 5:21 PM
14	No	May 1, 2013 4:44 PM
15	Don't need two dog parks	May 1, 2013 4:20 PM
16	prefer fair oaks then lakewood, then serra then las palmas	May 1, 2013 1:11 PM
17	Until the city is willing to take care of the homeless problem around fair oaks i refuse to even go there - I would not trust an area there to be clean and safe for me or my dog.	May 1, 2013 11:08 AM
18	Just make las palmas a off leash park. Fair oaks park is a waste of resources. No one will go there anyway because people constantly get harassed by	May 1, 2013 9:56 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

	homeless.	
19	I would like to see a dog park on the north side of town. We live on Ahwanee near Fair Oaks and it takes as long to get across town to Las Palmas as it does to drive to the Mtn. View dog park at Shoreline. The dog park at Shoreline is a great model: waste bags, surface is decomposed granite so it is easy clean up, easy & clean water system, there are large dog & small dog enclosures and the space is very well maintained. There are shade trees and easy access parking.	Apr 30, 2013 9:49 PM
20	Ortega park may be nice as well	Apr 30, 2013 9:38 AM
21	No, because of the financial costs involved to implement and maintain.	Apr 30, 2013 3:47 AM
22	See answer #1 above.	Apr 29, 2013 9:23 PM
23	There is already a fenced in park at Las Palmas. As a homeowner nearby it is frustrating to see people driving to the park and letting their dogs run off lease in the park. Having a dog park at Las Palmas attracts dog owners there and then they feel like they can take over the entire park which is what is happening now, to the detriment of a safe environment for kids.	Apr 28, 2013 9:27 AM
24	There is room at Baylands Park for a dog area as well.	Apr 27, 2013 11:45 AM
25	MY DOG LIKES TO ROLL IN THE GRASS AND WE BOTH PREFER THE OPEN PARK. A BETTER SOLUTION WOULD BE OFF LEASH HOURS FOR DOGS.	Apr 27, 2013 8:50 AM
26	dangerous part of town-I wouldn't go there.	Apr 25, 2013 2:22 PM
27	If the new area for small dogs will be in the boundaries of the existing one, then that's OK. Otherwise, taking more space out of the park will be inconvenient. As for Lakewood, it's a park where you can use fire pits, so that is a feature which is unique to that park, thus no additions should be done there.	Apr 25, 2013 1:40 PM
28	Raynor Park, no where else is convenient without requiring driving.	Apr 24, 2013 2:37 PM
29	If a dog is social, they should be able to play and socialize with a dog of any size.	Apr 24, 2013 11:16 AM
30	Off leash hours at Panama Park.	Apr 23, 2013 8:19 PM
31	Another location. Morse Ave & Werdell	Apr 23, 2013 6:18 PM
32	Upper Sunnyvale not as nice as an area, thus people would watch their dogs less and care for the park area less.	Apr 23, 2013 1:55 PM
33	I am new to the area, so not sure which locations.	Apr 23, 2013 1:17 PM
34	I really like the idea of a small dog area	Apr 23, 2013 12:38 PM
35	Off leash hours at Panama Park	Apr 23, 2013 12:12 PM
36	Feel that the Las Palmas Park Dog area needs to be upgraded	Apr 22, 2013 4:59 PM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

37	Las Palmas' space is always used by kids playing soccer/softball. It would be a shame to lose any additional space. I can't see where you would add a dog park at Serra Park without taking away some of the well-used open space.	Apr 22, 2013 3:57 PM
38	Washington Park - Downtown area?	Apr 22, 2013 12:10 PM
39	I'm only familiar with Fair Oaks and Las Palmas because they are near me. I would love to be able to take my dog there.	Apr 21, 2013 8:27 PM
40	Dog parks are great, but are filthy enclosed spaces. If the city were able to maintain grass/vegetation and the area was ample, then a resounding Yes.	Apr 21, 2013 5:00 PM
41	I would want Panama park to have an off-leash hour. None of these parks matter to me because they are all fairly far from where I live. I would like to have my dog play in a big, open field that Panama Park has to provide.	Apr 21, 2013 1:08 PM
42	We require the off-leash hours in Panama Park in Sunnyvale.	Apr 21, 2013 9:27 AM
43	I would like to suggest Ponderosa Park.	Apr 21, 2013 8:23 AM
44	Panama Park, off-leash hours	Apr 20, 2013 10:51 PM
45	Panama Park with off-leash hours.	Apr 20, 2013 10:45 PM
46	Panama Park, off-leash hours	Apr 20, 2013 10:33 PM
47	Off-leash hours in Panama Park is what our family wants.	Apr 20, 2013 10:19 PM
48	Please allow off-leash hours in Panama Park. I believe majority of the dog owners just want to take their dogs to a neighborhood park for a short run before work and after work. Driving miles to a designated dog park is not practical. We live near Panama Park and would like to have off-leash hours there. The dog owners around Panama Park are responsible and the dogs are well behaved. Thank you!	Apr 20, 2013 10:00 PM
49	I want off-leash hours in Panama Park.	Apr 20, 2013 6:19 PM
50	I constantly use Las Palmas Park. The only drawback is the dust. Quick watering in the morning would solve that problem. It would be extremely easy to fence off an area for small dogs. The park is big enough. Las Palmas is heavily used and parking is limited on weekends.	Apr 20, 2013 5:31 PM
51	My #1 choice is to have off-leash hours in Panama Park, followed by off-leash hours in all Sunnyvale parks.	Apr 20, 2013 2:56 PM
52	I would like to suggest off-leash areas/hours at Panama Park. There is a very large dog-owning community that frequents that park.	Apr 20, 2013 2:19 PM
53	Panama park is already used as is by many dog owners. Would like off-leash hours for Panama park	Apr 20, 2013 10:31 AM
54	I don't believe its necessary to add a small dog area. It is in my belief that dog owners are responsible for their dogs and it's actions. It is a waste of money. If a	Apr 18, 2013 3:42 PM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

big dog wants to play with a small dog and the owner of either party does not approve, then it is their duty to prevent it. If a dog doesn't want to play usually the other one will find someone else to play with. No need to spend more on an already existing area. Dogs will be dogs, like boys will be boys. They must learn how to deal with diversity in size and behavior. It's part of learning for them.

55	Ponderosa Park already has a high number of dogs off leash during the weeknights	Apr 18, 2013 2:32 PM
56	I would add Ortega Park to be reviewed as well.	Apr 18, 2013 11:50 AM
57	Panama Park	Apr 18, 2013 9:00 AM
58	Panama park or Ortega Park for south Sunnyvale	Apr 18, 2013 8:30 AM
59	no need to separate dogs because of their size	Apr 17, 2013 11:35 PM
60	I live close to Fair Oaks Park, and cannot speak for the other parks as I've never been to them. There are many dogs and dog owners visiting Fair Oaks Park on a daily basis, many with well-trained dogs already let them off-leash to play/run. It would be great to have a designated area so that people don't have to worry about off-leash dogs in open undesignated areas of the park.	Apr 17, 2013 11:18 PM
61	I would like to suggest Ortega and Panama park as options too.	Apr 17, 2013 10:09 PM
62	Panama Park and Ortega Park would seem like the ideal locations. Many people in this general vicinity have been harassed by Animal Control. On several occasions the harassment took the form of bullying and baseless threats of being secretly videotaped, which I would consider a violation of their rights.	Apr 17, 2013 4:09 PM
63	See my comments for the first question	Apr 17, 2013 3:35 PM
64	It would be nice to have one at the other end of Sunnyvale...spread them out a little.	Apr 17, 2013 8:50 AM
65	There are some park that has somewhat fenced. Is there any way to add some window during the day where the park can be used as dog park if permanent fenced is not feasible.	Apr 16, 2013 3:34 PM
66	Ortega park would be ideall	Apr 15, 2013 8:01 PM
67	There is a great site for a small dog park at the end of Fairwood Park right before the bridge over Calabasas creek, adjacent to the playground near the par cours section	Apr 15, 2013 7:47 PM
68	Las Palmas dog park would not be as good divided up. There isn't enough "traffic" to justify making a sub-section instead of having the whole park open to all.	Apr 15, 2013 11:58 AM
69	Dog area is all ready provided.	Apr 15, 2013 10:33 AM
70	I think adding parks to sites where there are none yet established should be a priority over giving LasPalmas an additional park.	Apr 15, 2013 10:11 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

71	These parks are not close to my home....I would like to see a limited time line off leash for Panama park.	Apr 15, 2013 9:33 AM
72	I would prefer to have it at Fair Oaks or Lakewood, the others are acceptable, but I would prefer the former.	Apr 14, 2013 1:06 PM
73	Environment of Fair Oaks Park, with transient population and uncontrolled dogs, currently not well suited for dog park.	Apr 13, 2013 4:24 PM
74	Clearly, dog owners in Las Palmas are not using the pre-designated dog area. And it's not small vs large dogs, it's just that the existing dog area is not located in as nice a spot as the big athletic field, so people take their dogs, regardless of size, to the athletic field. Not really room for another dog area at Las Palmas. The athletic field is used frequently by soccer, volleyball and baseball players, and the grass areas by the picnic tables are used by picnickers and people just hanging out and playing w/ families. Make the existing dog area nicer. This might include planting grass and, dare I say, cutting down some trees to make the area sunnier. Clearly dog owners want a sunny grassy place for their pets. Just get dog owners to use the existing dog area. Please keep unleashed dogs away from walking paths, that's all I ask.	Apr 12, 2013 2:42 PM
75	"No" to the small dog only area at Las Palmas because Las Palmas already has an area for dogs to play off-leash (many times of the day the area nearly empty). Since dog owners live all over Sunnyvale, the opportunity for nearby access off-leash play areas should be spread geographically over the city.	Apr 12, 2013 1:35 PM
76	I would like to add Panama Park. This park is used mostly in the weekday evening for soccer and little league practice. Also, for games in the afternoon or Saturday morning. It is an open field that would easily accommodate children and dogs with scheduling of 2 hours in morning and 2 hrs in afternoon either before or after practice or games.	Apr 12, 2013 11:07 AM
77	Panama park	Apr 11, 2013 9:45 PM
78	Dog hours, similar to what New York City has instituted in its parks would be a cost-effective easy to implement alternative. Why not try this as so many other cities effectively have?	Apr 11, 2013 9:17 PM
79	The Las Palmas Park is very popular, and it would be great to have a second space to separate dogs by size!	Apr 11, 2013 9:09 PM
80	Panama	Apr 11, 2013 8:49 PM
81	The first 3 parks seem big enough. Serra is really well used park with small kids, lots of volleyball parties, etc. There isn't as much room to fence off a portion, I would think. Off-leash - as long as they're inside the fenced in area.	Apr 11, 2013 8:42 PM
82	Panama park Ortega park	Apr 11, 2013 8:38 PM
83	Looking at Yelp it looks like people come from other cities to bring their dogs to Las Palmas. This shows dog owners are willing to travel. So how about one LARGE DOG/OWNERS ONLY park located away from residential areas	Apr 11, 2013 12:06 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

(baylands?), so the smell and the barking and noise will not affect the neighborhood. This may be cheaper than adding three new dog parks, and upgrading Las Palmas. With a large park the grass will less likely be trampled and die, because of the much larger area for the dogs to run. Also divide it up for small and large dogs.

84	Ortega park	Apr 10, 2013 8:52 PM
85	Everyone with a dog should have a nearby location to let their dog run, play & socialize legally. Sunnyvale is probably one of the few Bay Area cities that doesn't have a park for both large & small dogs. You are a criminal in this city if you have a small dog. I'm surprised this has not been addressed sooner.	Apr 10, 2013 8:59 PM
86	panama park ortega park	Apr 10, 2013 1:21 PM
87	I am not familiar with Lakewood, Fair Oaks or Serra Park so it would be unfair to say yes or no to these parks. What about De Anza park?	Apr 10, 2013 11:58 AM
88	Unfenced off leash hours are better, and please include Panama Park, which is almost totally unused in early morning hours. Many days Panama has long hours of unused during the day, also before 5pm, and after dusk. I do agree that there needs to be at least one fenced dog area, for those dogs, like Siberian Huskies, that just can not be trusted to be off leash, because they run away. But the vast majority of dogs stick around, play ball, and play with other dogs and do not need a fenced in area that just destroys the grass. Serra Park is a beautiful place with its stream etc. It would be such a shame to fence dogs out or people out. Please leave it open. Leash laws should be for solving problems, not for ticketing for off leash dogs when there is no problem, just to appease dog haters who want to harass dog owners.	Apr 10, 2013 11:31 AM
89	I am supportive as long as the tradeoff is not open areas currently used for youth sports.	Apr 10, 2013 10:09 AM
90	I live closer to Las Palmas.	Apr 9, 2013 8:10 PM
91	Las Palmas is most convenient for me.	Apr 9, 2013 8:06 PM
92	One dog park is enough in Las Palmas.	Apr 9, 2013 7:20 PM
93	I suggest De Anza Park	Apr 9, 2013 5:55 PM
94	I am not familiar with Lakeside or Fair Oaks Parks, so will not comment. I think the layout of Serra Park is not suitable to having part of it fenced off without looking ugly or feeling cramped.	Apr 9, 2013 3:58 PM
95	I answered yes to all because you shouldn't have to drive your dog to go for a walk.	Apr 9, 2013 2:30 PM
96	The Sunnyvale water storage area off of Fair Oaks near down town would be a good spot for a dog run. There are no parks in the area and the area is nice and shady. The area could not be a full park, but there appears to be extra space and a dog run would make good use of that. Washington Park would also be a good place for another dog run.	Apr 9, 2013 9:52 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

97	There are too many kids and adults playing soccer and other field games at these parks. We need the grass to stay green and kids need large spaces to run.	Apr 8, 2013 10:12 PM
98	Already has an off leash site that could be used by dogs of all sizes	Apr 8, 2013 9:24 PM
99	I walk past the Las Palmas dog area often and there isn't fallen any dogs in there. Why do you need another one?	Apr 8, 2013 8:55 PM
100	If the need for a separate small dog area in Las Palmas is felt, I interpret that to mean that the dogs using the dog park are not well trained. Adding more dog parks is not going to solve this fundamental problem of irresponsible dog ownership.	Apr 8, 2013 7:06 PM
101	I'd like to see longer areas for ability to throw balls for dogs, so they can run back and forth.	Apr 8, 2013 5:54 PM
102	Las Palmas already has a dog park. There is no need for another dog area in Las Palmas. Perhaps the hours could be changed at the current dog park to accommodate a small dog only time, or days of the week.	Apr 8, 2013 5:03 PM
103	I don't want it to take away from usable areas for the children and non-dog people	Apr 8, 2013 4:44 PM
104	how is this different from a dog park?	Apr 7, 2013 6:18 PM
105	I suggest De Anza Park.	Apr 7, 2013 5:29 PM
106	I would like to add Panama Park as an Off leash area as well. Granted this should be only in the morning hours and evenings when there are no kids in the park area.	Apr 7, 2013 1:59 PM
107	Please include separated small/big dog areas!	Apr 6, 2013 8:03 PM
108	I would probably only use the parks in south Sunnyvale, but fully support having them in many parts of Sunnyvale.	Apr 6, 2013 4:14 PM
109	Too far for us	Apr 6, 2013 1:15 PM
110	I think the priority for development of dog park facilities in Sunnyvale should be: 1) Fair Oaks 2) Lakewood 3) Serra Park 4) Las Palmas. The rationale is that: - Las Palmas already serves the South Sunnyvale area and there seems to be no issues between large dogs and small dogs based on my frequent visits - North Sunnyvale has no dog park options - Fair Oaks is more central than Lakewood, thus serving a wider range of Sunnyvale inhabitants, while Lakewood is located towards the East edge of North Sunnyvale	Apr 6, 2013 12:38 PM
111	I have lived by Serra for many years, with batting cages added and the use for soccer/baseball and the day care center giving up extra space with those activities seems not a wise use of the land. If staff/council were to approve Serra it should be located on the side by the Dental office bldg and Safeway- long and narrow which isn't used as much.	Apr 6, 2013 9:41 AM

Page 2. Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

112	Small dog options would be great! I don't necessarily want my mini schnauzers in the same space as a pitbull.	Apr 6, 2013 8:25 AM
113	How about adding a dog park to every park, why just the ones listed? Sunnyvale has one of the worst dog parks I have seen - DIRT / No big/small dog areas - other cities have Much better ones- for example: Mtn. View, Santa Clara, San Jose, Foster City, Fremont. It's no wonder why people don't want to travel to the Only dog park in Sunnyvale.	Apr 6, 2013 2:12 AM
114	Small dogs and big dogs get along fine when properly trained.	Apr 5, 2013 10:34 PM
115	I would like to suggest further policing / separate fenced area of Panama Park, there are a lot of dogs off leash and running free on that field. There is a lot of dog fouling on the grass, especially around the area where the young Tball players have their matches, which is not at all healthy.	Apr 5, 2013 9:34 PM
116	My dogs have been attacked in Fair Oaks Park - owners don't train their dogs - I have a 10#er and a 50#er - -	Apr 5, 2013 9:03 PM
117	There already is a big dog park area at Las Palmas Park. This is a great park for family picnics, soccer games (adults and kids), kite flying, birthday parties. The space is well enjoyed. Serra park is another of our favorite parks - kids from many school come to enjoy the playground and the grass area. Kids do their homework in the grass area, or play games or simply lay in the sunshine. Less resistant to Fair Oaks park since it is further from our routine. I am not familiar with Lakewood park.	Apr 5, 2013 7:36 PM
118	I live next to Las Palmas, and we already have a large area which attracts plenty of dog-owners to the park. I have been attacked by an off-leash dog in Las Palmas park. I support dog parks so that we can exclude people from having their dogs off-leash outside of the dog parks. I don't need another dog park taking up space in my park, and it would be great if dog owners had other places to take their dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 5:49 PM
119	If a dog owner wants their dog to run off-leash, they should buy a house with a fenced-in yard so that their dog can run off-leash. We don't have enough parks. Losing parks to dogs is completely unacceptable.	Apr 5, 2013 4:42 PM
120	I think a park in the south eastern part of the city would be preferable. Perhaps, Ortega Park?	Apr 5, 2013 4:14 PM
121	City parks are needed for human activities.	Apr 5, 2013 2:38 PM
122	would like an unleashed area, posted times i.e. 2-3 small dogs, 3-4 large dogs Panama park. Lot's of cities have unleashed areas with specific times and regulations i.e. all dogs must be licensed, owners must accept full responsibility for their dogs, dogs must be able to come on demand to name a few conditions	Apr 5, 2013 1:19 PM
123	There is an area of unused land that I understand belongs to the city attached to Fairoaks mobile lodge. At the end of carolina ave. It has never been used for anything...this would be a great use for it. (near columbia school)	Apr 5, 2013 9:47 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

124	What about Pondersosa Park?	Apr 5, 2013 8:38 AM
125	I support off-leash areas in each of them. Though some closer to the Birdland/Ponderosa area would be welcome.	Apr 5, 2013 7:46 AM
126	I think Sunnyvale is vast enough that the parks should be in different areas of the city.	Apr 5, 2013 7:28 AM
127	Somewhere near the new Seven Seas park site would be preferable, at the intersection of Morse and the green belt.	Apr 5, 2013 2:21 AM
128	I would suggest Panama Park as another location. Panama Park serves a very big neighborhood. It is a sport park, but 99% of time it is not used except by dog owners. I would propose the following solution: - Allow the dog off-leash time (preferably a couple of hours in the morning, and a couple of hours before sunset), may exclude weekends - Complete the fencing around the park for safety reasons (some perimeter areas do not have a fence) - Add a rule that only the well-behaved (non-aggressive) dogs could be in the park off-leash, and owners are responsible for their dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 2:05 AM
129	I don't want them anywhere.	Apr 4, 2013 11:05 PM
130	Las Palmas Park already has a big space allocated for the existing dog park. It does not need yet another area just for small dogs. In fact, would rather see the space used for a bigger area for BBQ and picnic tables!	Apr 4, 2013 3:15 PM
131	Please include Panama Park to your list! We have a large group of nearby residents that come here with our dogs everyday. Thank you!	Apr 4, 2013 2:49 PM
132	Las Palmas has a very nice dog park already. Let's spend money wisely. Try taking the existing dog park at Las Palmas and landscaping it w/ some grass and maybe having a "suggested" area for small dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 2:43 PM
133	No other locations other than above would be feasible for a dog park, but I still do not support dog parks.	Apr 4, 2013 2:07 PM
134	I prefer Lakewood and Fair Oaks first. North Sunnyvale is growing very rapidly, with a lot of multi-tenant housing, which doesn't have yards for dogs. We need to address this rapid growth in an already VERY underserved area of the city.	Apr 4, 2013 11:21 AM
135	Dog parks need to be close to where the dog owners live, but don't have to be 10 acre plots of land. So Butcher's triangle is one choice, if only it was fenced, had water for the dogs, and trash cans. I am confident that there are many small areas available, if only the City opened up its eyes and looked for non-traditional areas. Las Palmas is great, but only for those that live in the immediate area of Las Palmas Park. The City needs many more dog parks, not just one or two.	Apr 4, 2013 9:34 AM
136	De Anza Park has plenty of space for off-leash dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 9:24 AM
137	Panama Park a lot of dogs are using that already	Apr 3, 2013 11:31 PM
138	Panama Park	Apr 3, 2013 10:18 PM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

139	There is already a dog park in the south and there will soon be another just over the bike/ ped bridge in Cupertino so I don't think another is needed in that part of town. Besides, Serra Park has already given up space to batting cages and baseball (not softball) fields. I see no appropriate location for a dog park at Serra. If we must have more dog parks, please put them nearer to higher density developments, where they are needed more, or in another part of town.	Apr 3, 2013 9:20 PM
140	Raynor	Apr 3, 2013 7:49 PM
141	Panama park is empty many hours, early morning -4:30 AND LATE EVENING	Apr 3, 2013 7:22 PM
142	Same as above. In addition, it would bring more traffic into the neighborhoods. We are already heavily impacted with cars racing up and down the street trying to drop off or pick up kids at day care, or taking classes at the French and dance schools.	Apr 3, 2013 6:58 PM
143	On any given day you will see dogs OFF LEASH on the open fields at Las Palmas. Clearly the park codes are not enforced. My concern w/ adding a separate small dog area in Las Palmas - If it is part of the existing dog area, then fine, or if you can set aside an area w/o taking away from the openness of the rest of Las Palmas, then ok. - Would small dog owners actually use the dog area. Right now they just use the open field w/o regard to rules.	Apr 3, 2013 5:45 PM
144	I live in Southern Sunnyvale and would prefer dog areas in southern parks	Apr 3, 2013 5:33 PM
145	I'm not familiar with the 2 locations	Apr 3, 2013 5:29 PM
146	Panama Park are would be ideal. Only share the area with LL kids.	Apr 3, 2013 4:05 PM
147	I haven't seen a lot of existing extra space at the parks.	Apr 3, 2013 3:10 PM
148	I would like specific hours when I can legally take my dog to a non-fenced area.	Apr 3, 2013 1:14 PM
149	Serra is best choice due to grass, benches, shade trees, trash cans, etc. Perfect.	Apr 3, 2013 12:05 PM
150	Las Palmas is fine and I have taken our dog visitors there. If you want a second dog park, put it in Baylands away from the population area and the parks above which already get very heavy people useage	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
151	more of the same from the above answer	Apr 3, 2013 11:41 AM
152	Okay, as long as it's fenced off.	Apr 3, 2013 11:40 AM
153	We need more small dog areas.	Apr 3, 2013 11:35 AM
154	Las Palmas is too small for a separate section. Dogs need to RUN.	Apr 3, 2013 10:54 AM
155	Is not about location is about the distribution of money and space available in the city Vs the real needs of the population. Most of us live in apartments and condos where we barely have spaces for ourselves so thinking about special places for dogs doesn't sound very appealing to me.	Apr 3, 2013 10:18 AM

Page 2, Q2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of off-leash areas for dogs. Would you support adding a permanently fenced, off-leash area for dogs at the following parks?

156	City should create a new separate park for dogs. Creating a new park will not take away existing space from people.	Apr 3, 2013 10:11 AM
157	If you don't get more dog parks, everyone will just start using regular parks. It's best to have an area for the dogs and there are A LOT of dogs in Sunnyvale! Las Palmas park is also too dirty.	Apr 3, 2013 10:02 AM
158	I live near Fair Oaks Park and folks already go there to run their dogs off lease. It would be great to have a separate area.	Apr 3, 2013 9:42 AM
159	De Anza Park or Greenwood Park (Ramona Ave.)	Apr 3, 2013 9:18 AM
160	Having an off-leash area at Raynor park would be very much appreciated.	Apr 3, 2013 9:08 AM
161	There is already a dog park at Las Palmas so I'm a little confused on this one.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 AM
162	No permanently fenced areas. Instead, allow people to have specific hours at each of these parks and also at Panama and Raynor and Ponderosa when they can take their dogs off leash in a pre-approved portion (area) of the park that is NOT fenced. I know you are worried about liability but you are PUNISHING good citizens and Sunnyvale tax payers. Buy better liability insurance if you have to and pass the cost on. Other cities have done this.	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 PM
163	Panama Park Raynor Park	Apr 2, 2013 8:02 PM
164	Panama park, because it is almost the whole days empty.	Apr 2, 2013 6:50 PM
165	Although we would love any additional dog parks on Sunnyvale, we live in the Ortega-Panama neighborhood, So we would shill have to drive to any of these locations. Ortega would be our first choice for a small dog park.	Apr 2, 2013 4:45 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

1	Dogs have a mind of their own. I often go jogging and would rather not have things chasing me.	May 10, 2013 10:58 PM
2	Some people have fears about dogs. It would not be inclusive ruling.	May 10, 2013 4:16 PM
3	Risks are high	May 10, 2013 1:42 PM
4	The park needs to accommodate non-dog people as well.	May 10, 2013 1:34 PM
5	Too dangerous	May 10, 2013 1:03 PM
6	needs enclosure	May 9, 2013 5:55 PM
7	Off leash dog parks should be fenced	May 9, 2013 4:19 PM
8	Although nothing is COMPLETELY safe, having no fences I feel is not safe for people or dogs	May 9, 2013 4:10 PM
9	It's too difficult to contain dogs in a designated area without some sort of perimeter. The idea of letting dogs off-leash is to be able to let them roam but know they are safe within a set boundary.	May 9, 2013 3:51 PM
10	I believe it is a safety issue and enforcement issue to have designated off-leash areas w/o fencing and strongly do not support it. No matter how "well behaved" an owner might think their dog is, it is not 100% guaranteed that every dog in an off-leash area w/o fencing can be controlled by voice commands. Both dogs walking on leash with their owners and non-dog walkers in a park are at risk of being greeted by an unleashed dog whether wanted or not. It doesn't matter whether an area is off-leash area without fencing is designated or not, as I don't think that it will be likely that all unleashed dogs would only stay in a particular area. Dogs can't read signs and it's likely that an owner will not be able to react quickly enough if something bad were to happen outside the designated area (e.g. off leash dog approaches an on leash dog or walker which feels threatened, owner of unleashed dog too far and/or too slow to retrieve/recall dog, child scared of unwanted attention by unleashed dog, etc.) Realistically, I don't think that enforcement or limiting off leash dogs in designated areas would really happen. Who would be responsible and given authority for enforcing the policy?	May 8, 2013 9:43 PM
11	Dogs not WELL trained could go after other dogs and/or persons... no matter how well trained they may seem	May 8, 2013 5:16 PM
12	You can control most dogs most of the time, but you can't control all dogs all of the time. Unleashed dogs behave differently from leashed dogs, so when the two meet the potential for disastrous situations occur.	May 8, 2013 3:20 PM
13	Everyone does it already. Designating an area as off-leash isn't going to change anything at all, but go ahead and make the change.	May 6, 2013 12:38 PM
14	Financial cost	May 5, 2013 3:27 AM
15	Because there are too many irresponsible large dog owners, with untrained dogs. This can present potentially dangerous situations.	May 4, 2013 7:39 PM
16	Not all dogs who are taken to off-leash areas have good recall and I have	May 4, 2013 4:59 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

	personally seen unexpected (and all-too-often adverse) encounters between the off-leash dogs and people, nearby leashed dogs, and wild life (e.g., birds, squirrels). In addition, there are often unseen (or ignored) feces left in areas that others are exposed to in parks where unofficial off-leash dog groups meet (e.g., Ponderosa Park/School grounds). I prefer areas to be specifically designated for this purpose (i.e., enclosed dog park), and not a universal, multipurpose public area. Typically dog parks are designed for the functionality and durability of such use; and, therefore amenities, such as artificial turf, double entry doors, as well as trash containers and bag dispensers are presented and accessible.	
17	This would only lead to injuries on humans and animals. This concept is a sure gateway for irresponsible dog owners to be even more irresponsible. Animal Control and the City can not even deal with enforcing the codes as they are. So how are they going to justify supporting an arena of unsupervised activity such as this. The off-leash alternative is a liability that Sunnyvale can not afford.	May 3, 2013 10:09 AM
18	not so much at restricted times, but only at 1 or 2 parks.	May 3, 2013 10:03 AM
19	Dogs need to be controlled. When they are off leash and not fenced it can frighten children and make the parks inhospitable (poop) for other activities.	May 3, 2013 9:01 AM
20	As long as they are not near any major streets where the dogs could run into traffic. I think there should still be some sort of boundary to prevent that from happening.	May 2, 2013 5:26 PM
21	It is very hard to enforce.	May 2, 2013 5:24 PM
22	Some people are afraid of dogs, some people get a new dog and think you can let them off the leash and the dog could run into the street and get hurt or cause others to get hurt.	May 2, 2013 5:10 PM
23	Not everyone is a dog person. Small children can be easily frightened by well meaning but enthusiastic dogs. The park should be enjoyable for everyone - dogs and humans. This is best served by keeping the off-leash dogs in their own area.	May 2, 2013 12:22 PM
24	Would be worried that they could run and get into traffic or get into people yards.	May 2, 2013 11:32 AM
25	All the parks are already de facto off leash areas as it is. No one would stay in these so-called designated areas.	May 2, 2013 8:58 AM
26	Safety. Also, it would make it difficult to walk a dog on-leash during those times.	May 1, 2013 8:33 PM
27	Never! Even with the best behaved dogs, you are asking for trouble. There is always going to be an owner who doesn't follow the rules or can't manage his dog. Safety should be number one priority for the city of Sunnyvale and dogs can bite or injure a person especially a child who doesn't know any better. Dogs can also sense fear so they might lunge at a person who is afraid of dogs because the owner does not have a leash on the dog. This is a very bad idea.	May 1, 2013 5:27 PM
28	Dangerous	May 1, 2013 4:49 PM
29	For the safety of the dogs, their owners, and surrounding people.	May 1, 2013 4:25 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

30	Dogs can be very dangerous to babies	May 1, 2013 4:21 PM
31	Most owners are not responsible enough to have their dogs listen and come when called.	May 1, 2013 1:18 PM
32	i dont ever let my dog off leash, but when dogs are off leash it can create problems for those who keep there dogs on leashes	May 1, 2013 11:09 AM
33	Never. I got bit by two 'perfect controlled dogs' according to owners. One dog came across Hyde Park and killed my 22 years old blind cat sitting in sun at 9 am on my porch!! One small dog ran from owner some 30 ft or so to bark at me (78 yr old) riding my bike and startling me so I could have fallen off. Dogs are everywhere in children's sand... owners don't go far to pick up feces. Loose dogs mean 'shit' everywhere.	May 1, 2013 10:25 AM
34	Too risky for dogs that might get chased by other dogs. With fencing, noone needs to be deprived of the rest of the park at any time.	Apr 30, 2013 4:59 PM
35	I have a dog who is leash reactive. We walk by Fair Oaks Park all the time. We would either have to avoid the park altogether if there were dogs off leash at the park.	Apr 30, 2013 3:02 PM
36	Safety of children and other patrons at park.	Apr 30, 2013 12:38 PM
37	No., because of the financial costs involved to implement and maintain.	Apr 30, 2013 3:47 AM
38	some dogs are not around children at home and at the park they are often allowed to run long distance with their owner talking with others or busy doing other things and therefore run the risk of going towards family at the park who have small children who don't know animals, think all animals are to be petted along with adults who are afraid of dogs are elder or handicap persons who could not guard off a dog. Totally against this at a park with families, elders, and others. Make dog parks for dogs only then.	Apr 29, 2013 10:04 PM
39	See answer to #1 above.	Apr 29, 2013 9:24 PM
40	not safe for anyone especially kids	Apr 29, 2013 8:01 AM
41	Although most dog owners are responsible enough to know whether their dogs are safe off-leash, the ones who are not responsible enough would cause big problems the first time one of their pets knocked over a child or attacked another dog.	Apr 29, 2013 6:02 AM
42	NO. It is simply too dangerous for people. No one can control their dog when it is off lease. This is such a liability for the city I can't believe it is even being considered. Currently with dogs not being allowed in parks, my kids get chased by dogs running in the grassy area in the parks. It would be dramatically more dangerous if it was "allowed". Plus, who could control what type of dogs are running around? Who would stop a pitbull?	Apr 28, 2013 9:31 AM
43	off-leash areas should always be fenced in so owners have at least a little control over where their dog goes	Apr 27, 2013 10:57 PM
44	They could go into traffic.	Apr 27, 2013 12:00 AM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

45	Good fencing is necessary to keep dogs from getting too far away or running into a busy street.	Apr 26, 2013 4:37 PM
46	Some people are afraid of dogs running up to them, some problems occur between on & off leash dogs, and also street traffic may be too close.	Apr 25, 2013 4:07 PM
47	Only with trained dogs that follow owners commands.	Apr 25, 2013 2:12 PM
48	It can be intimidating to children, and has happened to my children in the past	Apr 25, 2013 1:43 PM
49	We need a fence arera for off-leash area so dog will not run away.	Apr 25, 2013 1:17 PM
50	unsafe. some citizens are fearful of dogs. most dogs have ineffective recall-- can run off when they see other dog, squirrel, jogger, kids running, cats, etc.	Apr 25, 2013 1:18 AM
51	When there is an enclosed fence, most people understand that their dog must be socialized in order to enter. Without an enclosed fence, people may be tempted to bring an unsocial or aggressive dog which would cause unnecessary problems for citizens and possibly the Public Safety officers.	Apr 24, 2013 11:30 AM
52	Fencing is important to protect children.	Apr 24, 2013 9:04 AM
53	Off leash safety can only be ensured in fenced areas. There is too much variability in dog behavior (and owner competence) to allow unrestrained areas.	Apr 24, 2013 8:20 AM
54	Too much potential for dogs getting into it with each other	Apr 23, 2013 10:32 PM
55	In the interest of public safety (dogs that are not vaccinated against Rabies)	Apr 23, 2013 6:26 PM
56	The safety factor for dogs and people,	Apr 23, 2013 3:56 PM
57	Some small dos chase birds, butterflies and anything that flies so they could run away no matter how much training by owner!	Apr 23, 2013 3:38 PM
58	Too dangerous.	Apr 23, 2013 2:58 PM
59	I am more concerned with the safety of the dog. Without the fencing what is to stop them from running onto the road or some other danger.	Apr 23, 2013 2:05 PM
60	not safe; dogs might run out into traffic or get lost from owner and possibly get injured or injure another pet or person.	Apr 23, 2013 1:34 PM
61	A lot of dog owner's don't supervise their dogs even in a fenced area, and many aren't trained with a good recall. I could see the potential for dogs running off and getting into a fight, running into the street, frightening children/people, etc.	Apr 23, 2013 1:17 PM
62	Fences are fine, but it depends on the dog. Good mannered and well trained dogs don't need a fence.	Apr 23, 2013 1:15 PM
63	Make sure rules are clearly posted so that both dog owners and people without dogs know what is appropriate. That way dog owners can comply and people without dogs are not uncomfortable/frightened/upset.	Apr 23, 2013 1:11 PM
64	Fences keep both dogs and other people outside of the "dog area" safe.	Apr 23, 2013 1:07 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

65	If there are multiple dogs and chaos ensues, it'd be difficult to reign the animals in w/out a fence.	Apr 23, 2013 1:04 PM
66	The dogs may run away without fencing. They might get hit by a car.	Apr 23, 2013 12:53 PM
67	If the dog is under voice control, the owners should have more lee-way in walking dogs off leash. Too many dogs AREN'T under voice control.	Apr 22, 2013 5:00 PM
68	to dangerous!	Apr 22, 2013 3:58 PM
69	Sick and tired of dogs running loose at Ponderosa Park already. Owners pay no attention to existing leash laws, and believe "their dogs are special"...	Apr 22, 2013 12:11 PM
70	I'm really not sure. I'd like to see what a cross-section of residents would like. I would be very weary of this idea.	Apr 20, 2013 7:36 PM
71	I don't think dog owners are generally responsible when their dog is off-leash. It can be a danger to others in the area. I also think the owners are less likely to pick up waste.	Apr 20, 2013 3:35 PM
72	While most dog owners are aware and considerate, some citizens who are otherwise wonderful people have blinders on about either A) their dogs actual behavior B) how some members of the public experience canines. I have to admit that I myself was actually guilty on both of these counts, when I got my current dog several years ago. Not to any great detriment-- my concern is more about people being comfortable. I have noticed (and been told directly) that in particular first generation Indian-Americans and Chinese-Americans can be uneasy around off leash animals. And admittedly as a parent of small children I've encountered some dog owners who cant comprehend why I'm not comfortable with their german shepherd running up to my four year old. Considerate dog owners need to give people a very wide berth. I play with my dog off leash and he isnt the type to approach people, but I dont assume he will respond to voice commands in every situation, and beyond that I respect that parks are primarily for people, so if theres anyone within 50 yards he stays on leash.	Apr 20, 2013 1:50 PM
73	I would prefer the fenced areas if there was a card system to open the gates and enter. You could purchase a dog park entrance card for \$50.00 or so that included that your dog be licensed in Sunnyvale, up to date shots, Sunnyvale residents only and responsibilities for clean up. If a dog was reported three times by individuals for aggressiveness they would lose their privilege and their pass would be revoked. Owner policing works well at the Panama Park, aggressive dogs and their owners are encouraged to obey the clean up and aggression problems without police intervention.	Apr 20, 2013 9:37 AM
74	Too many dog owners already not cleaning up after their dogs and/or not maintaining control when dogs are off leash.	Apr 20, 2013 1:41 AM
75	Yes, but owners would have to be reasonably sure that they had voice control.	Apr 19, 2013 10:51 PM
76	Safety issues for dog and humans	Apr 19, 2013 2:29 PM
77	Some people are afraid of dogs, dogs may run off, too much of a liability.	Apr 19, 2013 2:21 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

78	No I recently had an unleashed dog jump into my 2 year old sons stroller and ate his food. My son was very upset and scared. The owner was on the other side of the field and did not even apologize. Glad my son is ok but these dogs need a safe area for them and the public.	Apr 18, 2013 2:34 PM
79	Dogs have been way too much in ponderosa park, sunnyvale - and many of the times unchained - which proves to be terrifying experience for old people and kids who visit the park for leisure. One such time, when I was pregnant I was taking a walk - and got chased by 3 big dogs in multiple directions - the owner had let loose and I had to run from the park to the school soccer ground, where the chasing continued and finally my husband rescued me. I would need a number to call and complain and there should be strict enforcement that dogs should not be there except in their specified leash areas.	Apr 18, 2013 2:31 PM
80	Could cause problems if the owner is not always watching the dog. Wouldn't want a dog to bite a child, person, or get into a fight with another dog in an open area.	Apr 18, 2013 9:35 AM
81	Too dangerous. Some owners are irresponsible and will not watch their dogs.	Apr 18, 2013 9:11 AM
82	Even as a dog owner, I know that there is no way to guarantee that off-leash dogs are safe or under control around people or other dogs. It is best to contain them within a fenced area for the safety of people and other dogs.	Apr 17, 2013 11:20 PM
83	My child has been aggressively pushed over by unleashed dogs.	Apr 17, 2013 9:41 AM
84	I don't want a backlash against dogs.	Apr 16, 2013 9:20 PM
85	Then there is no easy way to ensure that the dogs will not go beyond the designated area and become a potential problem.	Apr 16, 2013 8:32 PM
86	- Safety of dogs from/and people who do not have affection for dogs. - Control of dogs of potential inconsiderate dog owners or those who can not control their dogs. - Safety of elders and children	Apr 16, 2013 4:32 PM
87	lack of training with the general public	Apr 16, 2013 3:57 PM
88	I would be concerned that dogs might take off without being in an enclosed area.	Apr 15, 2013 8:04 PM
89	not enough responsible dog owners to control their dogs and ensure poop is picked up	Apr 15, 2013 7:48 PM
90	I was walking down Mary behind a man with his dog on a leash. A woman walked past them just feet in front of me and the dog lunged to bite her leg! we both screamed! The man tried to say she was at fault being a runner. She was not running!! My children have been taught to always calmly walk by a dog. That dog would have been at their face or neck level. I thanked God they were not there. It was so traumatizing!	Apr 15, 2013 3:06 PM
91	#3 is adequate for city public areas safety & Sanitation & security for adults and children	Apr 15, 2013 3:05 PM
92	I would support FENCED dog parks. Dogs are unpredictable no matter how well trained they are or how responsible the owner is.	Apr 15, 2013 11:35 AM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

93	As a parent with a small child, I do not support allowing dogs off-leash without fencing. I think it is dangerous to allow dogs to run off-leash when there are small children playing in the area.	Apr 15, 2013 10:45 AM
94	Too easy to abuse.	Apr 15, 2013 10:42 AM
95	Again others without dogs cannot use then	Apr 15, 2013 10:15 AM
96	Too much risk of dogs running off and getting hurt or hurting others	Apr 15, 2013 9:47 AM
97	Just from what I've seen inside the dog parks, many dogs are aggressive with each other. I wouldn't want that out in the open near kids -- unless the area is very large where they can run and kids aren't necessarily very close by.	Apr 15, 2013 9:40 AM
98	I already see this at a park my son plays tball with Sunnyvale Metro at - Panama Park. This results in dog poop all over the park which no one seems to pick up. It's a health hazard when you have small children playing and throwing and catching balls in the area. Also, off-leash dogs may present a danger issue around kids.	Apr 15, 2013 9:39 AM
99	Not at all. Most dog owners are responsible, but those that aren't are too numerous and cause issues for non dog owners.	Apr 15, 2013 9:35 AM
100	If the dogs had a designated off leash area, then dogs out of that area should be on a leash for their protection and for small kids, people that don't like dogs, etc	Apr 14, 2013 9:04 PM
101	Too much of a risk if people can walk/jog near by. If a dog quickly moves toward someone who is afraid of dogs it could make for a bad situation.	Apr 13, 2013 7:50 PM
102	too dangerous. All of these parks are off major streets and have parking lots. Don't need unlimited space, just a nice fenced in area.	Apr 13, 2013 12:56 AM
103	Keep small children away from off-leash dogs	Apr 12, 2013 9:52 PM
104	Well, maybe Yes at restricted times, but also away from walkways. A dog biting a human is a lawsuit waiting to happen and the city shouldn't be liable.	Apr 12, 2013 2:43 PM
105	Dogs in off-leash areas are not always well controlled by their owners. They are often a problem for me with my dogs, both of which are rescues. As a result of what they went through before they came to live with me, one is afraid of strange dogs and the other one protects her. Dog owners tend to think that if their dog is friendly, there shouldn't be a problem. Unfortunately, they often don't recognize that other dogs may not welcome their "friendly" dog. I don't want them coming up to my dogs, and I like to use all of the parks you are considering. I want other dogs leashed or behind a fence.	Apr 12, 2013 1:47 PM
106	Even the best behaved dogs can frighten small children or others who may not be used to having a dog running loose. They also make it more difficult for dogs who need to be leashed to enjoy the park, as a dog on a leash can be trapped by the leash if another dog approaches. Thus, this would dramatically limit the enjoyment of the park for others.	Apr 11, 2013 9:12 PM
107	I am a dog owner and do not like to come across dogs that are off leash. My dog feels threatened as the off leash dogs often approach and it puts my dog in an	Apr 11, 2013 8:50 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

defensive posture if she doesn't know the dog. Owners are not 100% reliably able to control their off-leash dog. Their dogs don't always respond to their calls to back off. I come across many people walking and they are very afraid of my 8 year old, people friendly, gentle, Golden Retriever. They will walk off the sidewalk to avoid us when I have my dog on a short leash. I can only imagine their nervousness at off-leash dogs. Plus, if there are multiple dogs off-leash, they can get a pack mentality and I've seen them charge pedestrians, or kids going by on skateboards/scooters. There are just too many variables that people enjoying the park can't control with off-leash dogs.

108	Because not all owners control their dogs off-leash. Most worry some are pit bulls and the like. I worry about the safety of my son. Several dog owners at the Las Palmas park let their dog run loose outside the dog park on the grass near the baseball field and then do not clean up the poop after them. Then when I go play sports with my son, we have to worry about stepping on dog poop all over the place. If anything they should give out tickets to the owners that do not use their leash outside the enclosed dog park. I also live near Las Palmas and some dog owners walk their dogs to the park past my house, they let their dog poop in my yard and then do not clean it up. This shows that some dog owners do not care to control their own dogs.	Apr 11, 2013 12:07 AM
109	Aren't the dog owners ultimately responsible for their dog's behavior? I control my dog. If for some reason anything should happen, I KNOW I'm responsible.	Apr 10, 2013 9:04 PM
110	That is too similar to the current situation in most parks which has many problems and shortcomings.	Apr 10, 2013 10:11 AM
111	This is a really bad idea. I have young kids and would not be safe. God forbid someone lets a pitbull loose and it mauls and kills a baby in our community.	Apr 9, 2013 8:29 PM
112	Designated times in the morning when there are few people out. At restricted times in the late afternoons (5 to 7 pm).	Apr 9, 2013 5:57 PM
113	I would be worried of children and dogs sharing space, and inevitable dog's feces left in these areas.	Apr 9, 2013 5:08 PM
114	Dogs are not always stranger friendly - even very nice dogs. It's more important for children & the elderly or infirm to be safe.	Apr 9, 2013 4:00 PM
115	It will be ideal to have off-leash areas without fencing, but not all dogs are trained to have a recall and some dogs might be reactive to other dogs. I have a small dog and I will be concerned if a large dog is off-leashed because it might strike at my dog and hurt mine or in a worst case, kill it.	Apr 9, 2013 3:46 PM
116	too risky	Apr 9, 2013 10:58 AM
117	This is a tough question. You're supposed to keep your dog on a leash. Some people are not comfortable with dogs running at them. I don't think we should be too restrictive, but there are times that dog owners are not understanding or sympathetic to the idea that not everyone likes a dog running around near them.	Apr 9, 2013 10:02 AM
118	This is a huge problem at Las Palmas right now. Too many people won't use the dog park because the big grass area is nicer. I don't feel comfortable having	Apr 9, 2013 9:59 AM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

	dogs off leash near my kids. We live one block away and frequent the park. If the dog park was improved maybe more owners would use it (grass, shade, benches)	
119	Dangerous and dogs poop all over. Few people actually pick it up. Not fair to any of the kids. We have stepped in poop several times.	Apr 8, 2013 10:29 PM
120	Definitely not. I wish we could rely on dog owners to be responsible for the behavior of their dogs but the reality is that we cannot. No one can control the behavior of their pet when it has run across a park chasing a child. The risk of a child getting bitten or traumatized is too great. There are already too many irresponsible owners letting their big dogs run off-leash on the grass at Las Palmas. We have 3 young kids and own a house a few blocks away from Las Palmas. EVERY time we go to the park or playground we encounter off-leash dogs. The dog owners have already taken over the grassy area. Between the dogs and the poop they leave behind, playing in the park is sadly a dangerous proposition.	Apr 8, 2013 10:13 PM
121	It is dangerous for dogs and children to have dogs running around off leash without a fence to keep them contained.	Apr 8, 2013 9:42 PM
122	Parent of small children and we are constantly at the park. Dogs off leash often run after the kids and scare them.	Apr 8, 2013 9:26 PM
123	Owners are already letting their dogs off the leash outside fenced areas and maintain little to no control of their dogs. They are more interested in talking to other dog owners and ignoring their dogs behavior like running into my small children's faces and not picking up their dogs poop. Having off leash areas would just exacerbate this already bad situation.	Apr 8, 2013 9:00 PM
124	Safety of dogs and humans.	Apr 8, 2013 8:20 PM
125	Possibility of dogs running away	Apr 8, 2013 7:28 PM
126	Because of irresponsible dog owners who fail to train their dogs so that the dogs are menacing to the public.	Apr 8, 2013 7:08 PM
127	because people don't control their dogs and they let them crap all over the park.	Apr 8, 2013 5:06 PM
128	Absolutely not. The dogs defecate in our park regularly now, and encouraging off leash in areas other than the dog park would only exacerbate this problem. Also, the dogs often chase kids - friendly or not - it is frightening to many children. The owners seem annoyed when asked to get their dog who is chasing a child. The comment "he's friendly" doesn't help a kid who is scared of dogs, and being chased.	Apr 8, 2013 5:06 PM
129	dangerous. I have already seen multiple issues at Las Palmas with dogs off leash. Many kids are afraid of dogs and this adds to it.	Apr 8, 2013 4:45 PM
130	I love dogs and have owned dogs. However, I believe off-leash, unfenced areas need to be considered advisedly. I think that there are many dog owners who don't know how to train their dogs well. Because of this population the unfenced areas and dogs in parks generally might become viewed less positively than they	Apr 8, 2013 1:50 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

are now.

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|
| 131 | Too many impacts on human park users, including but not limited to: pet dander allergy sufferers, people intimidated by dogs, careless owners of aggressive dogs, seniors needing canes, near impossibility of keeping mixed use areas free of pet ordure, bad mix of exuberant pets and seniors using canes and other walking supports, impacts on those using the parks for various sports, etc. Too many humans would be denied use of the parks if dogs were allowed to run free. | Apr 8, 2013 1:43 PM |
| 132 | There is no more risk than currently with fenced parks. Most people who bring dogs have very friendly, well behaved dogs and those that don't are asked to leave. No difference. There are already many informal off-leash parks today. | Apr 8, 2013 1:40 PM |
| 133 | Yes, by permit, for dog obedience classes run by a professional dog trainer. | Apr 8, 2013 10:51 AM |
| 134 | Unsafe for children - both in potential interaction with dogs and uncontained pet waste. | Apr 8, 2013 9:18 AM |
| 135 | I don't want to get bitten by a dog. I don't want dogs running up to me. | Apr 7, 2013 6:03 PM |
| 136 | I do not support unfenced areas. It would be hard to control the dogs and a safety issue for others using the parks. | Apr 7, 2013 1:32 PM |
| 137 | I believe this would be an abused privilege. Some people don't know how to control their dogs, and as responsible dog owners look bad. It would just add more chaos to a situation that can be controlled. | Apr 7, 2013 10:24 AM |
| 138 | too much risk of a dog accidentally running in front of a car and hearts being broken. | Apr 7, 2013 9:36 AM |
| 139 | This is dangerous. Currently I walk/run my dog by Serra Park (at the corner of The Dalles and Lewiston) 1x-2x per day, and people often run their dogs off-leash in that corner of the park. That area is fenced in on two sides, but there is an opening by the baseball dugout, another opening along The Dalles across from Newfoundland Dr, and of course the dogs have access to the rest of the park should they decide to run. People may feel they have control over their dogs, and/or their dogs are "friendly" and "would never go after another dog", but they fail to understand that when an unleashed dog approaches a leashed dog, the leashed dog is at an inherent "disadvantage" and tensions are raised. Furthermore, the leashed dogs may be on leash specifically because their owners know they are not friendly, and thus you still have a situation in which an on-leash unfriendly dog meets an off-leash friendly dog, who may startle or upset the other dog and its owner. This is extremely dangerous for everyone - people and dogs included. The city should not sanction the reckless behavior of allowing dogs off-leash in a non-fenced area and should be more proactive about enforcing existing statutes in problem areas like the corner of Serra Park noted above. | Apr 7, 2013 8:06 AM |
| 140 | I would prefer this option over more fenced in areas. It's less expensive, easier to have grass or turf, and still puts more responsibility on the pet owners to have some control over their animals. | Apr 6, 2013 11:37 PM |