

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

141	Most parks mentioned have playgrounds. Off-leash dogs and children don't mix well no matter how well-trained you think your pet is.	Apr 6, 2013 9:43 PM
142	Dangerous to dogs and kids. Some people think their dog is great, but if provoked by kids playing ball nearby, could run off.	Apr 5, 2013 1:16 PM
143	Too hard to control	Apr 6, 2013 12:46 PM
144	Cost - taxes are already too high Some dog owners don't clean-up after their dogs.	Apr 6, 2013 2:15 AM
145	It's not as safe as fenced-in areas, for dogs or park-goers.	Apr 5, 2013 11:27 PM
146	There are too many untrained dogs who are a significant danger to children.	Apr 5, 2013 10:36 PM
147	Yes, but I think areas should be away from where children play or sports are played.	Apr 5, 2013 9:37 PM
148	Negative impact on those without dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 9:12 PM
149	DANGEROUS	Apr 5, 2013 9:03 PM
150	No. Even well behaved dogs can get excited by a stray cat or squirrel and run into traffic.	Apr 5, 2013 7:39 PM
151	Also depends on the cleanliness - no dog poop where little kids play!	Apr 5, 2013 7:37 PM
152	Dogs will charge at strangers or small children. Also, dogs running into street are easily run over by cars.	Apr 5, 2013 6:07 PM
153	I have been attacked by an off-leash dog in Las Paimas Park. I live 3 houses away from the park. It was in the evening, and neither I nor the dogs (there were 2 smallish dogs) could see all that well. At other times when I am in the park on busy weekends, I have seen dog fights, disruptions to picnicking families and ball games, and general annoyances all involving off-leash dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 5:57 PM
154	Dog owners need to be responsible for their dog. An owner who allows their dog to run off-leash in an unfenced park is not able to be responsible for their dog.	Apr 5, 2013 4:44 PM
155	I think that dogs that are allowed at these off-leash areas should pass a behavioral evaluation or be certified in some way. Maybe they should all be CGC certified. (Canine Good Citizen) http://www.akc.org/dogowner/training/canine_good_citizen/links.cfm	Apr 5, 2013 4:17 PM
156	City will be sued if off-leash dog bites someone.	Apr 5, 2013 2:39 PM
157	Panama park is an excellent example of a park that is not used most of the day...sometimes during soccer season and Tball season kids use it for practice...we could give these activities preference to unleashed dogs, but so much of the year no one is using the grassy part of the park. I would like to see posted times 3:00-4:00 small dogs, large dogs 4:00-5:00 with regulations...many cities have unleashed areas at specific times.	Apr 5, 2013 1:26 PM
158	Dogs frighten me.	Apr 5, 2013 11:59 AM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

159	This survey seems biased. Why don't you ask for justification if people answer "yes" for any of the options? Has the city already made-up its mind what they want to do, and just need a rubber-stamp from the residents?	Apr 5, 2013 10:59 AM
160	You need to plan for the lowest common denominator of people. I'm not entirely sure that an unfenced area would be safe for the animals.	Apr 5, 2013 10:17 AM
161	All dogs are not well controlled	Apr 5, 2013 7:33 AM
162	unless the owners are held accountable for their dogs this is not a good idea	Apr 5, 2013 2:37 AM
163	Strongly support! I would suggest Panama Park as such a location. Panama Park serves a very big neighborhood. It is a sport park, but 99% of the time it is not used except by dog owners. I would propose the following solution: - Allow the dog off leash in the park. If necessary, limit to the restricted times (preferably a couple of hours in the morning, and a couple of hours before sunset) - Complete the fencing around the park for safety reasons (some perimeter areas do not have a fence) - Add a rule that only the well-behaved (non-aggressive) dogs could be in the park off-leash, and owners are responsible for their dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 2:05 AM
164	It was unfair to force me to answer #3 since I am against having a dog park! It is difficult enough to just walk by dogs when the arrogant owners assume you want their dog to sniff you since they think their dog is so cute!! I must admit, I think dogs are cute. I just don't like them sniffing me or jumping on me. If the dogs are allowed to run around without fences, the dog will surely sense when a child, or anyone, is afraid and become curious, possibly chasing the scared person. As has happened to me in the past!	Apr 4, 2013 11:39 PM
165	People can't control their dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 11:06 PM
166	Not all dogs are safe and not all dog owners are smart enough to know it.	Apr 4, 2013 8:48 PM
167	Currently, people are already letting their dogs off leash in Las Palmas Park and not using the existing fenced area. Some owners do not have control over their aggressive barking dogs. These dogs are a public safety issue and hate to see the city being sued after a (biting) incident! Keep them contained in the fence area and enforce current rules!	Apr 4, 2013 3:32 PM
168	No. We don't feel comfortable walking near unknown dogs. Dogs can bite and in groups dogs can get excited. Some even develop pack mental ties. Please keep off-leashed dogs in a fenced area.	Apr 4, 2013 2:44 PM
169	Unleashed dogs create a variety of problem i.e. aggressive behavior, safety issues for dogs on leash and safety for dog handlers	Apr 4, 2013 2:27 PM
170	Absolutely not! This is a hazard for many reasons. I was bitten by a dog in Lakewood park a couple of years ago and I'm completely outraged that off leash areas without fencing are being considered. Children play in these parks and the parks should be a safe place for all people to play without fear of being bitten or injured by animals. Not all animal owners are responsible.	Apr 4, 2013 2:12 PM
171	I would prefer fencing so that dogs won't run off outside the control of owners, but any designated area is better than none.	Apr 4, 2013 1:28 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

172	Child's safety is a concern	Apr 4, 2013 1:21 PM
173	My dog is scared of certain things. If she gets scared and dashes off while not on leash and out on the road, it would not be nice.	Apr 4, 2013 1:21 PM
174	Too many owners do not have their dogs under voice control and/or have dogs that are not safe off-leash. All dog owners should have to take a class in off-leash safety and understanding dog body language before they are allowed to have their dogs off-leash.	Apr 4, 2013 11:05 AM
175	Danger to public if dogs are not trained properly and waste disposal would be less regulated. In sure most people are good about it but it only takes a couple irresponsible owners to ruin it for everyone with and without dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 10:48 AM
176	What is a dog runs into the street or into traffic?	Apr 4, 2013 10:45 AM
177	Parks should be multi-use. Dogs off leash in unfenced areas pose a hazard to other activities.	Apr 4, 2013 10:31 AM
178	Dogs need to be controlled not only the public's safety but also for the dogs safety. While we think that we know our own pets, there is always the sudden movement or loud bang that will frighten the animal and send them into fits.	Apr 4, 2013 9:37 AM
179	HUGE dog lover however too often, owners are irresponsible do not watch their dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 9:05 AM
180	Dog owner's can take responsibility for the dogs	Apr 3, 2013 11:31 PM
181	Less space for other activities. The parks are already crowded with the current available space.	Apr 3, 2013 9:00 PM
182	running children	Apr 3, 2013 8:54 PM
183	Not all dogs are well controlled and many people are quite fearful of dogs. Good fences make good neighbors.	Apr 3, 2013 7:47 PM
184	Dogs can sometimes be unpredictable and I don't want to risk someone getting mauled because their dog wiggled out.	Apr 3, 2013 7:01 PM
185	Even though I have 2 dogs myself, there are some who believe their dogs are safe off leash, when in fact they are not. I regularly encounter a man with a small white dog at Las Palmas at 9:00 a.m. The dog dashes across the park off leash and has attacked my dogs as well as others.	Apr 3, 2013 5:53 PM
186	ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Too many dogs are unpredictable, and when in groups dogs have a pack mentality. - Some dog owners are careless. - Most dog owners do not know how their dogs behave when owner is not present. - Count on the city being sued if an off-leash dog ever bites us in a park not fenced off.	Apr 3, 2013 5:48 PM
187	I take my kids to the park. I don't want them to be jumped on and/or bitten by dogs, and we don't want to step in dog feces.	Apr 3, 2013 3:12 PM
188	risk	Apr 3, 2013 2:35 PM

Page 3, Q4. Would you support designated off-leash areas without fencing for dogs in Sunnyvale parks?

189	I would not support a non-fenced off-leash area. While I would like a off-leash area without fencing for my own dog, my experience is that there are too many dog owners who: 1) Cannot control their dog's movements, keep them from running into traffic / parking lots and away from other animals and people who do not want them running up to them, their children, animals and through the areas they are using in the parks. 2) Who have dogs which are not well behaved enough to be off leash, do not obey commands, attack other animals etc. 3) Such an area would encourage people to ignore City of Sunnyvale leash ordinances and may lead to some people trying to expand such an area outside it's designated locations, boundaries and times.	Apr 3, 2013 1:30 PM
190	All dog owners that I know are respectful and never let dogs off leash when it interferes with people who are having fun at the parks.	Apr 3, 2013 1:16 PM
191	Too dangerous for mixed use. In general, I don't like dogs at all in public but we should have equal opportunity to enjoy the outdoors so fenced areas are ok with me.	Apr 3, 2013 12:27 PM
192	hard to control	Apr 3, 2013 12:06 PM
193	During weekdays only.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
194	parks are for people first!	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
195	It would be a nuisance to non dog-lovers.	Apr 3, 2013 11:42 AM
196	People think they have well behaved dogs but then they take off down the street after your dog. At least if it is fenced in then you can at least walk by safe.	Apr 3, 2013 11:38 AM
197	Some dogs are untrained and do not respect others space.	Apr 3, 2013 10:19 AM
198	Concerns about aggressive dogs off-leash when children might be present.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 AM
199	Personally I would not feel comfortable in a park where any and all dogs may run free in an unfenced area.	Apr 3, 2013 9:03 AM
200	Too many potential issues and I prefer dog free areas.	Apr 3, 2013 8:41 AM
201	Restricted times would be fine. But please take a survey of WHAT times. Most dogs, especially larger dogs, require the type of exercise that a designated off-leash area can provide. They need it in the mornings and later that same day. So early mornings (6:30am to 8:00am) for the people who work, and mid-mornings (10:00am to 11:30am) for the retirees. The PM slots should be after work during standard times and after dinner during daylight savings time. During baseball (Little League) season there is a concern about potential conflicts. Yet tons of LL parents bring their dogs to the park while watching LL games. Most keep them on leashes, but some take them off leash. This is true at EVERY park that hosts LL games. Therefore, make the off leash hours after dinner (let's say from 7:30 to 9:00pm) as most the LL games are over by 7:30pm).	Apr 2, 2013 8:33 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

1	too difficult for owners to bring enough fencing to enclose play area for big dogs. not realistic.	May 10, 2013 4:48 PM
2	eye sore.	May 10, 2013 4:16 PM
3	That is a super hassle for dog owners, and fences at owner discretion could interfere with others enjoyment.	May 10, 2013 3:56 PM
4	Would cause to many issues with other park users fighting for 'prime' spots	May 10, 2013 1:36 PM
5	Unnecessary	May 10, 2013 1:34 PM
6	But... I think "temporary fencing" needs to be well-defined at have some minimum standards. I can see someone putting a couple stakes in the ground and run string between them and try to call that a fence.	May 9, 2013 3:51 PM
7	It may cause to many problems with determining the allowed size and location owners can put these fences.	May 9, 2013 3:18 PM
8	I would prefer a regular fenced dog park.	May 9, 2013 10:14 AM
9	I don't support dog owners being allowed to supply their own temporary fencing for dogs to run off-leash. Since there is no standardization as to the type of acceptable fencing, I question the effectiveness of some of the fencing that's out there. Also, depending on the type/quality of the fencing, it may leave some damage (e.g. grass damaged) when the fencing is removed.	May 8, 2013 9:43 PM
10	if too many people set up at once, that would eat up the park space for others	May 8, 2013 5:16 PM
11	Yes, but only if they picked up after themselves.	May 8, 2013 3:27 PM
12	This should be responsibility of City. Can not rely on it being done right by all citizens	May 8, 2013 3:07 PM
13	I foresee that causing chaos and conflict	May 8, 2013 2:55 PM
14	These aren't the easiest to transport. I have enclosures that are 4 feet tall each, and they are heavy and cumbersome to set up. Even with them put together, it's only about 64 square feet - smaller than a bedroom. Not much space for a dog to run!	May 6, 2013 12:38 PM
15	Financial cost	May 5, 2013 3:27 AM
16	See above. This would certainly be better than designated off-leash areas without fencing, however.	May 4, 2013 4:59 PM
17	This is not a very well thought concept. What if 100 dog owners decide to engage at the same time. There would be no place for anyone else and that is unfair. The parks could become no longer a place for everyone but a place for dog owners only. Put that idea on a shelf and forget about it !!	May 3, 2013 10:09 AM
18	unclear as to definition of "temporary fencing" that would allow "their dogs to run off-leash"; too risky for liability if dogs get out and cause injury or mayhem, or if	May 3, 2013 10:03 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

public could be injured by fencing, or park grounds/trees/bldgs/etc damaged by setting up fencing. Is city thinking about requiring a permit for this with specifications as to what kind/type of temp fencing and for a specific number of dogs? What is assurance that dogs are under control - and what would be definition of "under control"?

19	Parks should be for kids;	May 3, 2013 9:01 AM
20	The park should be available for all to use.	May 2, 2013 11:33 PM
21	Park is a public area, fencing one area by each person is not really nice.	May 2, 2013 5:24 PM
22	You cannot assure safety for anyone with owner supplied fencing	May 2, 2013 12:22 PM
23	I think it would be difficult for most of to carry around fencing, especially if we walk to the park.	May 2, 2013 11:32 AM
24	Yes, but I have these for my own pets, and they are quite cumbersome to haul around, and you'd need a lot of fencing in order to allow them to actually run.	May 2, 2013 8:58 AM
25	Effectiveness/quality/state of repair of these fences could be an issue	May 1, 2013 6:56 PM
26	Again, the City of Sunnyvale can not guarantee that the fencing is set up properly so the dog can an may escape if there is something that provokes it. I think a good solutions is to have permanently fenced dog runs at specific parks and then the area with its dogs and owners can be controlled.	May 1, 2013 5:27 PM
27	Non-designated areas belong to the general public and need to be shared	May 1, 2013 4:51 PM
28	Dangerous. What controls?	May 1, 2013 4:49 PM
29	I think it is something that needs to be sturdy & maintained by the city.	May 1, 2013 4:25 PM
30	Not convinced they will keep areas clean	May 1, 2013 4:21 PM
31	it won't leave much space for dogs who don't bring their own fences	May 1, 2013 3:20 PM
32	It would take up space for others.	May 1, 2013 1:18 PM
33	If they want to but I would not choose this option.	May 1, 2013 12:26 PM
34	It's only for an hour or so, like soccer games, volleyball nets, etc. Better to make a small area, somewhere with low picket fence or something... for dogs under 1 ft high.	May 1, 2013 10:25 AM
35	Fence won't be large enough to let dogs run. That's the purpose for off leash parks is to let dogs run and run. They don't run as much in a enclosed area	May 1, 2013 9:57 AM
36	This should be supplied by the city so that only the city is liable	Apr 30, 2013 5:13 PM
37	That could turn into a zoo with several owners setting up their own fences.	Apr 30, 2013 4:59 PM
38	Temporary fencing may not be sturdy enough for some dogs.	Apr 30, 2013 3:02 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

39	No., because of the financial costs involved to implement and maintain.	Apr 30, 2013 3:47 AM
40	Must be non-collapsible sturdy type.	Apr 29, 2013 10:04 PM
41	See answer #1 above.	Apr 29, 2013 9:24 PM
42	Not relevant to this question, but a general comment: I'm a San Francisco resident and am frustrated at the lack of off leash dog walking areas on the Peninsula in general. I travel far and wide around the Bay Area looking for good off leash places to walk, and would come and meet friends in Sunnyvale if there were good off leash dog walking areas. I appreciate you looking considering the ideal!	Apr 29, 2013 3:03 PM
43	Impractical. the amount of fencing required would be cost prohibitive...	Apr 29, 2013 2:03 PM
44	cant depend on citizens to do this correctly.	Apr 29, 2013 8:01 AM
45	It would take a ridiculous amount of temporary fencing to allow a dog to run off-leash and most dogs could easily jump over such a fence. The amount of fence that a person could put in even a large SUV would be so small that no dog bigger than a chihuahua would get exercise inside it. Additionally, where would the fence be set up? On the sports fields, making it impossible for other people to play a game? Near the playground, frightening some small children and causing others to rush to the fence, sticking their hands in and inevitably resulting in a ripped finger that will be sued over? In the middle of the other grass, where the dogs will dig up the turf and leave their droppings that some owner is bound to leave behind? This "solution" is absolutely impossible and completely ridiculous.	Apr 29, 2013 6:02 AM
46	The grassy area needs to be maintained for people to play. Dogs tear up the grass and poop on it.	Apr 28, 2013 9:31 AM
47	Only with proper specifications.	Apr 28, 2013 8:54 AM
48	I feel as though it would be inconsistent, and there would be room for dispute.	Apr 27, 2013 10:32 AM
49	THIS SOUNDS RIDICULOUS TO ME. DOG OWNERS AND THEIR DOGS WANT TO GO OUT WITH THEIR DOG AND THEIR LEASH AND MAYBE A BALL. THEN THEY WANT TO RUN IN TO OTHER DOGS AND THEIR OWNERS AND SNIFF AND RUN AND THEN GO HOME!	Apr 27, 2013 8:52 AM
50	too much of a hassle	Apr 27, 2013 12:47 AM
51	IT would be hard to control.	Apr 26, 2013 10:08 PM
52	It is not practical for most purposes.	Apr 26, 2013 9:44 AM
53	Only if it wouldn't prevent off leash owner without their own supplies	Apr 25, 2013 4:48 PM
54	I don't think owners would tear down temporary fencing after each use and could cause issues with sharing space in the park.	Apr 25, 2013 4:19 PM
55	Because of my past experience I remember how frightening it was for my	Apr 25, 2013 1:43 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

	children and their friends, as well as for myself as an adult to deal with an owner who was out of control. I believe this matter is not something to be determined by owners, where we would have to rely on their judgement.	
56	dogs need to play each other.	Apr 25, 2013 1:17 PM
57	fence may be faulty. too many unpredictable variables.	Apr 25, 2013 1:18 AM
58	Aside from tuddler type fencing for puppies or small dogs, this sounds like a regulatory/liability/enforcement nightmare.	Apr 24, 2013 2:40 PM
59	This could also cause questions of territory among dog owners, and those who play soccer, baseball, ect...	Apr 24, 2013 11:30 AM
60	I don't trust other dog owners.	Apr 24, 2013 9:04 AM
61	In order for it to work, I think it would need to be treated like picnic space, where one needs to apply for a permit for a large party. Adding cumbersome administrative steps doesn't sound terribly practical. If, however, owner fencing is permitted in designated off leash areas only, it might work.	Apr 24, 2013 8:20 AM
62	WTF? Who brings their own fence. This is just weird.	Apr 23, 2013 7:58 PM
63	this just isn't possible and don't see how you can get owners to do this when sometimes they cannot even pick up their pet's waste!	Apr 23, 2013 3:38 PM
64	could restrict usage for others	Apr 23, 2013 3:30 PM
65	Not safe.	Apr 23, 2013 2:58 PM
66	I don't understand what this means – temporary as in removable but it stays up for others to enjoy? In other words, the users pay for creating the space? Yes if there are rules about where, how big, and who can use it.	Apr 23, 2013 2:19 PM
67	Damage to park landscaping, soiling by dogs, interference with non-dog activities. (Note this comment is from a 2 dog family that uses fenced dog parks daily)	Apr 23, 2013 2:12 PM
68	Would look trashy	Apr 23, 2013 1:55 PM
69	If your building a dog park it should be unnecessary for owners to have to setup their own fences	Apr 23, 2013 1:37 PM
70	not sure if secure and above problems could result. not as safe as permanent fencing, even with permanent, secure fencing, dogs have been known to escape. Running into traffic, getting lost or possible injury may result, especially if dog is scared or hurt. Dog parks should be secure for every animal and every person's safety.	Apr 23, 2013 1:34 PM
71	No, I think this should be permanent fencing. If you have owner-supplied fencing that leaves the owner susceptible to damage, etcetera.	Apr 23, 2013 1:04 PM
72	Temporfencing can be problematic, especially if something breaks and the	Apr 23, 2013 1:04 PM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

	owner just leaves it. Setting up city approved areas is a much better idea.	
73	This would work only if there is general agreement among all at dog park.	Apr 23, 2013 12:43 PM
74	What kind of fencing? If the owner has an untrained, large pit bull or other large breed, and flimsy fencing, I think that's a bad idea. If the owner has full control of their dog, pit or otherwise, fencing is unnecessary. Sadly, many dog owners claim to have control over their dogs but do not.	Apr 23, 2013 12:40 PM
75	Too much hassle to have them install. Also, conceivably they will mess up the turf.	Apr 22, 2013 5:00 PM
76	Similar reasoning to notes above	Apr 22, 2013 12:11 PM
77	If there's a way to ensure the durability of the fence than I would support it. Otherwise, there is a likelihood of high liability placed on the person who set up the fence and the city.	Apr 22, 2013 8:20 AM
78	I don't think this is needed if we already have a dog-only sections. Also there is the possibility for the dog to escape the fenced area.	Apr 21, 2013 9:23 PM
79	Sounds complicated and potentially ineffective or might lead to fence pieces being left as trash.	Apr 21, 2013 8:28 PM
80	This may work for very small dogs but larger dogs would require a large designated area that would take away from the park area for all to use.	Apr 21, 2013 6:14 PM
81	The logistics seem to complicated and hard to enforce.	Apr 21, 2013 5:01 PM
82	I wouldn't expect owners to set up a proper fence, permanent or no fence at all.	Apr 21, 2013 3:28 PM
83	If someone were to create their own temporary fencing, what is the point of having that dog being at the park if it is secluded from other dogs? They should all just be on one field together without being fenced.	Apr 21, 2013 1:09 PM
84	Dogs should be allowed to use the park without temporary fencing in designated off-leash hours.	Apr 20, 2013 10:53 PM
85	As long as it doesn't interrupt others	Apr 20, 2013 8:21 PM
86	Maybe on a trial basis. This sounds great on paper. But I wonder if the owners can be trusted to maintain this effort over time?	Apr 20, 2013 7:36 PM
87	It would lead to confusion and controversy.	Apr 20, 2013 6:32 PM
88	This could quickly get out-of-hand. How much area could be fenced? For how long?	Apr 20, 2013 3:35 PM
89	I've never seen any practical temporary fencing; my dog isn't even in good shape but can clear a four foot fence when motivated. Fencing needs to be heavy, stable, and high.	Apr 20, 2013 1:50 PM
90	I don't think it is needed too complicated	Apr 20, 2013 10:33 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

91	I think that would be confusing or rather cause problems and complaints for the city. If you had a volunteer committee for each park to be responsible for one temporary fencing/per site, that may work. I imagine some would 'push' the limits if allowed to use fencing. When organized teams are playing baseball, or formal soccer teams are playing dogs should not be off leash in any area. But when it is time for the dogs, no informal or unorganized ball playing. Times should be definite so there are not disputes or complaints over who has the right to be there.	Apr 20, 2013 9:37 AM
92	There is no way to assure people are qualified to set up fencing correctly or will refrain from damaging the area they use.	Apr 20, 2013 1:41 AM
93	I can't imagine this working except for maybe using small pens for tiny dogs.	Apr 19, 2013 10:51 PM
94	Sounds complicated and like it could become a problem and hard to enforce.	Apr 19, 2013 2:21 PM
95	Its not always an ideal case, and they are going to over run this. Dogs have been way too much in ponderosa park, sunnyvale - and many of the times unchained - which proves to be terrifying experience for old people and kids who visit the park for leisure. One such time, when I was pregnant I was taking a walk - and got chased by 3 big dogs in multiple directions - the owner had let lose and I had to run from the park to the school soccer ground, where the chasing continued and finally my husband rescued me. I would need a number to call and complain and there should be strict enforcement that dogs should not be there except in their specified leash areas.	Apr 18, 2013 2:31 PM
96	Wouldn't have the same security as a fenced-in location. Could be problematic in terms of remaining dog waste.	Apr 18, 2013 9:35 AM
97	it would get out of hand, dog owners will need to share the park with other non dog owners.	Apr 17, 2013 11:35 PM
98	This is ridiculous and would virtually defeat the purpose of taking your dog off-leash.	Apr 17, 2013 4:10 PM
99	It might work for some small dogs, but majority of dogs need more room to roam than temporary fencing will provide.	Apr 17, 2013 3:37 PM
100	Absolutely, dog parks work for some dogs, others need more space, just like kids, some dogs play well together and others do not, some like to share balls, others will not which can cause unwanted behavior. Having a 'rent-space' for dog runs would be perfect!!	Apr 17, 2013 9:21 AM
101	What do you mean by "temporary" fencing? Will there be any standard (for example, material, height, etc.) for such fencing?	Apr 16, 2013 8:32 PM
102	Seems inconvenient and at owners disgression	Apr 16, 2013 6:31 PM
103	that could be difficult, but as long as it didn't interfere with scheduled activities, nor harm the park grounds I think it'd be an acceptable option	Apr 16, 2013 6:08 PM
104	-Potential for an individual to lack consideration of other park goers or dog owners	Apr 16, 2013 4:32 PM

Page 3. Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

105	It depends on what 'temporary' means. Is it setup and taken down for each use? I have an 85 pound dog, setting something up that would allow her to run off leash would be cumbersome.	Apr 15, 2013 8:04 PM
106	worried how this would impact other park users	Apr 15, 2013 7:48 PM
107	I think it would be better to have more control of the situation, that could lead to other concerns.	Apr 15, 2013 5:31 PM
108	this would work for our CCI dogs, having an enclosed, safe area for them to run.	Apr 15, 2013 4:42 PM
109	They could not regulate how safe that is. Shortcuts would be taken. Not safe enough for parks full of children/families.	Apr 15, 2013 3:06 PM
110	Gets too complex in enforcement, cooperation and compliance - less is best when crowds are involved.	Apr 15, 2013 3:05 PM
111	People always abuse things. I can see fights being started and people dumping "trash" to avoid dealing with their old fencing.	Apr 15, 2013 11:59 AM
112	I think that after a while they would just stop using the fencing because they would get tired of carrying it around and having to set it up and take it down. Plus they would have to use quite a bit of fencing to have a big enough area to let their dogs run. Why can't the dog run around in their fenced in back yard at home?	Apr 15, 2013 11:35 AM
113	I wouldn't understand why this would be necessary? If the dog is not trained to respond to commands, then it should be taken to a fenced park.	Apr 15, 2013 11:11 AM
114	It's not for public then it's no good.	Apr 15, 2013 11:07 AM
115	This sounds unneeded and could lead to disruptions of other recreational events, such as soccer or volleyball practice or games.	Apr 15, 2013 10:54 AM
116	Sounds like it would allow dog owners to treat public land like personal property, allowing for disputes.	Apr 15, 2013 10:48 AM
117	No consistency. No standard for safety.	Apr 15, 2013 10:42 AM
118	Who will be responsible to ensure that families with children would be safe.	Apr 15, 2013 10:15 AM
119	Temporary fencing tends not to be very secure. I think it provides a false sense of security.	Apr 15, 2013 10:11 AM
120	Too much conflict, not all people will clean up their mess when the day is done	Apr 15, 2013 9:47 AM
121	For the reasons I mentioned above. There is no one to regulate the use of owner-supplied temporary fencing.	Apr 15, 2013 9:39 AM
122	Would the same apply for non-dog owners? Could I block off a large space in a park for my private usage? No, that is not allowed, so why do dog owners get separate treatment?	Apr 15, 2013 9:35 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

123	No because I thin some people will not know how to use it and be respectful with others dog owners.	Apr 15, 2013 8:33 AM
124	as long as it did not make large holes and all owner fences were set up in the same area.	Apr 13, 2013 7:50 PM
125	temporary fencing? Not feasible. My dog needs more exercise room than what is available in a fence that I could carry and set up!	Apr 13, 2013 12:56 AM
126	Concerned about dog waste being left behind and not being picked up in general public area	Apr 12, 2013 9:52 PM
127	Really not practical. You would need a temporary fence of 25 x 25 feet. And it wouldn't be secure. But if you can overcome the practicality of it, then sure.	Apr 12, 2013 2:43 PM
128	I'd be concerned for the same reasons as noted above. I have trouble envisioning an owner-supplied temporary fence that would work. If I could be shown such a viable option, then I'd change my mind, but I'd only be in favor of secure fencing and not the loopy-goopy arrangements some dog owners prefer.	Apr 12, 2013 1:47 PM
129	This could really get out of hand. Off-leash dogs should be well trained and reliably come when called.	Apr 12, 2013 1:31 PM
130	I think that permanent dog parks are a better solution--a solid fence and double gating make it more likely that the dog, and passers-by, will be safe. With that said, temporary fencing is better than letting dogs run off leash with no fencing.	Apr 11, 2013 9:12 PM
131	I would prefer the City create and control off leash dog park areas. Then there is consistency in implementation, clear rules. Can the City guarantee the safety of the public or their on-leash dogs, with off-leash dog areas with variable temporary fencing? Just the term 'temporary fencing' doesn't sound like something that will contain a dog with determination.	Apr 11, 2013 8:50 PM
132	Too ugly.	Apr 11, 2013 4:01 PM
133	Several dog owners at the las plamas park let there dog run loose outside the dog park on the grass and then do not clean up the poop after them.	Apr 11, 2013 12:07 AM
134	Dog owners are simply trying to find a way to let their dogs play legally ... even if it's out of their own pockets. Other park residents are allowed to set up temporary soccer fields, volley ball nets, etc.	Apr 10, 2013 9:04 PM
135	1. Not practical for hundreds of feet by hundreds of feet necessary for ball and Frisbee playing, and high speed dog chases. 2. Not necessary for small dogs, as those dogs stick around, anyway. I would support limited hours free of police harassment, for non exclusive use of off-leash dogs, and others who are not afraid of dogs. These hours could be cool morning hours, and late evenings after soccer players have gone home. Also, many afternoon hours before school kids come to play.	Apr 10, 2013 11:32 AM
136	The amount of fencing that would be needed is not practical and I don't think the fenced area should be up to the owner's discretion.	Apr 10, 2013 10:11 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

137	This is a bad idea. What qualifies as a temporary fence? The dog could easily escape if the owner has a relaxed definition.	Apr 9, 2013 8:29 PM
138	Our dog group at Las Palmas did finance a temporary fence but it's impractical since we can't store it at the park. Even if we can store it, this is a ver temporary solution. It's much too cumbersome.	Apr 9, 2013 8:28 PM
139	I know many responsible dog owners but there are also irresponsible ones. I would prefer city to do it.	Apr 9, 2013 5:08 PM
140	Doesn't seem efficient or easy.	Apr 9, 2013 2:35 PM
141	too risky	Apr 9, 2013 10:58 AM
142	I just don't see it working effectively. Too much fencing material to haul around	Apr 9, 2013 10:02 AM
143	It takes up space and owners don't police their dogs.	Apr 8, 2013 10:29 PM
144	I have seen dog owners do this at Las Palmas (rules are not being enforced) and it takes up so much of the footprint of the grassy area where people should be playing sports and running around. The grass will get torn up even more than it is now and my kids will step on more dog poop than they do now.	Apr 8, 2013 10:13 PM
145	As long as they properly cleaned up after pets and dogs were to be leashed before leaving fenced in area	Apr 8, 2013 9:26 PM
146	They already do this in Las Palmas, yet they continue to let their dogs run outside the area off leash causing the problems as mentioned in qu. 4 response.	Apr 8, 2013 9:00 PM
147	Because of irresponsible dog owners who fail to train their dogs so that the dogs are menacing to the public.	Apr 8, 2013 7:08 PM
148	People doing it wrong, and hurting animals and other people.	Apr 8, 2013 5:54 PM
149	because people don't control their dogs and they let them crap all over the park.	Apr 8, 2013 5:06 PM
150	Absolutely not. The dog defecation problem is made worse by encouraging them to roam off leash in areas other than the dog park. This is the same place shared by children, teams who play sports, etc.	Apr 8, 2013 5:06 PM
151	not sure - depends on size of fence and dog	Apr 8, 2013 4:45 PM
152	I can't envision what this would be like. I would need more information.	Apr 8, 2013 1:50 PM
153	Too easy for large dogs to escape from anything portable. Some dog owners would feel free to set up anywhere in the parks regardless of impact to other users	Apr 8, 2013 1:43 PM
154	Why? What a silly idea.	Apr 8, 2013 1:40 PM
155	tripping hazards, not sure if temp fencing would work with big dogs w/ potential to fall and hit someone like a child if dog wants to jump over it.	Apr 8, 2013 10:57 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

156	I support a fenced area provided by the City to ensure safety.	Apr 8, 2013 10:51 AM
157	Unsecure (potential interaction with children)	Apr 8, 2013 9:18 AM
158	This doesn't seem like a feasible, easily regulated option. I think owners should either have well trained, obedient dogs that are able to respond to voice commands, and thus able to use non-fenced off-leash areas, or the owners should take their dogs to fenced areas.	Apr 7, 2013 9:44 PM
159	Owners won't set up fencing properly. Some owners won't bother to set up fencing at all. Dog owners will take over large sections of the park with their fencing.	Apr 7, 2013 6:03 PM
160	Owners would need to take responsibility for this and I do not believe we can count on this happening, which then would mean manpower to enforce, which would not be a good use of city resources.	Apr 7, 2013 1:32 PM
161	I'm not generally opposed to the idea, but whatever temporary fencing works for one dog may not work for a larger dog that enters the area, and if temporary fencing is not properly secured or its condition is neglected by the owner, the result could be a failure of the fencing. In short it is a standards problem; better to install something the city can research and keep an eye on.	Apr 7, 2013 8:06 AM
162	Only as safe as the user who sets it up. Too many liability issues if the dog gets loose.	Apr 6, 2013 9:43 PM
163	I have never seen this done before. I am a dog owner and would love more off-leash options, but I am also concerned about the sturdiness of temporary fencing - I am not sure what the purpose would be - to block off a small space, such as a gate?	Apr 6, 2013 5:32 PM
164	Actually, maybe, if guidelines were established on where the areas could be established and how long they can occupy those areas.	Apr 6, 2013 12:49 PM
165	Creates a messy & congestion in picnic areas etc.	Apr 6, 2013 12:46 PM
166	Would limit park area usage for specific users, would not be fair on other users	Apr 6, 2013 12:39 PM
167	opportunity for conflict between dog owners "competing" for space	Apr 6, 2013 9:50 AM
168	difficult to enforce - no restrictions could be where a game is about to start or a birthday party with small children	Apr 6, 2013 9:42 AM
169	Seems that this would be difficult to police	Apr 6, 2013 8:57 AM
170	YES - Because anyone that goes to the effort to supply a fence Might be a dog owner that Cares (Maybe enough to clean-up after their dog).	Apr 6, 2013 2:15 AM
171	I would only support this if there were greater enforcement of cleanup laws--too many owners do not pick up after their dogs.	Apr 5, 2013 10:36 PM
172	I think it would be better to have professional fencing.	Apr 5, 2013 9:37 PM

Page 3, Q5: Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

173	Loss of access to areas of the park normally that do not have dogs. Added dog mess. Temp fences that are not properly setup so dogs escape. Visual eyesore. Noise of fenced dogs. Loss of open space in the park. (I cannot think of a worse idea than this one for expan so of dog services)	Apr 5, 2013 9:12 PM
174	No way to supervise or control	Apr 5, 2013 9:03 PM
175	odd idea, but why not	Apr 5, 2013 7:39 PM
176	Too chaotic, takes up too much space. If that idea was supported - then why not set up a similar fences for peoples cats? chickens? children? picnics?etc	Apr 5, 2013 7:37 PM
177	Hard to drag a fence to park to let your dog run	Apr 5, 2013 7:05 PM
178	This looks like a good solution. However, a large number of such owner may occupy the entire park and makes no space for other people.	Apr 5, 2013 6:07 PM
179	This sounds like something that would be terribly impractical, having people set up all kinds of temporary fencing with little structural stability or reliability, and taking forever to build/move/tear down. It would also block off areas of the park, making it hard for other people to use the space as they choose, and limit flexibility. I can also imagine people leaving up their fences overnight and "claiming" spaces	Apr 5, 2013 5:57 PM
180	This creates an exclusive environment where only certain users and certain dogs are able to use a certain area of the public park. I don't like the exclusionary attitude of users who do this and then make their own judgments about which dogs are acceptable to be invited.	Apr 5, 2013 5:21 PM
181	Are you kidding me? Someone gets to come in and claim part of the park as their own because they want their dog to run? Do others get to rope off part of the park because they'd like to exclusively use it? This is insane. Completely and utterly insane.	Apr 5, 2013 4:44 PM
182	Temporary fencing is not stable and could become problematic if a dog were to jump onto the fence. It's an accident waiting to happen and I guarantee someone will get hurt.	Apr 5, 2013 4:17 PM
183	it is unrealistic. I think we need to educate the neighbors, so many new residents are afraid of dogs because they have not been raised with dogs, but this should not mean the rest of us who have dogs should be punished.	Apr 5, 2013 1:26 PM
184	It will need too many regulations and definitions around what is allowed or not allowed. Sadly, it seems dog owners are more vigilant about using the parks, than parent of kids. I see a park over-run by dog owners, and no place for kids to run around and play soccer or baseball	Apr 5, 2013 10:59 AM
185	Sure, like a little doggy playpen? I had one of these for puppies, leashes are kind of a bummer, but not all dogs will stay by their owners.	Apr 5, 2013 10:17 AM
186	Because they wont use it properly	Apr 5, 2013 9:39 AM
187	I would support it, but I don't think it really solves the problem. This solution	Apr 5, 2013 2:05 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

	works only for small dogs. It doesn't allow dogs to really exercise - chase the ball for example.	
188	People do not pick up after their dogs while taking them for a walk. What makes anyone think people will be more responsible in a situation like that described in #5?	Apr 4, 2013 11:39 PM
189	Bring your own fence to the park? C'mon, that's ridiculous.	Apr 4, 2013 11:06 PM
190	No way to regulate what a suitable fence is	Apr 4, 2013 8:48 PM
191	Parks should be open spaces for EVERYONE to enjoy and not all carved up for the enjoyment of dog owners.	Apr 4, 2013 3:32 PM
192	There are too many what ifs in this scenario, if dog parks are going to be established, safety should be the number one priority. Not all pet owners are responsible, and it's obvious that some won't follow the rules and will allow their dogs to roam without properly fencing them. Please don't place our children and families in danger by creating a situation where the public is reliant on dog owners to self-regulate. The parks are for everyone and the safety of everyone should be of highest priority.	Apr 4, 2013 2:12 PM
193	I would support it as long as their temporary fencing is for all dogs, at appropriate times/durations, and doesn't get in the way of other park activities such as soccer games, picnics, etc.	Apr 4, 2013 1:28 PM
194	This looks ugly to begin with, and I can see people bringing rebar and construction fencing and leaving all or part of it behind. Too hard to enforce the rules and keep our parks looking nice.	Apr 4, 2013 11:24 AM
195	Too much chance of owners not supplying sturdy enough fencing, dogs knocking it over or getting injured if it fell	Apr 4, 2013 11:05 AM
196	Danger to public if dogs are not trained properly and waste disposal would be less regulated. In sure most people are good about it but it only takes a couple irresponsible owners to ruin it for everyone with and without dogs.	Apr 4, 2013 10:48 AM
197	What if the park gets taken up by people wanting to fence off areas?	Apr 4, 2013 10:45 AM
198	How would this be regulated, and what would constitute the right type of fencing? Too many variables to enforce.	Apr 4, 2013 10:31 AM
199	With permit on special occasion sure	Apr 4, 2013 9:05 AM
200	For tiny dogs, maybe. Larger dogs usually require more room, unless they are just lying around being inactive. Then a leash works well for a well behaved dog with a responsible owner. I guess I need to know more details about this option.	Apr 4, 2013 1:44 AM
201	I'm not sure how this would work... seems like fences from different owners could conflict.	Apr 3, 2013 10:19 PM
202	Not unless times, sizes and places were restricted.	Apr 3, 2013 9:23 PM

Page 3. Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

203	Only if those individuals watched dog/ cleaned up after dog	Apr 3, 2013 8:48 PM
204	Unless the guidelines are clear I can see this being an area with too much subjectivity.	Apr 3, 2013 8:19 PM
205	Why should a dog owner be allowed to cordon off an area in the park which is publicly owned?	Apr 3, 2013 7:01 PM
206	Ok if fenced area is reasonably small. (like smaller than a half court basketball)	Apr 3, 2013 5:48 PM
207	Prefer City erected fencing	Apr 3, 2013 5:34 PM
208	It would depend. how temporary is temporary? an hour or a month? I'd be OK with on demand use. However, the type of fencing would be important, and in addition, what is the intent of owners using this temporary fencing? If it's for puppies to play, I'd be OK with that. If it's for obedience training, I'd be OK with that. If it's to run my dog or human aggressive dog because it has no where else to run, I wouldn't agree because the type of fencing would never be adequate to protect the safety of the public.	Apr 3, 2013 5:34 PM
209	Would have to have restrictions on location etc. or it becomes one more thing to manage.	Apr 3, 2013 4:06 PM
210	I doubt many dog owners would do this, and if they did, it would block the kids' play areas.	Apr 3, 2013 3:12 PM
211	what if a non dog owner wants to use that fenced off space for another purpose	Apr 3, 2013 2:35 PM
212	Yes, with a caveat. all dog owners must be present within the enclosure or immediately next to the owner-supplied temporary fencing and in full and immediate control of their dogs at all times. Owner-supplied temporary fencing is unlikely to be sufficient to keep dogs from jumping over the short fences and running loose.	Apr 3, 2013 1:30 PM
213	If they want to set up fencing, it harms no one and should be allowed.	Apr 3, 2013 1:16 PM
214	Probably would result in inconsistent results without proper administration, rules, oversight, etc.	Apr 3, 2013 12:27 PM
215	hard to control	Apr 3, 2013 12:06 PM
216	During weekdays only.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
217	how many times do I need to say this... parks are for people first!	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
218	Not sure how this would work. Temporary fencing, maybe for very small dogs. Not too practical for larger dogs that need space to run.	Apr 3, 2013 11:43 AM
219	It's not necessary. The dogs can do that in the owners' yards.	Apr 3, 2013 11:42 AM
220	That would be unattractive.	Apr 3, 2013 10:19 AM
221	No guarantee the fencing would be sturdy enough to prevent escapes.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 AM

Page 3, Q5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

222	That sounds dumb.	Apr 3, 2013 9:36 AM
223	This would be difficult to manage. Some people would be responsible, some not.	Apr 3, 2013 9:03 AM
224	Their location may inefficiently use the grounds preventing others from enjoying the space. In addition, knowing that there is a greater probability that I am walking through dog feces or urine would be troubling.	Apr 3, 2013 8:41 AM
225	I have a large dog that runs 200 feet to fetch a ball. Thus, temporary fencing is not feasible for me. If the small dog owners get this and the large dog owners get nothing I will be very disappointed.	Apr 2, 2013 8:33 PM
226	Yes to physical fencing and electronic fencing	Apr 2, 2013 5:11 PM
227	too random and unstructured.	Apr 2, 2013 12:25 PM

Page 5, Q9. In what recreational activities do you typically participate when you visit Sunnyvale parks?

1	city employee	May 10, 2013 5:21 PM
2	The BEST dog park in the area is Reed dog park in Santa Clara. Check it out!! Nice combination of grass, hill, shade, benches, gravel area, separate small/large dogs, etc. Go visit it.	May 7, 2013 10:53 PM
3	Enjoying peace and quiet. Family gatherings.	May 3, 2013 10:12 AM
4	I walk every day or like to ride my old bike with foot brakes in empty duck pond in winter. Since I don't hear well, I fear riding in streets and it is a safe place for this 78 yr old woman to ride. Actually, you could do great things with your empty pool in winter...for small bikes for kids, scooters, or bikes for those over 65. Wow, imagine something special for old folk! But a policeman was chasing 3 yr olds on bikes out of the pond the other day..very rudely!!!!	May 1, 2013 10:28 AM
5	hang out with family on the open grass when weather is too hot to be indoors. At times lay on the grass and rest and I don't want to be worried a dog will come up and bite me or my family.	Apr 29, 2013 10:05 PM
6	I visit with friends who have dogs.	Apr 29, 2013 10:19 AM
7	i let my dogs off the leash whether or not the park likes it.	Apr 27, 2013 12:47 AM
8	Small private parties	Apr 25, 2013 1:44 PM
9	Why isn't Washington Park on the list of possible locations?	Apr 24, 2013 8:21 AM
10	Having a safe and clean place to walk and play with my dog is the most important to me. Also, please consider not only parks, but walking trails.	Apr 23, 2013 4:59 PM
11	Community garden	Apr 23, 2013 2:18 PM
12	I was a sunnyvale resident for over 20 years and just recently moved to Santa Clara. My small dog does not like playing with big dogs, which is the setup at Las Palmas. There are a lot of dog owners in Sunnyvale and it would be nice to have a second location for people and their dogs to go.	Apr 23, 2013 1:18 PM
13	paracourses	Apr 23, 2013 12:39 PM
14	I used to have two dogs that passed away recently. I think this world needs to be friendlier to these amazing animals	Apr 22, 2013 10:29 PM
15	Used to have a dog and walked through park.. Often challenged by dogs off leash and running around well beyond owner control..	Apr 22, 2013 12:12 PM
16	I walk my dog on leash in my neighborhood park, but have had my dog attacked and threatened by two pit bulls and a shepard, because she is on leash and they are not. She is a large breed too.	Apr 20, 2013 9:37 AM
17	I like to pet dogs. Since we have cats at home, no dog, I go for walks and enjoy petting other people's dogs (with the owners' permission, of course.) It's also fun just to watch them enjoying themselves - chasing balls, playing together, etc.	Apr 18, 2013 3:51 PM
18	Dogs have been way too much in ponderosa park, sunnyvale - and many of the	Apr 18, 2013 2:32 PM

Page 5, Q9. In what recreational activities do you typically participate when you visit Sunnyvale parks?

	times unchained - which proves to be terrifying experience for old people and kids who visit the park for leisure. One such time, when i was pregnant i was taking a walk - and got chased by 3 big dogs in multiple directions - the owner had let loose and i had to run from the park to the school soccer ground, where the chasing continued and finally my husband rescued me. I would need a number to call and complain and there should be strict enforcement that dogs should not be there except in their specified leash areas.	
19	As a family, our child and dog love to go to the parks in Sunnyvale	Apr 18, 2013 8:31 AM
20	Birthdays and cookouts	Apr 17, 2013 5:10 PM
21	Additional Comment: The dog park needs to have water. This would be #1 for me. Better yet, a water feature for the dogs who enjoy water, especially on hot days, would be fantastic. #2 would be to have the dog park away from the children's area and sporting areas, if the park is big enough to allow this. Dogs have very sensitive hearing and loud, sharp noises can scare some dogs.	Apr 17, 2013 8:54 AM
22	tennis	Apr 15, 2013 7:49 PM
23	dog walking, we don't use the dog parks because CCI (Canine Companions for Independence) will not allow it.	Apr 15, 2013 4:43 PM
24	Just so you know... the survey question regarding dog park feature priorities... the prioritization feature didn't work. I couldn't change it to reflect my desire.	Apr 15, 2013 11:20 AM
25	Bicycling	Apr 15, 2013 11:12 AM
26	I lived in Sunnyvale for 25 years, just recently moved. One problem i've had at dog parks is that there always seems to be a "bully" dog/owner that enjoys watching their dog pick on other dogs. Also, not everyone picks up after their dogs. It's hard enough to monitor fenced areas, unfenced could lead to huge problems. Dogs can get into that "pack mentality" - not all dogs obey commands all the time and can show their more aggressive side when around other dogs.	Apr 15, 2013 9:51 AM
27	I also use the parks to practice sports with my kids.	Apr 15, 2013 9:40 AM
28	NOT Las Palmas Dog Park. Went one time only. Dirty, muddy, big dogs. Not a place for my bion frise. Unacceptable fr small dogs.	Apr 13, 2013 12:58 AM
29	I personally do not go to the fenced, off leash dog parks because my dog does not feel comfortable in them. The dogs tend to run in a pack and she is very nervous and submissive in that environment. We get to Ortega Park religiously every day and on occasion Serra and Las Palmas. Running into off leash dogs is always difficult to manage. Just because people choose to bring a dog into their home who live in a city, doesn't mean they have the right to let them run off-leash in a public park. Many people are frightened of dogs and no one can guarantee the dog will obey.	Apr 11, 2013 8:54 PM
30	Ride bike	Apr 10, 2013 3:24 PM
31	I also enjoy watching skillful RC Plane pilots.	Apr 10, 2013 11:38 AM
32	Getting away from "cityness" - seeking peace & beauty, fresh air & nature's	Apr 9, 2013 4:08 PM

Page 5, Q8. In what recreational activities do you typically participate when you visit Sunnyvale parks?

soothing aromas, a cool place on a hot evening, a place to meet up with friends to chat while their children play, a place to read or have an extended phone conversation if no one is around to be bothered by it, a place to just sit & think & be a person, somewhere to walk with a friend while chatting - a place to refresh,

33	Letting young children and their dogs run around until they're exhausted, so I can sleep too.	Apr 8, 2013 2:31 PM
34	Team events occasionally as well. Closest to Yahoo!	Apr 8, 2013 5:55 PM
35	We walk to school through the park. Our children play in the park.	Apr 8, 2013 5:07 PM
36	We have owned dogs in the past and may well in the future. Without question, dogs enjoy their time off-leash and the owners enjoy seeing them do so. The fenced area in Las Palmas Park is a great way to allow dogs and owners to enjoy those benefits without significantly impacting other users of the park participating in activities incompatible with free roaming dogs. Setting aside an area for small dogs increases the number of dogs and owners who benefit. We just need to ensure that the human beings are not denied the use of the park by dogs and their owners. (Sorry. Not the right place for this set of comments but I did not see the correct one.)	Apr 8, 2013 1:52 PM
37	I enjoy watching the dogs in the Las Palmas dog park. I would appreciate creation of an area where viewing of the dogs inside is easier for individuals without dogs outside.	Apr 8, 2013 1:51 PM
38	I often take my dogs to Shoreline Park in Mountain View for off leash play - it is, frankly, much nicer than the Sunnyvale dog park with the decomposed granite surface and lots of room to throw the ball. I rarely take my dogs to the Sunnyvale Dog park. Too dirty! I take the dogs to Palo Alto a lot because it is a more dog friendly city - particularly Stanford/University Avenue - that means we spend \$\$ in Palo Alto and Mountain View, rather than Sunnyvale.	Apr 6, 2013 5:36 PM
39	I no longer have a dog, but used the parks for dog walking when I did. And sometimes walk now with dog owners.	Apr 6, 2013 9:52 AM
40	Hobby activities that are Not unlawful as per the signs listed at the parks.	Apr 6, 2013 2:19 AM
41	Relaxing - reading -	Apr 5, 2013 9:05 PM
42	I like dogs and occasionally do walk dogs from my neighborhood (about once/month)	Apr 5, 2013 7:38 PM
43	Spectator at organized sports	Apr 5, 2013 5:22 PM
44	Reading in the park, hang out with friends	Apr 5, 2013 11:00 AM
45	Sitting on benches to simply enjoy the park.	Apr 4, 2013 3:34 PM
46	Cub Scouting Activities	Apr 4, 2013 10:31 AM
47	Training my dog.	Apr 3, 2013 11:15 PM
48	Kid playdates & dog playdates	Apr 3, 2013 8:20 PM

Page 5, Q9. In what recreational activities do you typically participate when you visit Sunnyvale parks?

49	Kite flying, bicycling, reading	Apr 3, 2013 7:47 PM
50	Peacefully leunging innocently on grass hoping to avoid licking or nipping dogs.	Apr 3, 2013 5:49 PM
51	Just sitting	Apr 3, 2013 5:35 PM
52	Horse shoes	Apr 3, 2013 12:52 PM
53	I live near Las Palmas Park and use it almost every day.	Apr 3, 2013 11:57 AM
54	No place else to input, also would like source of water suitable for dog drinking	Apr 3, 2013 10:36 AM
55	<p>Little League family, play basketball, run and walk, take dogs to park, meet with friends. Occasionally a LL sponsored picnic, etc. You should know that the large dog owners who let their dogs off leash at Sunnyvale parks (and get ticketed as if they are criminals) are some of the most conscientious dog owners that you have. They know that their large dogs might intimidate others, so they stay hyper-vigilant. They go out of their way to find a place FAR from people and kids. We are trying to exercise our dogs in peace and quiet, yet we are being systematically hunted by Animal Control. I understand that you get phone calls about dogs being off leash, but the amount of tickets has gone through the roof! It used to be WAY easier to be a Sunnyvale citizen with a large dog. Now it almost seems like persecution, as if you want us to move out of Sunnyvale. Meanwhile the number of robberies is also through the roof. Police and city services money should be going to INVESTIGATIVE efforts. Large dogs PREVENT home robberies, they are a FRIEND to the city. Large dog owners should not be made to feel like criminals where they pay taxes. We should be a welcome constituency. Thanks for listening. We appreciate the fact that you are reaching out to us.</p>	Apr 2, 2013 8:43 PM

Dog Parks and Off-Leash Alternatives in Sunnyvale's Park System

The City of Sunnyvale is studying dog parks and off-leash alternatives to dog parks. As part of the study, the public is encouraged to provide input by completing this survey. This survey is open to anyone and is not restricted to dog owners or residents of Sunnyvale.

Total # Surveys Collected at Community Outreach Meetings or Hard Copies at City Hall: 74

1. Would you support more dog parks established Sunnyvale parks or other City-owned property with permanent – fenced, off-leash areas for dogs?

Yes No

Yes – 70 94.5%

No – 4 5.4%

2. Several sites in Sunnyvale are currently being reviewed for the feasibility of establishing an off-leash area for dogs. Would you support adding a designated off-leash area for dogs at the following sites?

- Las Palmas (small dog area) yes no
- Lakewood Park yes no
- Fair Oaks Park yes no
- Serra Park yes no

Las Palmas	Yes – 56	75%
Lakewood	Yes – 54	72%
Fair Oaks	Yes – 52	70%
Serra	Yes – 43	58%

If you answered no and would like to explain or would like to suggest another location, please comment below:

- Panama Park – Yes!
- Also Raynor & Ortega
- Raynor
- Panama – off leash hours
- Would like Panama to have off-leash hours
- Panama
- Scheduled off-leash time at Panama
- There should be off-leash time at Panama
- Panama Park
- Panama/Ortega
- Need more dog park close to Lakewood to handle dogs from Lakewood, Fairwood & Trailer Parks - I would not drive to another dog park if not on north side

- Fairwood Park – Yes – A location close to where I live
- Fairwood Park – Yes
- Baylands
- Baylands
- Baylands – 5 acres at least
- Baylands – 3 to 5 acres
- Baylands
- Baylands – 3 acres at least
- Serra Park – Yes – good to support local businesses
- Off-leash permit fee for designated area
- Separate large & Small dogs
- Murphy Park
- Fairwood Park – Yes
- Lakewood only on southside between the bike path and the houses facing Lakehaven (around the area that has a fence around tall reeds)
- Panama full park off-leash hours; close in the fence would also be nice
- Green belt areas
- Braly Park off of Iris
- If only you designate dog parks and human only parks and not mix the two.

3. If additional permanently fenced off-leash areas in dog parks are established in Sunnyvale, what amenities/features should be included? Rank in order of preference, where #1 is most preferred. (note: most respondents checked boxes, but did not rank)

- Bulletin board(s)
- Dispenser for dog waste bags
- Double-gated entry
- Trash receptacles
- Separate area for large and small dogs
- Artificial turf
- Trees/shade
- Decomposed granite
- Benches
- Grass/natural turf
- Picnic Tables
- Other (please specify)

4. Would you support designated off-leash areas for dogs without fencing in Sunnyvale parks?

- Yes
- Yes, but at restricted times only
- No

If no, why not?

Yes – 38 51%
 Yes, restricted times – 13 17%
 No – 12 16%
 Skipped Question: 11
 Comments:

- This is the most important! Please, allow dogs off-leash in Panama Park
- Would support off-leash areas at designated times; it is preferable to be able to walk to a place where you can have your dog off-leash
- Yes – most definitely
- Allow dog owners to use lawn areas at other park areas/schools. Maybe require folks to get permission i.e. proof dog has shots, education on poop pick-up, dog training, etc. It is done informally now.
- Scheduled off-leash time at Panama Park
- There should be off-leash hours at Panama
- Dogs need off-leash time/community time with other dogs and other people
- Yes, but has to be monitored
- No, there are too many people with pit bulls and other unpredictable dogs.
- I suggest that dogs less than 30 lbs. be exempted from being on leash if they have a city tag and owner is around.
- No – animal nature
- Full Panama Park when park is virtually empty – allow off-leash
- No – not all dog owners have control of their dogs even though they think they do.
- No – use the dog park
- No – enough off-leash already
- No – not safe for dogs
- No – dogs and children need separation
- No – dogs are unreliable and can easily knock someone over
- No – dogs already run free all day in Las Palmas. One killed my cat.
- No – fencing is necessary
- Fencing around my idea OK. Dog parks only, so dogs do not run out into the street. You should have at least 4-5 parks for humans and dogs. The rest of the parks in Sunnyvale “No Dogs Allowed.” Within the 4-5 dog parks, you can make them “leash area” or “run free” area. Out of the 4-5 “dogs only” park, you can have 2 parks for small dogs, only. You can have people pay a fee for time slots, 30 minute increments to have dog run exclusively reserved.
- Dogs can get aggressive and run after things randomly

5. Would you support dog owners being allowed to set-up owner-supplied, temporary fencing for their dogs to run off-leash in Sunnyvale parks?

Yes No

If no, why not?

Yes – 41 55%

No – 15 20%

Skipped Question – 18 24%

Comments:

- Bring own fencing – great idea!
- Not without specific, enforced time limits
- If fence in the only way to keep animal control out
- No sure how I feel about this – could be very intrusive to other visitors. Why not a long cable 20 ft. anchored in the ground.
- Yes, especially if there is no other option for small dogs.
- Fencing material must be adequate to contain and high enough.
- Storage, set-up and take-down is a nuisance, but it works! Advantage: grass can be maintained without having to work around a fixed barrier
- No – dog runs are in place for that purpose.

Are you a Sunnyvale resident?

Yes No

Yes – 58 78%

No – 1 1%

Skipped Question – 15

6. Do you own a dog?

Yes No

Yes – 53 72%

No – 6 8%

Skipped Question – 15 20%

7. Do you visit Sunnyvale Parks?

Yes – 57 77% No 0 Skipped Question – 17 22%

OTHER COMMENTS:

- Water feature for dogs to play in and cool off. Fair Oaks has the fountain kids can play in. Dogs would enjoy a similar feature
- The City needs to improve enforcement against littering, vandalism in general, and irresponsible dog owners.

- I suggest that dogs less than 30 lbs be exempted from being on leash if they have a City tag and owner is around.
- I suggest having non-uniformed officers on foot or bikes and/or cameras. The schedule of fines should be increasing: 1st offense =warning, 2nd offense = moderately low fine (\$100), 3rd offense = \$300, 4th offense = Fine + Prosecution
- The bike path is full of weeds, the Hetch Hetchy area is always vandalized and smells like gas, should work with PG&E and Water District to stop gangs and destruction.
- I think a list of dog regulations should be included in our utilities bill; every household receives a bill
- Some dog owners think they have full control but they don't. That is hard to regulate. Very few people know that a 6' leash is a regulation in Sunnyvale.
- In Sunnyvale, many years ago, Adult Education had a class for dog owners to to work on training your dogs behavior around other dogs. Dog training today is VERY EXPENSIVE. Would love to see that happen again.
- No food in dog areas
- How many special areas can a park maintain?



SUMMARY of CORRESPONDENCE
City of Sunnyvale
Public Comments

ATTACHMENT C
Page 73 of 76

Council Study Issue DPW 13-14:
Feasibility of Establishing Additional Dog Parks and Alternatives in Sunnyvale's Park System

The following is a summary of public comments received via email and/or voicemail regarding dog parks and alternatives in Sunnyvale's Park System.

Date	Via	Resident	Abbreviated Comments
4/1/13	email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concerned with safety of park users due to dogs off-leash at Panama Park.• Off-leash dogs interfere with permitted sports use.
4/1/13	Email, Parks AP	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concerned about dogs off leash at Panama Park.• Children have been scared and knocked down by dogs several times.• Stepped in dog feces many times.• I was recently bitten by a dog.• Support additional dog park facilities in Sunnyvale with corresponding enforcement of leash laws.• Needs to be multiple dog parks conveniently located to reduce current illegal defacto dog parks.• Do not sacrifice the limited team sport field space during peak youth league practice times.
4/4/13	Email, Parks AP	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concerned about the dogs off leash Lakewood. Dog ran after son, growling and barking while playing soccer.• We find it very rude and inconsiderate that people leave their dogs off leash ALL THE TIME.• Need authorities to start ticketing people who have their dogs off leash.
4/7/13	Email, Parks AP	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Serra Park dog run feasible space in the trees adjacent to Serra School buildings• The City should take measures to ensure pet owners take responsibility for their pets and keep impacts to the neighbors to a minimum.
4/7/13	Email, Parks AP	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Add Fairwood Park to list of potential dog parks.• A lot of owners have their dogs off-leash in the grassy area.• Suggested times 7-10 a.m. and 5-8 p.m.

4/7/13	Email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Live near Las Palmas. Support a fenced dog park for small dogs. COMPLETELY AGAINST open area for dogs or temporary fencing. • Some dog owners have proven repeatedly that they do not control their dogs. • Coach soccer at Las Palmas; problem with players stepping in dog poop. This ruins the whole practice and risks health problems for kids. • Rude dog owners. Dogs run over and take soccer cones; owners think it is funny and return a chewed up cone. • Give small dog owners their own area. Do not let them continue to leave dog poop all over parks.
4/9/2013	Email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Live near Las Palmas w/ wife and 3 kids. • Concern about lack of care by dog owners who let their dogs off leash (big & small dogs) • Have stepped and fallen in dog poop when playing ball. • Animal control has not enforced it; signage is extremely small/non-existent. • Recommend simply erect a small fence within the larger dog park that is cordoned off for smaller dogs. • Owners should be using existing dog park.
4/12/2013	Email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small dog owners have claimed the grassy area of Las Palmas as their own. • Scary for children to play because they become targets for the dogs and get chased. • Difficult to have a soccer or baseball game without stepping in dog poop. • Large dogs also off-leash unfenced - taking over the grassy area, yet close to Las Palmas Dog Park. • Calls to Animal Control to report violations have done nothing to curb the problem. Current off-leash regulations need to be enforced.
4/8/2013	Voicemail	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be a separate area for small dogs at Las Palmas Park.
4/16/2013	Voicemail	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wants a dog park at Serra Park. There used to be a group that would get together with dogs off-leash. Recently, animal control gave out citations and the group no longer goes to the park.

4/16/2013	Email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests an off-leash voice and sight command program. Example: <i>City of Boulder's Voice and Sight Dog Tag Program.</i>
4/16/2013	Letter	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The location of the Las Palmas Dog Park represents no respect for "Mathilda Garden Apartments" and the single family homes edging Las Palmas main parking lot. • Dog park is dirty, unattractive, run-down. • We have to deal with noise and dust in our back yard. • Move the present dog park to a central location with separate enclosures for large & small dogs, including water, benches, shade, and plastic bags. • Many dog owners have always allowed their dogs to run loose throughout the park. Enforcement of leash law is totally lacking and never enforced.
5/3/2013	Web Request	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes spending even one penny of City resources on a "Dog Park". Code violations are not enforced. A dog park would be a liability Sunnyvale cannot afford. • Other ways to improve our town with the public's best interest at heart. Dog park is not one of them.
5/16/2013	Email	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People are more interested in off-leash access to their local parks, rather than some new dog park to which they have to drive. • Need off-leash access at Panama Park • Turn Las Palmas duck pond into a dog park. Pond is kept empty/dry most of the year, which is a waste of space. • Use existing dog park as a small dog area; once it has new turf. • Make the duck pond a large dog area with artificial turf over most of it, but with a small area kept as a pond for water dogs to chase balls, etc. • This pond would use a portion of the existing plumbing, and might require construction of a concrete ramp for dog to easily exit the water. • The rest of the area may be filled in and topped with artificial turf. • This would be welcomed by many dog owners.

5/20/2013	Web Request	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concerned about dogs off leash at Lakewood Park. My son has been chased several times and is now terrified of dogs. Today at the park I saw at least 10 dogs without leashes. A small dog came after my son, his ears were down and teeth were showing. I felt threatened. I wish and plead for someone to address this issue. We saw the dog owner was not carrying any leashes.• I wish dog owners would be more responsible for their pets. It's really getting out of hand. I feel like small dog owners feel they don't have to use the leash. That doesn't mean much when my son is running screaming—terrified. Are there any leash laws? Are small dogs an exception?
-----------	-------------	-----	--

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
Sunnyvale	145,973	Las Palmas	no	no	no	no	Fenced; water; double-gated entry; benches,	Opened in 1992; half acre
SANTA CLARA COUNTY CITIES								
Campbell	39,664	Los Gatos Creek County	no	yes	no	\$5 parking	Irrigated lawn w/ decomposed granite pathways; public art; pet-friendly water fountains; logs & boulders for dog play	.5 acre
Cupertino	58,747	Mary Avenue (proposed)	no - On 7/21/09 Council reviewed results of 2327 surveys with 63% opposing trial off-leash unfenced program	yes @ proposed site	no	no	Proposed amenities may include installation of benches, trash receptacles, dispensers for waste mitts and a drinking fountain.	.44 acre site
Gilroy	49,391	Las Animas Veterans Dog Park	no	Separate area for small and large dogs	no	no	Fenced; water; double-gated entry; benches	Dog park located within 23-acre park
Los Gatos	29,651	Los Gatos Creek County, located in Campbell	no - on 6/18/12 Town of Los Gatos voted no to off-leash pilot program	yes	no	\$5 parking	Refer to Campbell's dog park	Town contributed resources to Santa Clara County Parks for fenced dog park

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
Milpitas	67,476	Ed Levin County Park	no	yes	no	\$ parking	Benches, picnic tables, water, bags, restroom	County Park
Morgan Hill	38,309	Morgan Hill Dog Park	no	yes	no		Natural turf; hilly terrain, benches, gravel path	
Mt. View	74,723	Shoreline Dog Park; dogs are not allowed at Shoreline Park	Yes, with dog training permit in certain areas of Cuesta, Rengstorff & Whisman	Yes	no	no	Double gated entry; water; picnic tables, shaded areas, bulletin board	2/3 acre park
Palo Alto	64,943	Mitchell; Greer and Hoover Parks	no		no	no	varies by site	varies by site
San Jose	958,789	Nine dog parks: Butcher, Delmas, Fontana, Miyuki, Olinder, Raleigh Linear, Ryland, Saratoga Creek & Watson	no	yes	no	no	varies by site	varies by site
Santa Clara	118,169	Reed & Lafayette	no	yes	no	no	Natural turf; hilly terrain, benches, gravel path	1.5 acres; Closed Thursdays for maintenance
SAN MATEO COUNTY CITIES								
Brisbane	4,328	Brisbane Dog Park	no	no	no	no	Wooden play structure for dogs; water station, picnic table w/ shade, chairs, lighting, bags	1/4 acre; adjacent to City Hall
Belmont	26,031	Cipriani Dog Park	no	yes	no	no	Lighting, water, bags, benches, picnic tables	3/4 acre

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
Burlingame	29,009	Bayside Dog Park	No- on 3/120/12 Council voted to end pilot, unleashed program at Cuernavaca and Washington Parks	yes	no	no	Bayside fully gated	3/4 acre
Daly City	101,920	Mission Hills; Palisades Park	no	yes	no	no	bags; adjacent picnic area	
Foster City	30,790	Boat Dog Park	Yes, at five park sites in designated areas/times	yes at Boat Dog Park	no	no	Lighting, water station, bags, restroom. DG surface, shade structures, tables, benches,	1/2 acre
Menlo Park	32,319	Nealon Park M-F 8 AM - 10AM; Willow Oaks Park 7AM-9AM and 4 PM to Dusk	no	no	no	no	Partially fenced; shared use of sports field	Organized group of dog owners @ Nealon Park
Redwood City	77,712	Shores Dog Park	no	yes	no	no	Water, located near lagoons, wildlife preserve & walking trails	.6 acres. Partnership w/ "Shore Dogs" organization
San Bruno	41,842	Commodore Park	no	yes	no	no	water, bag stations, benches, picnic tables, restroom and adjacent playground	1/2 acre

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
San Carlos	28,615	Heather Dog Exercise Area	Yes - pilot program at Burton & Highlands Park was authorized by Parks & Rec. Director and Muni Code 12.12.270 D	no	no	no	Heather 1.5 acres: Pooper Scooper Dispenser, Hiking Trail, Open Space,	Unfenced, off-leash program hours vary by season w/ sports and camps
San Mateo	97,966	Seal Point	no - discontinued off-leash, unfenced program at Beresford, Central Park and Bayside Parks	yes	no	no	Located on Shoreline: water, bags, restroom, bench, shade structure, dirt surface	3 acres at Seal Point
ALAMEDA COUNTY CITIES								
Alameda	74,081	Main Street Dog Park; Washington Dog Park	no	Yes	no	no	Separate area for small dogs at Washington Dog Park	1.3 acres at Main Street; 5.7 acres at Washington
Berkeley	114,046	Cesar Chavez Park (unfenced) & Ohlone Park (fenced)	yes at Cesar Chavez in designated areas;		no	no	Cesar Chavez site built on former landfill; views of bay	17-acres at Cesar Chavez Park;
Dublin	46,743	Dougherty Hills Dog Park; Bray Commuons Park includes an enclosed .25 acre area for small dogs weighing less than 20 lbs.	no	yes at Dougherty Hills Dog Park;	no	no	Doggie drinking fountain, bench seating,	Public Art called "Animal Series"

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
Fremont	215,711	Central Park Dog Park	no	yes	no	no	Artificial turf; two double gated entrances; drinking fountains for dogs and owners; shade structures	1.2 acres for large dogs; .02 acre for small dogs
Hayward	145,839	4 fenced dog parks: Castro Valley, San Leandro, San Lorenzo & Hayward	no	yes	no	no	Hayward Area Recreation & Park District	HARD - independent special use district
Livermore	81,678	Five fenced dog parks; one unfenced dog area at Robertson Park	yes, at Robertson Park in designated meadow area	yes, at Marlin Pound park	no	no	Livermore Area Recreation & Park District; not City of Livermore	LARPD an independent special district
Oakland	392,932	Five fenced dog play areas	no	yes	no	no	Open dusk to dawn. City of Oakland offers: Dog Play Parties, Dog Birthday Parties, Puppy Play Class, Senior Dog Fitness Class, Pool Party & Canine Activity Fair	Community Maintenance Dog Play areas. Users are responsible for keeping ground clean, week removal and graffiti removal within the runs.
Pleasanton	70,643	Muirwood Community Park	no	yes	no	no	Play surface is bark	100 yards x 10 yards

**DOG PARKS AND OFF-LEASH AREAS IN MUNICIPALITIES IN
ALAMEDA, SAN MATEO AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES**

ATTACHMENT D

City	Population	Dog Park(s)	Off-leash unfenced area(s) allowed	Separate area for small and large dogs	Owner-provided temporary fencing allowed	Fee(s) if applicable	Special Features	Notes
San Leandro	85,490	San Leandro Dog Park	no	yes	no	no	shade structures with picnic benches; water faucets; benches and garbage cans. Restrooms adjacent to parking lot.	58,000 sq/ feet
Union City	69,850	Drigon Dog Park	no	yes	no	no	Fake fire hydrants, doggie watering stations, scooper sidpensers, dog bone shaped walkway, dog jumps, dog tunnels and a dog climbing platform	Friends of Drigon Park

ATTACHMENT E

**EXCERPT
FROM DRAFT MINUTES OF THE
JULY 10, 2013
PARKS & RECREATION COMMISSION
MEETING ARE FORTHCOMING**